## CHAPTER - IV.

EFFECT OF LONG ITUDINAL INERTIA AND OF SHEAR DEFORMATION ON THE TORSIONAL FREQUENCIES AND NORMAL MODES OF SHORT WIDE-FLANGED THTN-WALLED BEAMS OF OPEN STGMION*:

### 4.1. INTRODUCTION:

In the analytical studies prosentod in Chapters If and III, the problems are formulated utilizing the Timoshenko torsion theory (98) and, the effects of longitudinal inertiad and shear deformation are neglected assuming the bean to be lengthy compared to the cross sectional dimensions. But the corrections due to longitudinal inertia and shear deformation may be of importance if the effects of cross sectional dimensions on the frequenoies of torsional vibration are desired.

Timoshenko torsion theory, though intended to be an improvement over the classical Saint-Venant torsion theory, suffers from the defect that while dispersive in character, very short wavelengthe are propagated with infinite velocities. Thus, this improved theory is limited in its description of high-frequency (short-wavelength) vibrations and, because it contains no delay tine (infinite velocities), it is not suited for problems involving the response to sharp transients. So Timoshenko torsion theory cannot be justified for short wide-flanged beams
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and higher modes of vibratidon.
Though there exists some studies $\left(\frac{3,4,70,104}{(, 3,3)}\right.$ on free torsional vibrations of beams of open section including second order effects such as longitudinal inertia, shear deformation and shear lag, solutions were given only for the simple case of a simply supported beam. Stating that the frequenoy equations for other boundary oonditions are highly transcendental in nature, their solutions were not atterupted. The effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on torsional frequencies for various boundary conditions of short wide-flanged thin-walled beams of open section were not yet fully analyzed. Further, it is observed that the torsional frequency values for Indian standard wideflanged I-beams are not available inil nons literature, till, now.

The present chapter therefore deals with exact and approximate analytical solutions of torsional vibrations of short wide-flanged thin-walled beams of open section, for which the shear center and centroid coincide, including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation. The governing equations of motion are desired using Hamilton's principle. The method of solution used by Huang (69) in the analysis of Timoshenko beam equations in flexural vibrations, is applied to the coupled equations of motion to derive a clear and neat set of frequency and normal mode equations for six common types of simple and finite beams. Solutions are obtained for two complete differential equations in angle of twist and warping angle respectively.

## 105

The oonstants in these olutions are rolatod by any ono of the original ooupled equations from whioh the two complete equations are derived. The adventage of this method is that the boundary conditions prescribed are homogeneous and the anaIysis becomes quite simple. The expressions for orthogonality and normalizing conditions for the principal normal modes, which are useful in solving forced vibration problems and, which include both the angle of twist and warping angle are also obtained In this Chapter for both the general case and for beams with various simple end conditions.


To facilitate the designers, extensive design data te presented for the torsional frequencies of Wide-flanged doubly symmetric I-beams with various types of end conditions. The results for the first four modes of vibration for various types of end conditions are presented in tabular form suitable for design use.

To supplement the exact solutions, with approximate analytical solutions, the problem is also solved for some typical. 'boundary conditions utilizing the Galerkin's technique. Depending upon the assumed functions aatisfying the prescribed boundary conditions of the beam, Galerkin's technique is found to give nearly accurate results.
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### 4.2. BASIO ASSUMPTIONS:

The problems investigated in this Chapter are restricted to the following assumptions:
a) The material of the beam is homogeneous, isotropic and Obeys Hooke's law.
b) By symmetry, the cross sections rotate with respect to oentroidal axis, the warping is confined to flanges only.
o) Plano oroan acotionn of alffarnat atruLeht plooos romain plane, and warping accross the thickness of these cross sections is neglected.
d) The distortion of the wab out of its plane is assumed negligible.
e) Bending of the flanges does not produce any additional shear stresses on the flange-web section.
f) No internal and external damping forces exist.
g) The deformations are small compared with the crosssectional dimensions of the beam in the linearized problem.

### 4.3. DERIVATION OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF MOTION:

Figs.4.1 and 4.2 show a differential element of length -dz of a wide-flanged I-beam undergoing torsion. The strain energy $U_{1}$ at any instant $t$ in a beam of length $I$ due to Saint-Venant torsion is (See Eq. 2.2a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} \mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right)^{2} d z \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Acoompanyfurs tha rotation ta a warpinge of tha arosasection which is assumed constant in each plece of the crosssection having a moment $M$. Thus for the wide-flenged section, warping is confined to flanges alone and its angle of rotation denoted by $\psi(z, t)$; see Figs.4.1 and 4.2.

Fig.4.2 (b) shows an element of the top flange. If wis the z-displacement of a point in the top flange, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=(x, z, t)=-x \psi \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $z$-component of strain is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{z}=\frac{\partial_{W}}{\partial z}=-x \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The section is thin, so we assume $\sigma_{x}=\sigma_{y}=0$, and Hooke's law gives $\sigma_{z}=E \epsilon_{z}$, where $E$ is Young's modulus. Moment $M$ due to stresses $\sigma_{z}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified that stresses $\sigma_{\text {g }}$ give rise to no net axial force, and moment $M$ in the top flange and $-M$ in the bottom flange cancel so that no net moment $M_{y}$ exists on the crosssection. If $U_{2}$ is the strain energy of the two flanges due to the warping normal strain (98), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} 2 M\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right) d z=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} 2 E I_{f}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right)^{2} d z \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\epsilon_{\mathrm{sh}}$ is the shear strain at the center of the flange,
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$x=0$, then by definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{s h}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial_{z}}+\frac{\partial_{w}}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}-\psi \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the $x$-displacement of the top flange center line. Eq. (4.6) introduces the effect of transverse shear deformation used for bars by Timoshenko (10)) and later applied to plates (7). Using Hooke's law for shear, the value of $\epsilon_{\text {sh }}$ given by Eq. (4.6) is assumed proportional to the total shear force $Q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q=K^{\prime} A_{P} G \epsilon_{s h} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{f}$ is the cross sectional area of the flange, and $K^{\prime}$ is the transverse shear coefficient. The equal and opposite shear forces $Q$, a distance $h$ apart in the top and bottom flanges, give rise to a torque due to warping, $T_{W}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{W}=-Q h=K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which displacement compatibility at the web-flange joint

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(h / 2) \varnothing \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been used to eliminate $u$ in Eq. (4.6).
The total torsional couple, $\mathbb{T}_{t}$, on the cross section is given from Eqs. (2.2a) anḑ (4.8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}=T_{s}+T_{W}=G C_{s} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The strain energy due to shear deformation of the two flanges, $U_{3}$, is
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$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{z}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} z(-Q) e_{B h}{ }^{d z}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} 2 K^{\prime} A_{f} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)^{2} d z \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total strain energy, $U$, at any instant $t$ is given from Eqs. (4.1), (4.5) and (4.11) by
$U=U_{1}+U_{2}+U_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I}\left[G C_{S}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}\right)^{2}+2 E I_{f}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}}\right)^{2}+2 K^{\prime} A_{f} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)^{2}\right] d z \quad(4.12$
The total kinetic energy at time $t$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{K}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I}\left[\rho I_{p}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+2 P I_{f}\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d z \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first term is the Kinetic energy of torsional rotation $\varnothing$ and the second term is that due to longitudinal (warping) displacements of the two flanges.

Since our object here is to study the free vibrations of the beam, the potential energy, $W$, of the external force system is taken as zero. If $T_{k}$ and $U$ from Eqs. (4.12) and (4.13) are substituted into the Hamilton integral given by Eq.(2.1), and variations taken, and after integrating the first two terms by parts with respect to $t$ and next three with respect ${ }_{\wedge}^{\text {to }} z$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{0}^{I}\left[\left\{G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right)-\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}\right\} \delta \phi\right. \\
& \left.+\left\{2 E I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial z^{2}}-2 \mu I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}+2 K^{\prime} A_{f} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)\right\} \bar{\delta} \psi\right] d z d t \\
& +\left.\int_{0}^{I}\left(\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \delta \phi+2 \rho I_{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \bar{\delta} \psi\right)\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t_{1}} d z
\end{aligned}
$$

$-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left[\left\{G O_{B} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}+K^{\prime} \Lambda_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)\right\} \overline{\delta \phi}+2 E I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}} \bar{\delta} \psi\right]_{0}^{L} d t=0$

Assuming that the values of $\varnothing$ andi $\psi$ are given at the two fixed instants, the second integral vanishes. If the boundary conditions are such that the third integral also vanishes, then we obtain the following two coupled equations of motion:
$\operatorname{GC}_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right)-P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0$
and
$E I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial z^{2}}+K^{\prime \prime} A_{f} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)-P I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}=0$
4.4. (a) NATURAI BOUNDARY CONDITIONS:

In deriving the coupled equations (4.15) and (4.16) from
(4.14) it was assumed that the expression

$$
\left[G C_{s} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right)\right] \delta \varnothing+2 B I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}} \bar{\delta} \psi
$$

vanishes at the ends $z=0$ and $z=\mathrm{L}$. This condition is satisfied if at the two ends,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[G_{s} \frac{\partial \varnothing}{\partial_{z}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right)\right] \delta \varnothing=0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}} \bar{\delta} \psi=0 . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Eqna. (4.17) and (4.18) give the natural boundary condtions for the finite bar, and are satiofied if the end conditions are taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 1. } \quad \varnothing=0 \text { and } \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}=0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Those conditions imply no end rotation and gero bendine moment in the flange-ends. In this case, the web is constrained against rotation while the flances are froe to warp. Thiss is the case of a 'simply Supported ond'.
2. $\varnothing=0$ and $\psi=0$

These conditions imply constraint against end rotation as well as end warping, and hence give no end deformation. These conditions define a ''built-in end''.
3. $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}=0$ and $G O_{s} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)=0$

These conditions imply zero bendine, moment in the flange ends and no torque at the end oroes eseotion. The end la thus free from trabtions and the oondlitank anrreapond tio a 'fras ond'
4.

$$
\psi=0, \quad G C_{S} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)=0
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=0, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter conditions imply no warping and zero shear forces in the end flanges.

These conditions are useful for finding symmetric modes of vibration in simply supported, fixed-fixed, and free-free beams
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(b) TIME-DEPENDENY BOUNDARY CONDITIONS:

The homogeneous boundary conditions discussed above give the free vibrations of beams. For forced vibrations produced by the motion of boundaries, appropriate time dependent end conditions are given by prescribing at each end one member of each of the products:

$$
\left[G_{s} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)\right] \overline{\delta \phi} \text { and } E I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \delta \bar{\delta}
$$

or equivalently of:
$T_{t} \bar{\delta} \not \varnothing$ and $M \bar{\delta} \tau$.
Of the many conditions thus obtained, the following are of more theoretical interest;

1. torque $T_{t}$ prescribed, bending moment $M=0$ or $\psi=0$,
2. $\varnothing$ or $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}$ prescribed, bending moment $M=0$ or $\psi=0$,
3.     - bending moment $M$ prescribed, torque $T_{t}=0$ or $\phi=0$,
4. $\psi$ or $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$ prescribed, torque $T_{t}$ or $\varnothing=0$.

In the case of semi-infinite beams, conditions need be prescribed at one end since all physical quantities at any instant are zero at the far end.

### 4.5.1. SINGLE EOUATION IN ANGLE OF TWIST:

Eliminating $\psi$ between the coupled equations (4.15) and (4.16), a single equation of motion in angle of twist $\varnothing$ may be obtained as:
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$$
\left[\frac{E I_{f} C_{s}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}}+E C_{W}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{4}}-\left[\frac{E \rho I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}+\frac{C_{s} \rho I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{2} \partial t^{2}}
$$

$-G C_{B} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{P I_{p} \rho I_{f}}{K A_{f}{ }^{G}} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial t^{4}}=0$
Eq. (4.23) is a linear partial differential equation of fourth order, and is of the same form as the Timoshenko beom equation for flexural vibrations ( 101 ), under an axial load $P$ which introduces an additional term $-P \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial_{z}^{2}}$ (as spring restoring force) in the Timoshenko equation. It is clear that the term $-G C{ }_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}$ is analogous to the term $-P \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial_{z}^{2}}$.

### 4.5.2. ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS TERMS:

i)

$$
\text { Letting } C_{w}=\rho I_{f}=0 \text { and } K^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty \text {, Eq. (4.23) reduces to: }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}-P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation represents Saint Venant torsion theory for slender beams and does not include warping of the cross section, shear deformation and longitudinal inertia effects. It is given in Love (76) and is discussed by Gere (32).
ii) $C_{w}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, then Eq.(4.23) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \dot{\phi}}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{P I_{f} h^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{2} \partial t^{2}}-P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The second term represents Love's corrections (76) for the loneitudinal inertia added to 1id. $(4.24)$ and onrremponds to Rayleigh's corrootion(100), for latoral inortio in the olementary theory for longitudinal vibrations.
iii) If $\rho I_{f}=0$ and $K^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (4.23) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{EG}_{W} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}-\operatorname{GC}_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation represents Timoshenko's torsion theory which includes the effect of warping of the cross-section and has been treated in detail by Gere(32).
iv) If $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (4.23) reduces to:

$$
E C_{w} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}-\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{2} \phi t^{2}}-G C_{B} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0
$$

This equation represents Love's correction added to Timoshenko's torsion theory and corresponds to Rayleigh's correction of rotary inertia( 100 ), in the Bernoulli-Euler beam theory.
v)

If $\rho I_{f}=0$, then Eq. (4.23) is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{E I_{f}^{C} s}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}+E C_{W}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}-\frac{E \rho I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{2} \partial t^{2}}-G C_{B} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation represents the effect of shear deformation added to Timoshenko's torsion theory.
vi) The part of Eq. (4.2马) given by:

$$
-\frac{\sigma_{s} \rho I_{f}}{K^{1} A_{f}} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial_{z^{2}} \partial_{t}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\rho I_{p} \rho I_{f}}{K^{1} A_{f}^{G}} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}
$$

arises from the ouplect interaction of torsional deformation with the bending effeots of shear deformation and longitudinal inertia. The $\frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial t^{4}}$ term is responsible for introducing at high frequencies and short wave lengths, a new mode of wave transmission in long bars, and a completely new spectrum of natural frequencies in finite bars.

### 4.6. NON-DIMENSIONALIZATION AND GENGRAL SOLUIION:

Eliminating $\varnothing$ in Eqs. (4.15) and (4.16) we obtain the complete differential equation in warping angle $\mathcal{P}$ as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{E I_{f} O_{S}}{K \Lambda_{f}}+E C_{w}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} \psi}{\partial z^{4}}-\left(\frac{R I_{p} I_{f}}{K \Lambda_{f}^{\prime}}+\frac{C_{\theta}\left(I_{f}\right.}{K}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{\prime 2}}{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{4} \psi}{\partial z^{2} \partial t^{2}} \\
& \quad-G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2}{ }^{2} \psi}{\partial z^{2}}+P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\rho I_{p} \rho I_{f}}{K A_{f} G} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi}{\partial t^{4}}=0 \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varnothing=\bar{\varnothing} e^{i p_{n} t}  \tag{4.30}\\
& \psi=\bar{\psi} e^{i p_{n} t}  \tag{4.31}\\
& z=z / L \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\varnothing}$ is the normal function of $\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}$ the normal function of $\psi, Z$ the non-dimensional length of beam, $i=\sqrt{-1}, p_{n}$ the natural frequency of vibration.

Substituting Eqs.(4.30) to (4.32) and omitting the facm tor $e^{1 p_{n}}$, Eqs. (4.15) , (4.16) , (4.23) and (4.29) are reduced to:
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda^{2} s^{2} \bar{\phi}-(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}^{-1}=0  \tag{4.33}\\
& s^{2} \bar{\psi}^{\prime \prime}-\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}\right) \bar{\psi}+(h / 2 L) \bar{\phi}^{-1}=0  \tag{4.34}\\
& \left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{-i v}+\lambda^{2}\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}+s^{2}\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}-\lambda^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}\right) \bar{\phi}=0  \tag{4.35}\\
& \left.\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)\right)_{\psi}^{-i v}+\lambda^{2}\left(a^{2} d^{2}+s^{2}\right) \bar{\varphi}^{-\prime \prime}-\lambda^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}\right) \bar{\mu}=0 \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{2} & =1+g^{2} K^{2}-K^{2} / \lambda^{2} d^{2},  \tag{4.37}\\
\lambda^{2} & =\frac{P I_{p} L^{4} p_{n}^{2}}{E C_{w}}, \text { frequency parameter, }  \tag{4.38}\\
K^{2} & =\frac{I^{2} G C_{s}}{E C_{w}}, \text { warping parameter, }  \tag{4.39}\\
d^{2} & =\frac{I_{f} h^{2}}{2 I_{p} L^{2}}, \text { longitudinal inertia parameter, }  \tag{4.40}\\
s^{2} & =\frac{E I_{f}}{K A_{f} G L^{2}}, \text { shear deformation parameter } \tag{4.41}
\end{align*}
$$

and the primes for $\bar{\varnothing}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ represent differentiation with respect to $Z$.

The general solutions of Eqs.(4.35) and (4.36) can be
found as:
$\bar{\varnothing}=A_{1} \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+A_{2} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+A_{3} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+A_{4} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z \quad$ (4.42)
$\bar{\psi}=A_{1}^{\prime} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+A_{2}^{\prime} \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+A_{3}^{\prime} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z+A_{4}^{\prime} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}\left\{7\left(a^{2} d^{2}+s^{2}\right)+\left[\left(a^{2} d^{2}-s^{2}\right)^{2}+4 / \lambda^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left[\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)^{2}+4 / \lambda^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}>\left(a^{2} d^{2}+s^{2}\right)
$$

is assumed.

$$
\text { In ouse }\left[\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)^{2}+4 / \lambda^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}<\left(a^{2} d^{2}+s^{2}\right)
$$

we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}\left\{\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}+s^{2}\right)-\left[\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)^{2}+4 / \lambda^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& =1 \alpha_{2}^{1} \tag{4.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Then Eqs. $(4.42)$ and (4.43) are replaced by
$\bar{\phi}=A_{1} \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z+1 A_{2} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z+A_{3} \cos \cdot \lambda \beta_{2} Z+A_{4} \sin \lambda \cdot \beta_{2} Z$
$\bar{\psi}=1 A_{1}^{\prime} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z+A_{2}^{\prime} \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z+A_{3}^{\prime} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z+A_{4}^{\prime} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z$
Solutions of Eqs. (4.42) and (4.43) or (4.46) and (4.47) are naturally the solutions of the original coupled equations (4.15) and (4.16).

Only one half of the constants in Eqs. (4.42) and (4.43) are independent. They are related by Eqs. (4.15) or (4.16) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{1} & =\frac{2 L}{h \lambda \alpha_{2}}\left[1-\lambda^{2} s^{2}\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right] A_{1}^{\prime} \\
A_{2} & =\frac{2 L}{h \lambda \alpha_{2}}\left[1-\lambda^{2} s^{2}\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right] A_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{4.48}\\
A_{3} & =\frac{2 L}{h \lambda_{2}}\left[1+\lambda_{s}^{2}\left(\beta_{2}^{2}-a^{2}\right)\right] A_{3}^{\prime}  \tag{4.49}\\
\text { or } \quad A_{4} & =\frac{2 L}{h \lambda \beta_{2}}\left[1+\lambda^{2} s^{2}\left(\beta_{2}^{2}-d^{2}\right)\right] A_{4}^{\prime} \tag{4.50}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{i}=\frac{h \lambda}{2 I}\left[\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+s^{2}}{\alpha_{2}}\right] A_{1} \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{h \lambda}{2 L}\left[\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+s^{2}}{\alpha_{2}}\right]_{2} \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{3}^{\prime}=-\frac{h}{2 I}\left[\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)-s^{2}}{\beta_{2}}\right] A_{3} \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{2 I}\left[\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)-s^{2}}{\beta_{2}}\right]_{A_{4}} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.7. FRREUENCY EQUATIONS AND MODAL FUNCTIONS:

In section $4.4(\mathrm{a})$, natural boundary conditions were discussed. By combining these conditions in pairs, many types of single-span beams can be analyzed. In terms of non-dimensional parameters, the boundary conditions can be written as:

1. Simple Support:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=0,4^{-1}=0 \tag{4,66}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Firrea Support:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=0, \bar{\psi}=0 \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Free End:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0,\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-(2 I / h) \bar{\psi}=0 \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The application of appropriate boundary conditions (4.56) to ( 4.58 ) and, relations of integration constants (4.48) to (4.55), to equations (4.42) and (4.43) yields for each type of beam a set of four constants $A_{1}$ to $A_{4}$ with or without primes. In order that the solutions other then zero may exiat the determinant of the ooorflolents of $A^{\prime} s$ must be equal to zero. This leads to the frequency equations in each case and the roots of these frequency equation, $\lambda_{1}, i=1,2,3, \ldots n$, give the eigen values of the problem. The corresponding modal functions, $\bar{\phi}_{i}$ and 2ps, can be obtained accordingly.

### 4.7.1. SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAM:

The boundary conditions for a beam simply supported at both ends are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { at } z=0
$$

and

$$
\bar{\varnothing}=\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0 \text { at } z=1
$$
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For the boundary conditions at $Z=0$, Eqs. (4.42) and (4.43) give:

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}+A_{3}=0 \\
{\left[\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+s^{2} \prod_{A_{1}}-\left[\beta_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)-s^{2}\right] A_{3_{1}}=0\right.}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the secular determinant, ie., $\left(\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right) \neq 0$, therefore it follows that: $\quad A_{1}=A_{3}=0$.

For the second pair of conditions at $Z=1$, Eqs. (4.42)
and (4.43) give:

$$
\Lambda_{2} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}+A_{4} \sin \lambda \beta_{2}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+s^{2}\right] A_{2} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}-\left[\beta_{2}^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)-s^{2}\right] A_{4} \sin \lambda \beta_{2}=0} \\
\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

For a non-trivial solution, the secular determinant must vanish. This gives the characterestic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right) \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} \sin \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)\left(\alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}\right) \neq 0$, the possible solutions are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda \alpha_{2}=0, & \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \\
\lambda \alpha_{2}=0, & \lambda \beta_{2} \neq 0 \\
\lambda \alpha_{2} \neq 0, & \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \\
\lambda \alpha_{2} \neq 0, & \lambda \beta_{2}=n \pi, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

The solution $\lambda \alpha_{2}=0, \lambda \beta_{2}=0$ is not valid and the cases $\lambda \alpha_{2} \neq 0$, $\lambda \beta_{2}=0$ and $\lambda \alpha_{2}=0, \lambda \beta_{2} \neq 0$, by Eq. (4.44) imply $\lambda^{2}=0$ and
$\lambda^{2}=1 / s^{2} d^{2}$ respectively. Using the Eqs. (4.42) and (4.43) and following the above procedure for $\lambda^{2}=0$, and for $\lambda^{2}=1 / \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$, we can see that the former case leads to a trivial solution and the latter to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varnothing}=0, \bar{\psi}=\text { constant } \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The critical frequency $\lambda_{c}^{2}=1 / \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ thus represents the first thickness shear mode of the flanges (100). The existence of this mode for the simply supported case of Timoshenko beam in flexural vibrations has been demonstrated by Trail-Nash and Collar (3). It is overlooked by Anderson (3) and neglected by Dolph (3) by a wrong interpretation of the associate results.

The last case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \alpha_{2} \neq 0, \quad \lambda \beta_{2}=n \pi, \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to the main solution of the problem, Letting $\lambda^{2} \beta^{2}=-n^{2} \pi^{2}$ in Eq. (4.44), the frequency equation in $\lambda^{2}$ is obtained as:
$s^{2} d^{2} \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2}\left[1+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2} K^{2}\right)\right]+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+K^{2}\right]=0$ (4.64)
This equation gives two real positive roots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{m n}^{2}= & \frac{1}{2 s^{2} d^{2}} \cdot\left[\left\{1+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}+\pi^{2}+s^{2} \alpha^{2} K^{2}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{m}\left\{\left[1+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}-d^{2}-s^{2} \alpha^{2} K^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} d^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This frequency equation (4.65) in $\lambda^{2}$, has an infinite number of roots which in general represent two coupled frequency
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spectra. It may noted that the roots $\lambda_{2 n}^{2}$ is always $>1 / \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$. The roots greater than the critical value are also admissible since the same frequency equation is obtained for the case $\lambda^{2}>1 / \mathrm{s}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}$. Thus, both the roots of (4.65) are admitted and constitute the two uncoupled frequency spectra.
Using (4.63) and (4.60) one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=0 \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal functions are obtained from Eqs.(4.42) and (4.43) with $A^{\prime}$ s given by (4.59) and (4.66). These are given as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{\phi}_{m n}=\sin n \pi z  \tag{4.67}\\
\bar{\psi}_{m n}=\frac{h}{2 n \pi I}\left[n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)-\lambda_{m n}^{2} s^{2}\right] \cos n \pi Z \tag{4.68}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\text {mn }}^{2}$ being given by (4.65).
The second spectrum appears at higher frequencies, greater than the critical frequency $\lambda_{c}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{c}^{2}=1 / s^{2} d^{2} \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is due to interaction between shear deformation and longitudinal inertia. Eq. (4.69) therefore shows the thickness shear nature of the critical frequency while Eq. (4.65) shows the two frequency spectra, uncoupled in the present case.

The classical IImoshenko torsion theory provides only one set of frequency spectrum, while the present analysis provides
two frequenoy speotra. The eigen values $\lambda$ of the first set of frequency spectrum cover the whole range from zero to infinity, but those of the second set range from the critical frequency $\lambda_{c}$ given by equation (4.69) to infinity.

For this case of a simply supported beam, Aggarwal (3), Tso (104) and Krishna Murty and Joga Rao (70) also illustrated two sets of frequency spectra. It is to be mentioned here that for the range of the values of the dimensionless parameters covered in this Chapter, $\lambda$ is less than $\lambda_{0}$.

For the case, $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$, it is convenient to use $\alpha_{2}=i \alpha_{2}^{\prime}$ and, the characterestic frequency equation (4.61) transforms to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \sin \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{2}^{\prime}$ is given by Eq. (4.45).

Hence, in case there is any extension from there on for $\lambda$ beyond $\lambda_{c}$ ie., $\lambda^{2} s^{2} d^{2}>1$, care should be taken to account for the frequencies of the second spectrum which can be obtained from Eq. (4.70).

By putting $s^{2}=d^{2}=0$ in Eq. (4.64), the equation for the frequency parameter $\lambda$, neglecting the effects of shear deformation and loneitudinal inertia, cen be obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}=n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(n^{2} \pi^{2}+k^{2}\right) \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as that derived by Gere (32) utilizing Timoshenko torsion theory.

### 4.7.2. FIXED-FIXED BEAM:

In the oase of a beam which is built-in rigidly at both ends, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=0,
$$

and

$$
\bar{\varnothing}=\bar{\psi}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=1 .
$$

Applying the above boundary conditions to the general solutions, Eqs. (4.42) and (4.43), the frequency equation, for the first set $\left(\lambda<\lambda_{o}\right)$, can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2-2 \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} \\
+ & \frac{\lambda\left[\lambda^{2} s^{2}\left(s^{2}-a^{2} d^{2}\right)+\left(3 s^{2}-a^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)\right]}{\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} \sin \lambda \cdot \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.72}
\end{align*}
$$

The frequency equation for the second set $\left(\lambda>\lambda_{c}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2-2 \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} \\
+ & \frac{\left[\lambda^{2} s^{2}\left(s^{2}-a^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)+\left(3 s^{2}-a^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)\right]}{\left(\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \sin \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.73}
\end{align*}
$$

The modal functions for the first set are given by:
$\bar{\varnothing}=B\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+\delta \eta_{1} \theta \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\eta_{1} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right) \quad$ (4.74)
$\bar{\psi}=c\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2}{ }^{Z}+\frac{\mu_{1}}{\delta \theta} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\mu_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta=\alpha_{2} / \beta_{2} \\
& \theta=\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)-\mathrm{s}^{2}}{\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2}}=\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)+\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)-\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}} \\
& \\
& =\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)-\mathrm{s}^{2}}{\beta_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)-\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}}=\frac{\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)+\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\alpha_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2}} \\
& \eta_{1}=\frac{-\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}{\delta \theta \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}-\sin \lambda \beta_{2}} \\
& \mu_{1}=\frac{-\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}{(1 / \delta \theta)_{\sinh } \lambda \alpha_{2}+\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The modal functions for the second set are:
$\bar{\varnothing}=B\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z-\delta^{\prime} \eta_{2} \theta \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2}^{\prime} Z+\eta_{2} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right)$
$-C\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z+\frac{\mu_{2}^{\prime}}{\delta^{\prime}} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\mu_{2} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{\prime}=\alpha_{2}^{\prime} / \cdot \beta_{2} \\
& \eta_{2}=\frac{\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}{\delta^{\prime} \theta \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-\sin \lambda \beta_{2}} \\
& \mu_{2}=\frac{-\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}{\left(1 / \delta^{\prime} \theta\right) \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the coefficients in $\bar{\varnothing}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ of Eqs.(4.42) and (4.43) are related, the constants $B$ and $C$, that appear in the modal functions given above are connected through any one of the equations of (4.48) to (4.51) or (4.52) to (4.55).

### 4.7.3. BEAM FIXED AT ONE FND AND SIMPLY SUPPORTED AT THE OTHER:

With the end $Z=0$, taken as built-in end, and the end $Z=1$ as the simply supported end, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad Z=0
$$

and

$$
\bar{\phi}=\overline{\psi^{\prime}}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=1
$$

The frequency equation obtained from applying the above boundary condition to the general solutions, Eqs.(4.42) and (4.43), for the first set $\left(\lambda<\lambda_{c}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \theta \tanh \lambda \alpha_{2}-\tan \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequency equation for the second $\operatorname{set}\left(\lambda>\lambda_{c}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime} \theta \tanh \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\tan \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal functions for the first set are given by:
$\bar{\phi}=B\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} z-\operatorname{coth} \lambda \alpha_{2} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} z\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.+\cot \lambda \beta_{2} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} z\right) \tag{4.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bar{\psi}=c\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+\frac{\mu_{3}}{\delta \theta} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\mu_{3} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} z\right)$
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where

$$
\mu_{3}=\frac{-\left(\delta \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}+\sin \lambda \beta_{2}\right)}{\left.(1 / \theta) \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}\right)}
$$

The model functions for the second set are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\varnothing}=B\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z-\cot \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right. & \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z \\
& \left.+\cot \lambda \beta_{2} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$\bar{\psi}=0\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z-\frac{\eta}{\delta^{\prime}} \theta \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} z+\eta_{3} \sin \lambda \dot{\beta}_{2} z\right)$
where

$$
\eta_{3}=\frac{\delta^{\prime} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}{(1 / \theta) \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}
$$

### 4.7.4. CANTILEGER BEAM WITH ONE END FIXED AND FREE AT THE OTHER:

For a Cantilever beam builtin rigidly at the end $Z=0$ so that warping is completely prevented, and with a free end at $Z=1$, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=0,
$$

and

$$
=0,\left(s^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } z=1 .
$$

The frequency equation for the first set, in this case, can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 & +\left[\lambda^{2}\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)+2\right] \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} \\
& -\frac{\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}+a^{2}\right) \lambda}{\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}} \text { sinh } \lambda \alpha_{2} \sin \lambda \beta_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The frequency equation for the second set is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 & +\left[\lambda^{2}\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)+2\right] \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} \\
& -\frac{\lambda\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}+s^{2}\right)}{\left(\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}} \cdot \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \sin \lambda \cdot \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.94}
\end{align*}
$$

The modal functions for the first set are:
$\bar{\varnothing}=B\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z_{-} \delta \theta \eta_{4} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\eta_{4} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right)$
$\bar{\psi}=c\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+\frac{\mu_{4}}{\delta \theta} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\mu_{4} \sin \lambda \cdot \beta_{2} Z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{4}=\frac{(1 / \delta) \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}-\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}{\theta \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}  \tag{4.97}\\
& \mu_{4}=-\frac{\left(\delta \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}+\sin \lambda \beta_{2}\right)}{(1 / \theta) \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}} \tag{4.98}
\end{align*}
$$

The modal functions for the second set are:
$\bar{\varnothing}=B\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z+\delta^{\prime} \theta \eta_{5} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\eta_{5} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right)$
$\bar{\psi}=c\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z-\frac{\mu_{5}}{\delta^{\prime} \theta} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z-\cos \lambda \beta_{2} z+\mu_{5} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{5}=\frac{\left(1 / \delta^{\prime}\right) \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}{\theta \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}  \tag{4.101}\\
& \mu_{5}=\frac{\delta^{\prime} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}{(1 / \theta) \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\cos \lambda \beta_{2}} \tag{4.102}
\end{align*}
$$
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4.7.5. CANTILEVER BENM WI'II ONE END SIAPLY SIJPPORTED AND FREE THE OTIIRR:

For a Cantilever beam simply supported at the end $Z=0$ and free at $Z=1$, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0 \text { at } z=0 \text {, }
$$

and

$$
\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0,\left(B^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-(2 L / M) \bar{\psi} \text { at } Z=1 .
$$

The frequency equation for the first set, in this case becomes:

$$
\delta \tanh \lambda \alpha_{2^{-}} \theta \tan \lambda \beta_{2}=0
$$

The frequency equation for the second set is given by:

$$
\delta^{\prime} \tan \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\theta \tan \lambda \beta_{2}=0
$$

The modal functions for the first set are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}=\frac{\delta \cos \lambda \beta_{2}}{\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2}} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+\sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z  \tag{4.105}\\
& \bar{\psi}=\frac{\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}{\delta \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}} \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+\cos \lambda \cdot \beta_{2} Z
\end{align*}
$$

The modal functions for the second set can be obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}=-\frac{\delta^{\prime} \cos \lambda \beta_{2}}{\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z+\sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z \\
& \bar{\psi}=-\frac{\sin \lambda \beta_{2}}{\delta^{\prime} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}} \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} z+\cos \lambda \beta_{2} z
\end{align*}
$$
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### 4.7.6. BEAM WITH FREE ENDS:

In the case of a beam which is free at both ends, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0,\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}=0 \quad \text { at } z=0,
$$

and

$$
\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0,\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } Z=1 \text {. }
$$

The frequency equation for the first set, in this case can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2-2 \cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} \cos \lambda \beta 2 \\
& +\frac{\lambda\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)+\left(3 a^{2} d^{2}-s^{2}\right)\right]}{\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2^{\sin } \lambda \beta_{2}=0} \tag{4.109}
\end{align*}
$$

The frequency equation for the second set is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2-2 \cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} \\
& +\frac{\lambda\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} \alpha^{2}\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(3 a^{2} \alpha^{2}-s^{2}\right)\right]}{\left(\lambda^{2} s^{2} \alpha^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2} \sin \lambda \beta_{2}=0 \tag{4.110}
\end{align*}
$$

The modal functions for the first set can be obtained as:
$\bar{\varnothing}=B\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} z-\frac{\eta 6}{\delta} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} z+\frac{1}{g} \cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\left(1 / \eta_{6}\right) \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right)$ (4.112)
$\bar{\psi}=c\left(\cosh \lambda \alpha_{2} z-\frac{\partial q_{6}}{\delta} \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2} Z+\theta \cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\left(1 / \eta_{6}\right) \sin \lambda \beta_{2} z\right)$ (4.112)
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{0}=\frac{00 \operatorname{sh} \lambda \alpha \alpha_{2}+0, \lambda \beta_{2}}{\delta \sinh \lambda \alpha_{2}-\theta \sin \lambda \beta_{2}} \tag{1,118}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal functions for the second set are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\varnothing}=B\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z-\delta^{\prime} \mu_{6} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z+(1 / \theta) \cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\mu_{6} \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right) \\
& \bar{q}=C\left(\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime} Z-\left(\mu_{6} / \delta^{\prime}\right) \sin \lambda \alpha_{2^{\prime}} Z+\theta \cos \lambda \beta_{2} Z+\left(1 / \mu_{6}\right) \sin \lambda \beta_{2} Z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{6}=\frac{\cos \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}-\cos \lambda \beta_{2}}{\delta^{\prime} \sin \lambda \alpha_{2}^{\prime}+\theta \sin \lambda \beta_{2}} \tag{4.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.8. ORTHOGONAIITY $\Lambda$ ND NORMALIZTNG CONDITIOHS**:

In this section, the expressions for orthogonality and normalizing conditions for the principal normal modes $\bar{\varnothing}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ are obtained for both the general case and for beams with various simple end conditions.

Let Eq. (4.33) be written in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda^{2} s^{2} \bar{\phi}=(2 I / h) \bar{\varphi}^{\prime \prime}-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime} \\
\text { for two modes m and } n \text { as, } \\
\lambda_{m}^{2} s^{2} \bar{\phi}_{m}=(2 I / h) \psi_{m}^{-\prime}-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{4.117}\\
\lambda_{n}^{2} s^{2} \bar{\phi}_{m}=(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}_{n}^{-\prime}-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}_{n}^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.118}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^1]
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Multiplying Eq. (4.11ヶ) by $\bar{\phi}_{n}$ and Eq. (4.118) by $\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{in}}$ and subtraotine Eq. (4.11.7) from Fq. (4.118), we havo:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{n^{-}}^{2} \lambda_{m}^{2}\right) s^{2} \bar{\phi}_{m} \bar{\phi}_{n}=(2 L / h)\left(\bar{\psi}_{n}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{m}-\bar{\psi}_{m}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{n}\right)-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)\left(\bar{\phi}_{n}^{\prime \prime} \bar{\phi}_{m}-\bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime \prime} \bar{\phi}_{n}\right) \tag{4.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let Eq. (4.34) be written in the form

$$
\lambda^{2} s^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \bar{\psi}=\bar{\psi}-s^{2} \bar{q}^{\prime \prime} \prime-(h / 2 L) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}
$$

for the two modes $m$ and $n$ as,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{m}^{2} s^{2} d^{2} \bar{\psi}_{m}=\bar{\psi}_{m}-s^{2} \bar{\psi}_{m}^{-\prime \prime}-(h / 2 L) \bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime}  \tag{4.120}\\
& \lambda_{n}^{2} s^{2} d^{2} \bar{\psi}_{n}=\bar{\psi}_{n}-s^{2} \bar{\psi}_{n}^{-\prime \prime}-(h / 2 L) \bar{\phi}_{n}^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.121}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying Eq.(4.120) by $\bar{\psi}_{n}$ and Eq.(4.121) by $\bar{\psi}_{m}$ and subtracting Eq. (4.120) from (4.121), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\lambda_{m}^{2}\right) s^{2} \Omega^{2} \bar{\psi}_{m} \bar{\psi}_{n}=(2 L / h)\left(\bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime} \bar{\psi}_{n}-\bar{\phi}_{n}^{\prime} \bar{\psi}_{m}\right) \\
&-\left(4 s^{2} L^{2} / h^{2}\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{n}^{\prime \prime} \bar{\psi}_{m}-\bar{\psi}_{m}^{\prime \prime} \bar{\psi}_{n}\right) \tag{4.122}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{2}=\left(4 I^{2} / h^{2}\right) d^{2}=2 I_{f} / I_{p} \tag{4.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Eqs. (4.119) and (4.122), integrating over the whole beam, and carrying out integration by parts for most of the terms, we obtain:
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\lambda_{n}^{2}-\lambda_{m}^{2}\right)_{s^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}_{m} \bar{\phi}_{n}+\Omega^{2} \bar{\psi}_{m} \bar{\phi}_{n}\right) d z \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[(\dot{2} L / h)\left(\bar{\psi}_{n}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{m}+\bar{\psi}_{n} \bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime}\right)-(2 L / h)\left(\bar{\psi}_{m}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{n}+\bar{\psi}_{m} \bar{\phi}_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(s^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right)\left(\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime} \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{m}}-\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{n}} \bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{m}}^{-1}\right)-\left(4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{h}^{2}\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime} \bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}}-\bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{n}} \bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}}^{-1}\right)\right] \mathrm{dz} \\
& =\left[(2 L / h)\left(\bar{\psi}_{n} \bar{\phi}_{m}-\bar{\phi}_{n} \overline{2}_{m}\right)-\left(a^{2} K^{2}+1\right)\left(\bar{\phi}_{n}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}_{m}-\phi_{n} \bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(4 s^{2} L^{2} / h^{2}\right)\left(\bar{\psi}_{n}^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{m}-\bar{\psi}_{n} \bar{\psi}_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right]\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} \tag{4.124}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying end conditions of any combinations gives the orthogonality condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}_{m} \bar{\phi}_{n}+\Omega^{2} \bar{\psi}_{m} \bar{\psi}_{n}\right) d z=0, m \neq n \tag{4.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m=n$, the left side of the equations is identically equal to zero because $\lambda_{m}=\lambda_{n}$.

Thus the normalizing integral:

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\phi^{2}+\Omega^{2} \bar{\psi}^{2}\right) d z
$$

cannot be obtained directly by putting $m=n$ in Eq. (4.125)
To evaluate this integral, we let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{m}=\lambda  \tag{4.126}\\
& \lambda_{n}=\lambda+\bar{\delta} \lambda \tag{4.127}
\end{align*}
$$

In which $\bar{\delta} \lambda$ is a small variation of $\lambda_{\text {, and }} \lambda_{n}=\lambda_{m}$ as $\bar{\delta} \lambda_{\text {app- }}$ roaches zero. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{m}^{2}=\lambda^{2}  \tag{4.128}\\
& \lambda_{m}^{2}=(\lambda+\bar{\delta} \lambda)^{2}=\lambda^{2}+2 \lambda \bar{\delta} \lambda \tag{4.129}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the higher order small term in the expression of ${ }_{n}^{2}$ is omitted. We also have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}_{n}=\bar{\phi}_{m}+\frac{d \bar{\phi}_{m}}{d \lambda} \cdot \bar{\delta} \lambda  \tag{4.130}\\
& \bar{\psi}_{n}=\bar{\psi}_{m}+\frac{d \bar{\psi}_{m}}{d \lambda} \cdot \bar{\delta} \lambda  \tag{4.131}\\
& \bar{\phi}_{n}^{\prime}=\bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime}+\frac{d \bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime}}{d \lambda} \cdot \bar{\delta} \lambda  \tag{4.132}\\
& \bar{\psi}_{n}=\bar{\psi}_{m}+\frac{d \bar{\psi}_{m}^{\prime}}{d \lambda} \cdot \bar{\delta} \lambda \tag{4.133}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \lambda}=\frac{\partial^{\prime}}{\partial \lambda}+\frac{d \alpha_{2}}{d \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{2}}+\frac{d \beta_{2}}{d \lambda} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{2}} \tag{4.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the above relations in Eq. (4.124) we obtain:

$$
2 \lambda \bar{\delta} \lambda_{s}{ }^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \psi_{\mathrm{m}}^{-2}\right) d Z
$$

$$
=\left[(2 L / h)\left(\frac{d \bar{\psi}_{m}}{d \lambda} \bar{\phi}_{m}-\frac{d \bar{\phi}_{m}}{d \lambda} \bar{\psi}_{m}\right)-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{d \bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime}}{d \lambda} \bar{\phi}_{m}-\frac{d \bar{\phi}_{m}}{d \lambda} \bar{\phi}_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left(4 s^{2} I^{2} / h^{2}\right)\left(\frac{d \bar{\varphi}_{m}^{\prime}}{d \lambda} \bar{\psi}_{m}-\frac{d \bar{\psi}_{m}}{d \lambda} \bar{\psi}_{m}^{-1}\right)\right]\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} \delta \lambda \tag{4.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dropping the subscript $m$, dividing both sides of the equation by
$2 \lambda^{\bar{\delta}} \lambda \mathrm{s}^{2}$, and rearranging:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \psi^{-2}\right) d Z=\frac{1}{2 \lambda s^{2}}\left\{\bar{\phi} \frac{d}{d \lambda}[2 L / h) \bar{\psi}-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}\right] \\
& \left.\left.+\left[\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{-1}-\left(\frac{2 L}{h}\right) \bar{\psi}\right] \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d \lambda}-\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right)\left[\frac{d \psi^{-1}}{d \lambda} \bar{\psi}-\frac{d \varepsilon^{-}}{d \lambda} \psi^{-1}\right]\right]_{0}^{1}\right]_{0}^{1} \delta(4.136)
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression can be further simplified for beams of various end conditions as follows:
(1) Simply Supported beam:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \psi^{-2}\right) d z=\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{2} s^{2}}\left\{\left[\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-\left(\frac{2 L}{h}\right) \bar{\psi}\right] \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d \lambda}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi} \frac{d \bar{\psi}^{-1}}{d \lambda}\right\}_{0}^{1} \tag{4.137}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) Fixed-End Beam:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \psi^{-2}\right) d z=\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{2} s^{2}}\left[\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{-1} \frac{d \bar{\phi}^{\prime}}{d \lambda}+\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{4 s^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}\right)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{\psi}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{\lambda}}\right]\left.\right|_{0} ^{1} \tag{4.138}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) Beam Free at both ends:

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \ddot{\psi}^{-2}\right) \mathrm{dZ}=\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{2} s^{2}}\left\{\bar{\phi} \frac{\alpha}{d \lambda} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{2 I}{h}\right) \bar{\psi}-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{1}\right.\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi} \frac{d \stackrel{q}{p}^{-1}}{d \lambda}\right]_{0}^{1} \tag{4.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) Beam fixed at one end, simply supported at the other:
$\int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+I^{2} \bar{\psi}^{-2}\right) d z=\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{2} s^{2}}\left[\left\{\left[\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-\left(\frac{2 I}{h}\right) \bar{\psi}\right] \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d \lambda}\right.\right.$.
$\left.\left.+\left(\frac{4 s^{2} I^{2}}{h^{2}}\right)-\frac{d \bar{\psi}}{d \lambda}\right\}_{z=1}^{-}\left\{\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime} \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d \lambda}+\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi}^{\prime} \frac{d \bar{\psi}}{d \lambda}\right\} \quad z=0\right]$
(4.140)
(5) Cantilever beam fixed at one end, free at the other:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \bar{\psi}^{-2}\right) d z=\frac{1}{2 \lambda s^{2}}\left[\left\{\bar{\phi} \frac{d}{d \lambda} \left\lvert\,\left(\frac{2 L}{h}\right) \bar{\psi}-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{-1}\right.\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi} \frac{d \bar{\psi}}{d \lambda}\right\}_{Z=1}^{-}\left\{\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime} \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d \lambda}+\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi}^{-1} \frac{d \bar{q}}{d \lambda}\right\}_{z=0}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.141)
(6) Cantilever beam simply supported at one end, free at the other:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \bar{\psi}^{2}\right) d z=\frac{1}{2 \lambda^{2} s^{2}}\left[\bar{\varnothing} \frac{d}{d \lambda}\left[\left(\frac{2 L}{h}\right) \bar{\psi}-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{-1}\right]\right. \\
& -\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right)-\frac{d \bar{\psi}^{-}}{d \lambda} \oint_{z=1}\left\{\left[\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{1}-\left(\frac{2 L}{h}\right) \bar{\psi}\right] \frac{d \bar{\phi}}{d \lambda}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{\psi} \frac{d \bar{\psi}^{-1}}{d \lambda}\right\}_{z=0}\right] \tag{4.142}
\end{align*}
$$

It is also suggested that the normalizing integral can be approximated by discrete values of $\bar{\varnothing}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ along the beam.

## Expression of Normalizing condition:

Let Eqs. (4.33) and (4.34) be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{2} s^{2} \bar{\phi}=-\left(s^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}+(2 L / h) \bar{\varphi}^{-\prime}  \tag{4.143}\\
& \lambda 2_{s^{2}} \alpha^{2} \bar{\psi}=-s^{2} \bar{\varphi}^{\prime \prime}+\bar{\varphi}-(\mathrm{h} / 2 L) \bar{\phi}^{\prime} \tag{4.144}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the Eq. (4.143) by $\bar{\varnothing}$ and the Eq. (4.144) by $\bar{\psi}$, adding the resulting equations, integrating over the whole beam, and oarrying out some integrals by integration by parts, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{2} s^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\phi}^{2}+\Omega^{2} \bar{\psi}^{-2}\right) \mathrm{d} Z=\int_{0}^{1}\left[-\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{2 I}{h}\right)\left(\bar{\phi} \bar{\psi}^{\prime \prime}-\bar{\phi}^{\prime} \bar{\psi}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{4 I^{2}}{h^{2}}\right)^{-2}-\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \psi^{-} \frac{4}{}_{-11}^{4^{2}}\right] d z \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right) \bar{\phi}^{-2}-\left(\frac{4 \pi}{h}\right) \phi^{-1} \bar{\psi}+\left(\frac{4 s^{2} L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{q}^{-\theta^{2}}+\left(\frac{4 L^{2}}{h^{2}}\right) \bar{\varphi}^{2}\right] d z(4.145)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. (4.145) is the expression of the Normalizing condition which is very useful in analyzing the forced vibration problems.

### 4.9. APPROXIMATE SOLUTIOINS BY GALERKIN'S TECHNIOUE":

In this section, approximate solutions are obtained, for the problem of free torsional vibrations of thin-walled beams of open section including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation, utilizing the well-known Galerkin's technique. Solutions with Galerkin's method are illustrated for fixed-fixed beam and for a beam fixed at one end and simply supported at the other.

### 4.9.1. FIXED-FIXED BEAM:

To satisfy the above boundary conditions in this case, the normal function $\bar{\varnothing}$ can be assumed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varnothing}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n}(1-\cos 2 n \pi z) \tag{4.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Equation (4.146) in the differential Equation (4.35), orthogonalizing the resulting error with the assumed function, integrating the obtained function over the whole length of the beam and equating it to zero, the frequency equation in $\lambda^{2}$ can be obtained as:
$3 \lambda^{4} s^{2} d^{2}-\lambda^{2}\left|3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}+A^{2}+s^{2} d^{2} K^{2}\right)\right|+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left|4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+K^{2}\right|=0$ (4.147)

[^2]Eq. (4.147) gives two real positive roots given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{m n}^{2}= & \frac{1}{6 s^{2} d^{2}}\left[\left\{3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2} K^{2}\right)\right\}\right. \\
& +(-1)^{m}\left\{\left[3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2} d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2} K^{2}\right)\right]^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-48 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\left[4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+K^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{4.148}
\end{align*}
$$

In arriving at Eq. (4.148), only one term of the infinite series of Eq. (4.146) is utilized. Hence, Eq. (4.148) gives upper bounds and has an infinite number of roots which in general represent two coupled frequency spectra.

By putting $\mathrm{s}^{2}=\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$, Eq. (4.147) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \lambda^{2}-4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(4 n^{2} \pi^{2}+K^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the expression for the frequency parameter $\lambda$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\frac{2 n \pi}{\sqrt{3}}\left(4 n^{2} \pi^{2}+K^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is same as that from Eq. (2.73) for $\Delta^{2}=\gamma^{2}=0$.

### 4.9.2. BEAM FIXED AT ONE END AND STMPLY SUPPORTED AT THE OTHER:

The normal function satisfying the boundary oonditions in this case can be assumed in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varnothing}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n}\left(\cos \frac{n \pi}{2} z-\cos \frac{3 n \pi}{2} z\right) \tag{4.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (4.151) in the Eq. (4.35) and following
the Galerkin's method, the frequency equation in $\lambda^{2}$ can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
16 \lambda^{4} s^{2} d^{2}-\lambda^{2} & {\left[16+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2} K^{2}\right)\right] } \\
& +n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[41 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+20 K^{2}\right]=0 \tag{4.152}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. (4.152) we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{m n}^{2}= & \frac{1}{16 s^{2} d^{2}}\left[\left\{16+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2} K^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& +(-1)^{m}\left\{\left[16+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2} K^{2}\right)\right]^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-64 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\left[41 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)+20 K^{2}\right]\right\} 1 / 2\right] \tag{4.153}
\end{align*}
$$

By putting $s^{2}=\alpha^{2}=0$, Eq. (4.152) reduces to:
$16 \lambda^{2} n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(41 n^{2} \pi^{2}+20 k^{2}\right)=0$
and the expression for the frequency parameter $\lambda$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{n \pi}{4}\left(41 n^{2} \pi^{2}+20 k^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is same as that from Eq. (2.76) for $\Delta^{2}=\gamma^{2}=0$.
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### 4.10. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS:

For a given beam with $K, s$ and $d$ known, the $\lambda_{i}(i=1,2,3, \ldots)$ can be found from the appropriate frequency equations and the corresponding $p_{i}$ are then calculated by Eq. (4.38). However, these frequency equations are highly transcendental and cannot be solved simply. This difficulty is overcome by the use of bisection method on digital Computer IBM 1130 at the Computer Center, Andhra University, Waltair. The results are obtained for some typical boundary conditions and various combinations of $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{s}$ and d . The results are presented for the special case $s=2 d$, which is usually the case for many Indian Standard wideflanged I-beams.

Let $\lambda_{0}$ be the classical eigen values obtained in Chapter II neglecting the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation and $p_{0}$, the natural torsional frequencies corresponding to $\lambda_{0}$. Comparing the mechanism of vibration of the classal beam based on Timoshenko Torsion theory and the present beam based on the improved theory, we note that the classical beam is equivalent to present beam with longitudinal inertia and shear constraints.

Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{p} \leqslant \mathrm{p}_{0}
$$

and

$$
\lambda / \lambda_{0}=p / p_{0}=q, q<1
$$

The ratio of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ or $p / p_{0}$, denoted by $q$, will be referred
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to the ''modifying quotient''. The variation of the ratio $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ (also the modifying quotient $q$ ) with the longitudinal inertia parameter d for the first three modes of vibration of a simply supported beam is plotted in Fig.4.3, which shows the corrections in the natural torsional frequencies owing to the individual influence of longitudinal inertia. In plotting this figure the warping parameter is taken as equal to 1.0 and the shear parameter s as equal to zero. It can be observed from Fig.4.3 that the reduction in the torsional frequency due to longitudinal inertia increases with increasing values of $d$. For a maximum value of $d=0.1$, the reduction in the torsional frequency can be observed from the graph as about 10 percent for the first mode, 35 percent for the second mode and 65 percent for the third mode. Therefore it can be concluded that the influence of longitudinal inertia on the torsional frequencies increases profoundly for higher modes of vibration.

For a simply supported beam, its higher harmonic corresponds to the fundamental of another simply supported beam of shorter span. The nth frequency of simply-supported beam of $\operatorname{span} I$ is equal to the fundamental of another such beam with span $I / n$. So, for the sake of simplicity and ease of presentation, Fig.4.4 is plotted between the ratio $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ and $k / n$ for values of $\mathrm{ns}=0.5,1.0$ and 2.0. For constant values of $K$ and $s$ the valuee of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ can be read from this figure for different falues of $n$ (ie., for different modes of vibration). If $n$ is kept constant, the values of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ can be obtained for various combinations of the warping parameter $K$ and shear parameter s. In plotting


$\frac{\text { Fig. 4.4. Corrections in natural frequencies of a simply supported }}{\text { beam owing to shear deformation (dxo) }}$
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this graph, the value of the longitudinal inertia parameter d is taken as equal to zero.

For example, if we consider the variation of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ for the fundamental mode of vibration (ie., $n=1$ ), we can observe from Fig. 4.4 that for a value of $K=1$, and for $s=2.0$, the value of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ is 0.34 which means that the reduction in the value of the torsional frequency is by 66 percent. It can be therefore stated that for any constant values of $n$ and $E_{i}$, the increase in the values of shear darameter s decreases the values of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ (ie., the modifying quotient $q$ ). This reduction can be seen to be profound for smaller values of $K$ and for higher modes of vibration (ie., for larger values of $n$ ). If the value of shear parameter $s$ is taken as constant, say 0.5 , it can be observed from Fig.4.4 that for $K=4.0$ and $n=1$, the value of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ is 0.85 (ie., reduction is by 15 percent) and for $K=4.0$, and $n=4$, the value of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ is 0.34 (ie., reduction is by 66 percent). It can be also observed thet (e, reduction is by 66 Iue of mode number $n$ and (or) deat the increase in the vaparameter K , decreases the decrease in the value of warping fore concluded that the individus of $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$. It can be theretion is to decrease the torelonal influence of shear deformavibration and that this reducional frequency for any mode of modes of vibration and for smaion becomes significant for higher (ie., for short beams). From smaller values of warping parameter $K$ that the offects of both lom Figs. 4.3 and 4.4 we can observe tion is to decrease the longitudinal inertia and shear deforma-
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reduction becomes significant for higher modes of vibration. It can be also observed that comparatively the individual influence of shear deformation on the torsional frequency of vibration is more profound than that of longitudinal inertia.

The combined effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies of the first set of simply-supported, clamped-simply supported and clamped-clamped beams $(s=2 d)$ are shown in Tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 respectively. The values of the frequency parameter $\lambda_{2}$
and modified quotients $q=\lambda / \lambda$ ofor the first four modes of torsional vibration are given in these tables for various combinations of the parameters $K, s$ and $d$.

It can be observed from Table 4.1 that in the cano of simply-supported beams for $K=0.01$, $s=0.10$ and $d=0.05$, the modifying quotients for the first four modes are respectively $0.944,0.826,0.705$ and 0.603 and therefore the reductions in the first four torsional frequencies are respectively by $5.6 \%$, $17.4 \%, 29.5 \%$ and $39.7 \%$. For $K=10.0, \mathrm{~s}=0.10$ and $d=0.05$, the modifying quotients for the first four modes are respectively $0.986,0.934,0.851$ and 0.762 and therefore the reductions in the first four torsional frequencies are respectively by $1.4 \%$, $6.6 \%, 14.9 \%$ and $23.8 \%$. From these values we can observe that the increase in the value of warping parameter K reduces the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the torsional frequencies of vibration and that for smaller values

TABIE-4.2
set) of clamped tudinal inertia and shear defo

- supported thin on

TABLE-4.3
Effects of Longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies (first set) of clamped-clamped thin-walled Beams ( $s=2 d$ ).

| K | $s$ | d |  |  | Values of $\lambda^{2}$ and $\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ |  |  |  | IV Mode | $q_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | II Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | III Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ |  |  |
| 0.01 | $0.00-$ | 0.00 | 519.521 | 1.000 | 8312.322 | 1.000 | 42081.117 | 1.000 | 132997. 094 | 1.000 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 506.516 | 0.987 | 7553.774 | 0.953 | 34643.352 | 0.907 | 97904.031 | 0.858 |
|  | 0.08 | $0.0 \leq$ | 472.111 | 0.953 | 6119.002 | 0.858 | 24856.652 | 0.769 | 66324.172 | 0.706 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 450.494 | 0.931 | 5463.667 | 0.811 | 21719.863 | 0.718 | 66035.985 | 0.705 |
| 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 532.679 | 1.000 | 8364.955 | 1.000 | 42199.539 | 1.000 | 133207.625 |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 520.175 | 0.988 | 7613.752 | 0.954 | 34796.836 | 0.908 | -98226.422 | 0.859 |
|  | 0.08 | $0.0 \leq$ | 487.097 | 0.956 | 6198.148 | 0.861 | 25105.473 | 0.771 | 67019.500 | 0.709 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 466.436 | 0.936 | 5556.567 | 0.815 | 22061.781 | 0.723 | 63261.859 | 0.689 |
| 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1835.1773 | 1.000 | 13576.129 | 1.000 | 53924.686 | 1.000 | 154052.313 |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1870.097 | 1.009 | 13551.494 | 0.999 | 52975.867 | 0.991 | 129726.219 | 1.0018 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 1973.504 | 1.037 | 14213.285 | 1.023 | 50029.805 | 0.963 | 84112.531 | 0.739 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 2054.938 | 1.058 | 15654.676 | 1.074 | 28024.945 | 0.721 | 15597.772 | 0.318 |
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| T A B L B - 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Values of the Second set of fir walled beams ( $s=2 d$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - K | s | d | Values of second set of $\lambda^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | I Mode | II Mode | III Mode | IV Mode |
| 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1593247.253 | 1684425.253 | 1833359.503 |  |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 105276.578 | 127303.313 | 162304.813 | 209397.000 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 44847.953 | 58676.852 | 80492.469 | 109879.281 |
| 1.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1593247. 253 | 1684425.503 |  |  |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 105276.688 | 127304.875 | 162309.969 | 2036859.503 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 44848.156 | 58678.828 | 80498.235 | 109889.797 |
| 10.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | $105290.313$ | 127463.813 | 162848.407 | 210522.000 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 44868.242 | 58888.274 | 81137.438 | 111108.594 |

T ABLE-4.5

| K | s | d | Values of Second set of $\lambda^{2}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I Mode | II Mode | III Mode | IV Mode |
| 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1600809.503 | 1713070.503 | 1892789.253 |  |
|  | 0.08 | 0.01 | 107066.906 | 133283.313 | 172987.188 | 224012.407 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 45951.258 | 62101.703 | 86126.594 | 116815.516 |
| 1.00 | 0.04 |  | 1600809.503 | 1713069.003 | 1892782.003 | 2132510.506 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.01 | 107066.531 | 133277.657 | 172960.719 | 2123935.844 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 45950.680 | 62093.180 | 86087.875 | 116705.656 |
| 10.00 | 0.04 |  |  | 1712920.753 | 1892045.003 | 2130244.506 |
|  | 0.08 | $0 . \mathrm{CA}$ | 107029.125 | $132692.125$ | 170092.125 | 215057.313 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 45891.797 | 61156.977 | 81244.578 | 98552.562 |

$$
T \mathrm{AB} \mathrm{BE}-4.6
$$

Values of the Second set of first four torsional frequencies of clamped-clamped thin-

| K | S | d | Values of Second set of $\lambda^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I Mode | II Mode | III Mode | IV ifode |
| 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1603117.503 | 1719440.753 | 1897969.003 |  |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 107465.047 | 132660.813 | 165327.563 | $\begin{array}{r} 2122573.006 \\ 195006 \end{array}$ |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 46129.297 | 60855.430 | 77498.063 | $r 792 \leq 0.328$ |
| 1.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1603117.003 | 1719433.503 | 1897934.003 | 2122467.507 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 107463.235 | $132634.282$ | $165197.188$ | $1953 \leqslant 1.469$ |
|  | . 0.10 | 0.05 | 46126.516 | $60815.164$ | $77274.578$ | $82224.969$ |
| 10.00 | $0.04$ | 0.02 | $1603070.003$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.08 \\ & 0.10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 \\ & 0.05 \end{aligned}$ | $107279.625$ | $129830.344$ | $149051.907$ | $199093.094$ |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 45840.797 |  |  | $150733.750$ |
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of K the reductions in the torsional frequencies at higher modes owing to these second order effects become quite significant and should be taken care of. Similar observations can be made from Tables 4.2 and 4.3 for clamped-simply supported and clamped-clamped beams. It can be also noticed that thase reductions in the torsional frequencies due to longitudinal inertia and shear deformation are comparatively high in the eate of olfamperi-clamper bafma than in tha onme of ol.ampansimply supported or simply-supported beams.

The results for the second set of frequencies for the simply supported, clamped-simply supported and clamped-clamped beams are given in Tables $4.4,4.5$ and 4.6 respectively. It must be recalled here that these second set of frequencies exist solely due to the inclusion of these second order effects. From Tables 4.4 to 4.6 , we observe that even in the case of second set, the effect of increase in the values of the parameters s and d is to reduce significently the frequencies at higher modes of vibration. It is interesting to note that the increase in the value of the warping parameter $K$ is having a negligible offeot on thoon reduotiong in the froquencios of the seoond sot for all the three boundary conditions considered here.

### 5.1. INTRODUCTION:

The problem of torsional vibrations of short wide-flanged thin-walled beams including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation is completely solved in Chapter IV utilizing rigorous mathomatical analysis. The highly transcendental frequency equations obtained for various end conditions could be solved only by lengthy trial-and-error procedure. Except for the case of simply-supported beam, the results for other complex boundary conditions could be obtained only by expending considerable effort.

Even the approximate analytical methods such as Ritz and Galerkin techniques have a tendenceg to become very tedious for some complex boundary conditions. The complexity of the analytical techniques even for simple end conditions emphasizes the need for physically satisfactory approximate solutions. To this end, the present Chapter aims at developing a finite element analysis of torsional vibrations of short wide-flanged thin-walled beams including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation.

[^3]The basio thoory behind the finito element mothod for dynamic problems is briefly presented in Chapter III and is shown to give results which are in excellent agreement with the exact ones. This chapter, therefore, extends the finite element method to torsional vibrations of doubly-symnetric thin-walled beams of open section inolualine the effeats of loneftuainal inertia and shear deformation. New etifferes and mass matrioes for a thin-walled beam are developed in this chapter, for the first time and, to the best of author's knowledge, there is no other finite element formulation for this problem available in the literature. The method developed in this chapter is applicable to uniform as well as non-uniform beams with any complex boundary conditions. A consistant mass matrix is made use of in conjunction with the corresponding stiffness matrix for finding the frequencies and mode shapes for free torsional vibrations of uniform thin-walled beams with various boundary conditions. Results obtained are compared with the exact ones obtained in Chapter IV and an excellent agreement is observed.

### 5.2. MODIF IFD ENERGY EXPRRSSIONS:

Two approaches are made to our present problem. In the first approach, the stiffness and mass matrices are developed in terms of the total angle of twist $\varnothing$ and the warping angle directly utilizing the strain and kinetic energy expressions (Eqs.4.12 and 4.13) derived in Chapter IV. By assuming only one degree of freedom for each of the angles $\varnothing$ and $\psi$, the stiffness and mass matrices each of $4 \times 4$ size are obtained which include the second order effects. But the matrices obtained in this
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approach, though not shown here, does not satisfy the exact boundary conditions and thus could not yield good results.

An alternative approach which will be discussed in detail in this chapter is to split the total angle of twist into two parts: One part is the twist calculated by neglecting the shear strain in the strain energy expression, (Eq.(4.12)); and the second part gives the contribution due to shear strain.

Let us define the total angle of twist $\varnothing$ as:

$$
\phi(z, t)=\varnothing_{t}(z, t)+\phi_{s}(z, t)
$$

where the subscript denotes the part of the solution when the shear strain has been neglected, and the subscript s denotes the contribution of the shear strain to the total angle of twist. This type of choice has the advantage that when $\phi_{s}$ is equated to zero, the resulting expressions reduce bnok to the equations for the lengthy beams presented and solved in Chap-ter-II. This approach is quite convenient as it satisfactorily encompasses all boundary conditions of the present problem.

> By substituting Eq.(5.1) into Eq.(4.9) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(h / 2)\left(\phi_{t}+\phi_{s}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting of Eq.(5.2) into Eq.(4.6) gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi+\epsilon_{s h}=\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z}+\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing_{s}}{\partial_{z}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq.(5.3) we can write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{s h}=\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing_{s}}{\partial z} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the expressions for $\psi$ and $\epsilon_{\text {sh }}$ from Eqs.(5.4) and (5.5) respectively into Eqs. (4.4) and (4.7), the expressions for moment $M$ and shear force $Q$ can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E I_{f} \frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{t}}{\partial \partial_{\phi}^{2}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q=K^{\prime} A_{f}^{G} \frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing_{s}}{\partial_{z}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting Eq.(5.1) into Eq.(4.1), the strain energy $U_{1}$ due to saint-venant torsion oan be obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L_{1}} G C_{s}\left(\frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \varnothing_{\tilde{e}}}{\partial z}\right)^{2} d z \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting Eqs.(5.6) and (5.4) into Eq. (4.5), the strain energy $U_{2}$ of the two flanges due to warping normal strain becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} E C_{W}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{t}}{\partial_{z}^{2}}\right)^{2} d z \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs.(5.1) and (5.7) into Eqs. (2.2a) and (4.8), the expressions for the Saint-Venant torque $T_{s}$ and the torque due to warping $T_{W}$ can be respectively obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{s}=G C_{s}\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial_{z}}+\frac{\partial \phi_{s}}{\partial_{z}}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{w}=-Q h=K^{\prime} \Lambda_{f} G \frac{h^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing_{s}}{\partial_{z}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the total torque $\mathbb{T}_{t}$ (See Eq. 4.10) can be obtained from Eqs. (5.10) and (5.11) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}={G C_{s}}_{s}\left(\frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial_{z}}+\frac{\partial \varnothing_{s}}{\partial_{z}}\right)+K^{\prime} A_{f} G \frac{h^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial \emptyset_{s}}{\partial z} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (5.7) and (5.5) into Eq. (4.11), the strain energy due to shear deformation of the two flanges, $U_{3}$, becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} K^{\prime} A_{f} G \frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{\mathcal{B}}}{\partial z}\right)^{2} d z \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total strain energy, $U$, at any instant $t$ (See Eq. 4.12) is the sum of the energies $U_{1}, U_{2}$ and $U_{3}$ and therefore given by
$U=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I}\left[G C_{s}\left(\frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \phi_{s}}{\partial z}\right)^{2}+E C_{w}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{t}}{\partial z_{z}^{2}}\right)^{2}+K^{\prime} A_{f}^{G} \frac{h^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{s}}{\partial_{z}}\right)^{2}\right] d z$ (5.14)
By substituting Eqs.(5.1) and (5.4) into Eq.(4.13), the total kinetic energy, $T$, at time $t$ becomes:
$T=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I}\left[\rho I_{p}\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \phi_{s}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+P C_{w}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z \partial t}\right)^{2}\right] d z$

### 5.3. MODIFIED NATURAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS:

In terms of the angles $\phi_{t}$ and $\phi_{s}$ the natural boundary conditions given by Eqs.(4.19) to (4.22) can be modified as follows:
(a) Simply supported end:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}=0 ; \quad \phi_{t}=0 ; \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{t}}{\partial_{z}^{2}}=0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$
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(b) Fixed end:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{s}}=0 ; \quad \phi_{\mathrm{t}}=0 ; \quad \frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial z}=0 \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Free end:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z^{2}}=0 ; G C_{s} \frac{\partial \phi_{t}}{\partial z}+\left(G O_{s}+K^{\prime} \Lambda_{f}^{G} h^{2} / 2\right) \frac{\partial \phi_{s}}{\partial z}=0 \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(\#)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z}=0 ; \frac{\partial \phi_{s}}{\partial z}=0 \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions given by $\operatorname{Eqs}(5.18) \frac{(5,19) \text {. }}{\text { are useful for find- }}$ ing symmetric modes of vibration in simply supported, fixedfixed and free-free beams.

### 5.4. FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION:

In the present formulation, for each finite elembnt of a.short thin-walled beam in torsion including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation in addition to warping, there are four generalized nodal displacements at the $j$ end of the ith member. These nodal displacements are:
$\emptyset_{t j}=$ angle of twist neglecting shear strain at the shear center about z-axis;
$\phi_{t j}^{\prime}=$ rate of change of $\phi_{t}$ at the shear center about $z$-axis;
$\varnothing_{s j}=$ angle of twist due to shear strain at the shear center about z-axis;
$\phi_{B j}^{\prime}=$ rate of change of $\varnothing_{s}$ at the shear center about z-axis;
where subscript $j$ denotes the generalized displacement at the $f$ end of the ith finite element. Similar generalized nodal displacements exist at the $K$ end of the element. The prime denotes differentiation with respect to $z$.

Assuming the angles $\varnothing_{t}$ and $\varnothing_{s}$ within each inite element to vary cubiçy the displacement functions take the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varnothing_{t}(z)=a_{1}+b_{1} z+c_{1} z^{2}+a_{1} z^{3} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varnothing_{s}(z)=a_{2}+b_{2} z^{\prime}+c_{2} z^{2}+d_{2} z^{3} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish relationships between the displacements at any interior coordinate $z$ in terms of the generalized nodal coordinates, the eight arbitrary constants in the assumed displacement functions must be determined.

After determining the coefficients in Eqs.(5.20) and (5.21), the angles $\varnothing_{t}$ and $\varnothing_{s}$ at any coordinate $z$ within the element in terms of the nodal displacements $\varnothing_{t j}, \partial \varnothing_{t j} / \partial z, \varnothing_{t K}$, and $\partial \varnothing_{t K} / \partial_{z}$ and, $\varnothing_{s j}, \partial \varnothing_{s j} / \partial z, \varnothing_{S K}$, and $\partial \varnothing_{s K} / \partial z$ can be respectively defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varnothing_{t}(z)=\left[\left(1-3 \bar{\xi}_{1}^{2}+2 \bar{\xi}_{1}^{3}\right), z\left(1-2 \bar{\xi}_{1}+\bar{\xi}_{1}^{2}\right),\left(3 \bar{\xi}_{1}^{2}-2 \bar{\xi}_{1}^{3}\right), z\left(-\bar{\xi}_{1}+\bar{\xi}_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \bar{R}_{t N}(t) \\
& \text { and } \tag{5.22}
\end{align*}
$$


(5.23)
where $\bar{\xi}_{1}=z / 1$.
Eqs. (5.22) and (5.23) can be written in an a a breviated form as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varnothing_{t}(z)=\bar{A}(z) \bar{R}_{t N}(t) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathbf{s}}(z)=\overline{\mathbb{A}}(z) \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{sN}}(t) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{R}_{t N}=\left[\phi_{t j}, \phi_{t j}^{\prime}, \phi_{\mathrm{tK}}, \phi_{\mathrm{tK}}^{\prime}\right]  \tag{5.26}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{BN}}=\left[\phi_{\mathrm{sj}}, \phi_{\mathrm{Bj}}^{\prime}, \phi_{\mathrm{sK}}, \phi_{\mathrm{sK}}^{\prime}\right] \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\bar{A}(z)$ is given by Eq. (3.23).
Similarly, for the first and'second derivatives of the angles $\emptyset_{t}$ and $\emptyset_{s}$, the matrix relations can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{t}^{\prime}(z)=\left(\bar{A}(z) \bar{R}_{t N}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\bar{A}_{1}(z) \bar{R}_{t N}(t)  \tag{5.28}\\
& \phi_{t}^{\prime \prime}(z)=\left(\bar{A}(z) \mathbb{R}_{t N}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime}=\bar{A}_{2}(z) \boldsymbol{H}_{t N}(t)  \tag{5.29}\\
& \phi_{B}^{\prime}(z)=\left(\bar{A}(z) \bar{R}_{s N T}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\bar{A}_{1}(z) \bar{R}_{B N}(t) \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}^{\prime \prime}(z)=\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}}(z) \bar{R}_{s N}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime}=\bar{A}_{2}(z) \bar{R}_{s \mathbb{N}}(t) \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}_{1}(z)$ and $\bar{\Lambda}_{2}(z)$ are defined by Eqs. (3.27) and (3.28).

The generelized velooities and nocolerations onn al. bo be expressed in terms of the discretized nodal velocities and accelerations:

That is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\varnothing}_{t}(z)=\bar{A}(z) \dot{\bar{R}}_{t N}(t)  \tag{5.32}\\
& \dot{\varnothing}_{t}^{\prime}(z)=\bar{A}_{1}(z) \dot{\bar{R}}_{t N}(t)  \tag{5.33}\\
& \ddot{\phi}_{t}(z)=\bar{\Lambda}(z) \ddot{\bar{R}}_{t N}(t)  \tag{5.34}\\
& \ddot{\varnothing}_{s}(z)=\bar{A}(z) \stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{R}}{S N}(t) \tag{5.35}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\varnothing}_{s}(z)=\bar{A}(z) \ddot{\tilde{R}}_{s N}(t) \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where dots denote differentiation with respect to time $t$.

### 5.5. Derivation of Element Matrices including Second Order Effectg:

The expressions for the strain energy $U$, and Kinetic energy $T_{k j g i v e n ~ b y ~ E q s . ~(5.14) ~ a n d ~(5.15) ~ r e s p e c t i v e l y, ~ f o r ~}^{\text {( }}$ an element of finite length, 1 , can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I}\left[G C_{s}\left(\phi_{t}^{\prime}+\phi_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+E C_{W}\left(\phi_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G \frac{h}{2}\left(\phi_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d z \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} \delta^{1}\left[\rho_{p}\left(\dot{\phi}_{t}+\dot{\phi}_{s}\right)^{2}+P_{C_{w}}\left(\dot{\phi}_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d z \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct substitution of Eqs. (5.24) to (5.36) into Eqs. (5.37) and (5.38) and the resulting expressions into Hamilton's Prine ciple, Eq. (3.34) for $W=0$, ylelds (for the Nth element):
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{R}_{t N}-T-\dot{\bar{R}}_{s N} d z+\int_{0}^{1} \dot{-}_{R_{s N}} A-T-\dot{\bar{R}}_{t N} d z\right] \\
& +\frac{P C_{I}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \stackrel{\bullet}{R}_{t N}^{T}-T-\dot{\bar{R}}_{t N} d z \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{t N}^{\top}\left[E 0_{w} \bar{A}_{2}^{-T} \bar{\Lambda}_{2}+G 0_{s} \bar{A}_{1}^{-T} \bar{A}_{1}\right] \bar{R}_{t N} d z \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{s}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G \frac{h^{2}}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{T} R_{s N}^{-T} \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{s N} d z \\
& \left.-\frac{G \sigma_{s}}{2}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{t N}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{s N} d z+\int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{s N} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{t N} d z\right]\right\} d t \\
& =0 \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (5.39) can be also written more concisely as follows:
$\delta I_{N}=\delta \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(P I_{p} L\right) \dot{\bar{q}}_{N}^{T} m_{N} \dot{\bar{q}}_{N}-\left(E C_{N} / I^{3}\right)_{q_{N}^{-T}}^{-T} \tilde{K}_{N} \bar{q}_{N}\right] d t=0$

In Eq. 5.40 ) the terms $\left(\rho I_{p} L\right)_{m_{N}}$ and $\left(E C_{W} / L^{3}\right) \bar{K}_{N}$ denote respectively the new mass and stiffness matrices $\bar{M}_{N}$ and $\bar{K}_{N}$ respectively of the Nth element. The matrices $\bar{m}_{N}, \bar{K}_{N}$ and $\bar{q}_{N}$ are given below:

$$
m_{N}=\frac{1 / /}{420 H^{4}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{m}_{11} & \bar{m}_{21}^{-T}  \tag{5.41}\\
11 & \\
\bar{m}_{21} & \bar{m}_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\bar{K}_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{11} & -\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{21}  \tag{5.42}\\
\overline{\mathrm{~K}}_{21} & \overline{\mathrm{~K}}_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\bar{q}_{N}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{q}_{t N}, & \bar{q}_{s N} \tag{5.43}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{m}_{11}=\frac{1}{420 N^{4}}\left[\begin{array}{ccl}
156 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } \\
22 N & 4 & \\
54 N^{2} & 13 N & 156 N^{2} \\
-13 N & -3 & -22 N
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\frac{d^{2} N^{2}}{30}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
36 N^{2} & & & \\
3 N & 4 & & \\
-36 N^{2} & -3 N & 36 N^{2} & \\
3 N & -1 & -3 N & 4
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5.44}\\
& \bar{m}_{21}=\bar{m}_{22}=\frac{1}{420 N^{4}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
156 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } \\
22 N & 4 & & \\
54 N^{2} & 13 N & 156 N^{2} & \\
-13 N & -3 & -22 N & 4
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5.45}\\
& \bar{K}_{11}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
12 N^{2} & & & \\
6 N & 4 & & \\
-12 N^{2} & -6 N & 12 N^{2} & \\
6 N & 2 & -6 N & 4
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
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& +\frac{K^{2}}{30 N^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
36 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } \\
3 N & 4 & & \\
-36 N^{2} & -3 N & 36 N^{2} & \\
3 N & -1 & -3 N & 4
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5.46}\\
& \bar{K}_{21}=\frac{K^{2}}{30 N^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
36 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } \\
3 N & 4 & & \\
-36 N^{2} & -3 N & 36 N^{2} & \\
3 N & -1 & -3 N & 4
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5.47}\\
& \bar{K}_{22}=\frac{\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)}{30 g^{2} N^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
36 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } \\
3 N & 4 & & \\
-36 N^{2} & -3 N & 36 N^{2} & \\
3 N & -1 & -3 N & 4
\end{array}\right]  \tag{5.48}\\
& \bar{q}_{t N}=\left[\phi_{t j}, I \phi_{t j}^{\prime}, \phi_{t K}, I \phi_{t K}^{\prime}\right]  \tag{5.49}\\
& \bar{q}_{g N}=\left[\varnothing_{g j}, I \varnothing_{g j}^{\prime}, \varnothing_{B K}, I \varnothing_{B K}^{\prime}\right] \tag{5.50}
\end{align*}
$$

and the non-dimensional parameters $K^{2}, d^{2}$ and $s^{2}$ are previously defined by Eqs.(4.39), (4.40), and (4.41) respectively.

The equations of motion for the discretized system can now be obtained using Eq. (5.40). Talcing the variation of the integral expression of Eq. (5.40) we obtain:

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left[\left(P I_{p} L\right) \bar{\delta} \dot{\bar{q}}_{N}^{T} \bar{m}_{N} \dot{\bar{q}}_{N}-\left(E C_{w} / L^{3}\right) \delta \bar{\delta}_{N}^{-T} \bar{q}_{N} \bar{q}_{N}\right] d t=0 \text { (5.51) }
$$

which after integration by parts over the time interval gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(P I_{p} L\right) \bar{\delta} \bar{q}_{N}^{T} \bar{m}_{N} \bar{q}_{N}\right|_{t_{1}} ^{t_{2}} \\
& \quad-\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}} \bar{\delta}_{N}^{-T}\left[\left(P I_{p} L\right) \bar{m}_{N} \ddot{\bar{q}}_{N}+\left(E C_{W} / I^{3}\right)^{;} \bar{K}_{N} \bar{q}_{N}\right] d t=0 \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in Eq. (5.52) is seen to vanish in view of the assumptions made previously that the virtual displacements $\bar{\delta}_{\bar{q}_{N}}$ are zero at the time instants $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. Since the virtual displacements can be arbitrary for other times then the only way in which the integrell expression in Eq. (5.52) can vanish is for the terms within the brackets to equal zero. Therefore, the governing dynamic equilibrium equations for the discretized systems are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P I_{p} L\right) \bar{m}_{N} \ddot{q}_{N}+\left(E O_{W} / L^{3}\right) \bar{K}_{N} \bar{q}_{N}=0 \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that the displacements undergo harmonic oscillation, the displacement vector $\bar{q}_{N}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{N}=\bar{Q}_{N} e^{i p_{n} t} \tag{B.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Q}_{N}$ is a column vector of torsional amplitudes of the general torsional displacements. Substituting Eq. (5.54) into (5.53) gives: .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(E C_{W} / L^{3}\right) \bar{k}_{N}-\left(P I_{p} I p_{n}^{2}\right) \bar{m}_{N}\right] \bar{Q}_{N} e^{i p_{n} t}=0 \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 169

Deviding throughout by $E C_{w} / L^{3}$ and cancelling $e^{1 p_{n}}$, Eq. (5.55) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\bar{x}_{N}\right]\left[\bar{Q}_{N}\right]=\lambda^{2}\left[\bar{m}_{N H}\right]\left[\bar{Q}_{N}\right] \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda^{2}$ is the non-dimensionel frequency parameter defined previously by (Eq.(4.38). Eq.(5.56) represents the equations of motion for an undamped free oscillating system including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation.

### 5.6. Equations of Equilibrium for the totally assembled beam:

Following the procedure outlined in section 3.5 and utilising the element stiffness and mass matrices presented in section 5.5, the equations of equilibrium for the totally assembled beam can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\overline{\mathrm{k}}][\overline{\mathrm{a}}]=\lambda^{2}[\overline{\mathrm{~m}}][\overline{\mathrm{a}}] \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{K}$, $\bar{m}$ and $\bar{Q}$ denote the totally assembled matrices corresponding to the element matrices $\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \overline{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ defined previously. With the four generalized displacements possible at each node and with the bar segmented into $N$ elements, the total number of degrees of freedom is $4(\mathrm{~N}+1)$. The formulation of the matrix equilibrium equation, Fq. (5.57), inoludes all possible degrees of freedom, both free and restrained. The displacement vector $Q$ of this overall joint equilibrium equations is comprized of both degrees of freedom, the unknowns of the problem and known support displacements or boundary conditions.

## 5.7 . Boundary conditions useful for Modifying the total <br> Matrices:

It should be recalled here that for the present finitf element formulation, totally four generalized displacements are considered at each node. The following are therefore the boundary conditions to be utilized in order to modify the total stiffness and mass matrices for various combinations of end supports.
(a) Simply supported end:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}=0 ; \phi_{t}=0 \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Fixed end:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{s}=0 ; \phi_{t}=0 ; I \varnothing_{t}^{\prime}=0 \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Free end:

The total matrices need not be modified in this case.
(d)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \varnothing_{t}^{\prime}=0 ; \quad L \varnothing_{s}^{\prime}=0 \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.58) $t_{i}$

Eqs.. 5.60 ) are useful for finding symmetric modes of vibration in simply supported, fixed-fixed and free-free bearns.

### 5.8. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS:

A digital computer programe is written in Fortran IV which can'give results for any set of boundary conditions. Results for simply supported and fixed-fixed beams for values of $K=1.541, s=0.046$ and $d=0.023$, are obtained on IBM 1130 Computer at Andhra University, Waltair and are presented in Tables 5.1 and 5.2.

For the simply supported case, the first and second sets of values of $\lambda$ obtained for the first four modes of vibration for a division of the beam into $N=2$ and 3 segments are shown In Table 5.1 and are compared with the exact results obtained using the anelysis presented in Chapter IV. For, the fixedfixed beam, the first set of values of $\lambda$ obtained for the first four modes of vibration of $N=2$ and 3 are shown in Table 5.2 and are compared with the exact results. The exact results for the simply supported case were obtained using Eq. (4.65) and for the fixed-fixed beam, the results were obtained using Eqs. (4.44) and (4.72).

It can be seen from Tables 5.1 and 5.2 that for all cases, excellent results have been obtained even for very coarse subdivisions of the beam. Since the stiffness and mass matrices including shear deformation and longitudinal inertia seperately involve double the number of degrees of freedom than those that exist if they are neglected, twice as many natural frequencies result. In Table 5.1 the lower and higher spectrum of frequen-
TABLE-5.1
Comparison of first and second sets of values-of $\lambda$. from the Finite element Method and those from exact analysis given in Chapter IV for a simply supported beam ( $k=1.541, s=0.046, d=0.023$ ).

 | First Set: |
| :---: |
| I |
| II |
| III |
| IV |
| V |
| Second Set: |
| I |
| II |
| III |
| IV |
| V |

TABLE-5.2
Comparison of the first set of values of $\lambda$ from the finite element method and those from
exact analysis given in Chapter IV for a fixed-fixed beam $(K=1.541, g=0.046, d=0.023)$.

| Mode | Exact Values of <br> $\lambda$ from Chap. IV | No.of elements and \% error |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Two elements | \% errer | Three elements | \% error |
| I | 21.6699 | 21.8663 | 0.91\% | 21.8374 | $0.78 \%$ |
| II | 55.9769 | 69.3964 | 23.94\% | 67.8850 | 21.24\% |
| III | 101.7908 | 185.9526 | 82.96\% | 116.5183 | 14.47\% |
| IV | 155.7791 | 241.3891 | $54.96 \%$. | 194.7396 | 25.01\% |
| V | 215.4931 |  |  | 303.6783 | 40.93\% |
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cies obtained can also be observed to be in excellent agreement with the exact ones. In Chapter IV, we have discussed this second set of frequencies in detail.

Using the above atiffness and masa matrices, beams with various other boundary conditions, can be analyzed easily. A beam with rariable cross section can also be analyzed by deviding the beam into a number of segments and assuming that each segment has a onnstant cross seotion. In all oreed (as we observed from Tables 5.1 and 5.2), the method gives an upper bound to the exact frequencies of the system. The approach presented in theschapter is quite general, satisfactorily encompasses all boundary conditions and can be extended to static and dynamic stability of uniform and tapered thin-walled beams.

## CHAPTER - VI

FORCED TORSIONAL VIBRATIONS OF SHORT WIDE-FLANGED BEAMS WITH LONGITUDINAL INERTIA, SHEAR DEFORMATION AND VISCOUS DAMPING**.

### 6.1. IITTRODUCTION:

In Chapters IV and $V$, the problem of free torsional vibrations of short thin-walled beans of open section, including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation under very general loads including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation, and solved the specific case of a simply supported beam with a step torque impulsively applied at the mid-point. He compared the results obtained for the above problem, with those obtained utilizing Timoshenko torsion theory. But in all these studies the effect of damping not

[^4]considered.

The present Ohapter thorefore doals with the study of foroed torsional vibretiono of doubly-symmetric thin-walled beams of open section such as an I-beam, including the effects of longitudinal inertia, shear deformation and viscous damping. Viscous damping forces arising separately from torsional and warping valooftion aro inoluthat in tho equationm of motion and tho oouplod fundemonterl oquitions of motion are formulated in terms of angle of twist and warping angle. The method of solution is demonstrated for arbitrary external torque for the beam having both ends simply-supported and numerical results are presented for the case when the torque is uniform over the span and varies sinusoidally in time. Amplitude response is plotted versus torsional frequency for varying amounts of torsional and warping dauping, and is compared to the response for the classical beam (based on Timoshenko torsion theory) for the first five symmetric mode shapes.
6.2. DFRIVAIIOIV OF 卫QUATIOIVS OF MOTIDN INULUDING VISCOUS DAFPIIVG:

In Fig.'6.1, a typical differential element of length dz and width $b$ fis taken from the flange of the thin-walled beam, and the generalized forces acting are shown. Assuming small displacements as in Chapter IV and summing the torques yields one equation of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial_{z}}\left(T_{s}+T_{w}\right)-\beta_{t} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+T_{e}=\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$


where $\mathbb{T}_{s}$ is the Saint Vonant torquo givon by Eq. (2.2a), $\mathbb{T}_{W}$ the warping torque given by Eq. (4.8), $\beta_{t}$ the toralonal damping constant and, $T_{e}$ the external torque per unit leneth of the beam.

Summing moments about an axis normal to Fig.6.1 yields the second equation of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial M}{\partial z}-Q-q b_{f}=P I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where M is the bending moment in the top flange given by Eq. (4.4), Q the shear force given by Eq. (4.7), q the extermal viscous force per unit length acting along the sides of the flanges, of width b , to oppose warping.

Further, let us define a warping damping constant $\beta_{\mathrm{w}}$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{\beta_{w}}{b_{f}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs.(2.2a), (4.8), (4.4), (4.7) and (6.3) in Eqs.(6.1) and (6.2) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right)+T_{e}=P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}+\beta_{t} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing}{\partial z}-\psi\right)=P I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}+\beta_{w} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is necessary to obtain solutions to the differential Equations (6.4) and (6.5) which also satisfy the boundary conditions of the particular problem being considered. This may be
achleved by assuming solutions in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(z, t)=\sum_{n} \bar{\phi}_{n}(z) F_{n}(t)  \tag{6.6}\\
& \psi(z, t)=\sum_{n} \bar{\psi}_{n}(z) G_{n}(t) \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\varnothing}_{n}(z)$ and $\bar{\psi}_{n}(z)$ are the mode shapes obtained from solving the free, undamped vibration problem. The mode shape functions are given in section 4.7 of Chapter IV for the six cases arising from oombinations of almply supportod, clampod and froe ends. This procedure will be used below to investigate the case when both ends are simply supported.

### 6.3. SOLUTION FOR THE CASE OF $\AA$ SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAM:

Consider a beam of length $L$ having its ends $z=0$ and $z=L$ both simply supported. From Eq. (4.65) of Chapter IV, the frequencies of vibration for this case are given in an alternative form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{n}^{2}=\frac{-\overline{\mathrm{b}} \pm\left(\bar{b}^{2}-4 \bar{a} \bar{c}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 \mathrm{a}} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{\rho I_{p} \rho I_{f} I^{4}}{K A_{f} G}  \tag{6.9}\\
& \left.\bar{B}=-\left[\rho I_{p} I^{4}+n^{2} \pi^{2} L^{2}\left(\frac{\rho I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}\right)+\frac{C \rho I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right)\right]  \tag{6.10}\\
& \bar{c}=n^{2} \pi^{2} L^{2}{ }_{G C}{ }_{s}+n^{4} \pi^{4}\left(\frac{E I_{f} C_{s}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}}+E C_{W}\right) \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eqs. (4.67) and (4.68) of Chapter IV, the mode shapes for this case are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}_{n}(z)=A_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi_{z}}{L}  \tag{6.12}\\
& \bar{\psi}_{n}(z)=B_{n} \cos \frac{n \pi_{z}}{L} \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ are arbitrary amplitudes.
Let the extermal torque per unit length be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{e}(z, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{n}(t) \sin \frac{n \pi_{z}}{L} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Fourier coefficients are determined from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}(t)=\frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} T_{e}(z, t) \sin \frac{n \pi_{z}}{L} d z \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the coupled differential Eqs.(6.4) and (6.5) can progress in several ways. We will begin by first unooupling them. Differentiating Eq. (6.4) with respect to $z$, solving Eq. (6.4) for $\partial \psi / \partial z$, and its higher derivatives, and substituting into Eq. (6.5) yields a iourth order uncoupled equation for $\varnothing$ given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{E I_{f} C_{s}}{K^{1} A_{f}}+E C_{w}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{4}}-\left[\frac{E P I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}{ }^{G}}+\frac{C}{K_{s}}{ }^{1} A_{f} I_{f}+\frac{P I_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{2} \partial_{t}{ }^{2}}} \\
& -G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{2}}-\left[\frac{E I_{f} \beta_{t}}{K^{f} A_{f} G}+\frac{\beta_{w}^{C}{ }_{s}}{K^{1} A_{f}}+\frac{\beta_{w} h^{2}}{2}\right] \frac{\partial^{3} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{2} \partial_{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$


$+\beta_{t} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}=T_{e}+\frac{1}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}\left[-E I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} T_{e}}{\partial Z^{2}}+\rho I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} T_{e}}{\partial t^{2}}+\beta_{w} \frac{\partial T_{e}}{\partial t}\right]$

Similarly, eliminating $\varnothing$ between Eqs.(6.4) and (6.5) yields the uncoupled equation for $\psi$ given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{E I_{f} C_{s}}{K^{1} A_{f}}+E C_{W}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} q}{\partial z^{4}}-\left[\frac{F I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}+\frac{C \rho I_{f}}{K_{f}^{1} A_{f}}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} q}{\partial_{z}{ }^{2} \partial t^{2}}} \\
& -G C_{B} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial_{z}{ }^{2}}-\left[\frac{E I_{f} \beta_{t}}{K_{A_{f} G}}+\frac{\beta_{\bar{F}} \sigma_{s}}{K A_{f}}+\frac{\beta_{F} h^{2}}{2}\right] \frac{\partial^{3} \psi}{\partial_{z}^{2} \partial t} \\
& +\frac{\rho^{2} I_{p} I_{f}}{K A_{f} G} \frac{\partial^{4} \psi}{\partial t^{4}}+\left[\frac{\rho I_{f} \beta_{t}}{K A_{f} G}+\frac{\rho I_{p} \beta_{W}}{K A_{f} G}\right] \frac{\partial^{3} \psi}{\partial t^{3}} \\
& +\left[\rho_{I_{p}}+\frac{\beta_{t} \beta_{W}}{K A_{f} A}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \psi \psi}{\partial t^{2}}+\beta_{t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial T_{\theta}}{\partial z} \tag{6.17}
\end{align*}
$$

As expected, the left-hand sides of Eqs. (6.16) and (6.17) are identical.

Substituting Eqs. (6.6), (6.7), (6.12), (6.13) and (6.14) into Eqs. (6.16) and (6.17) results in:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{n^{4} \pi^{4}}{I^{4}}\left(\frac{E I_{f}^{C}}{\mathbb{C}} A_{f}+E C_{W}\right]+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} G C_{s}}{I^{2}}\right] F_{n}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left\{\rho I_{p}+\frac{\beta_{f} p^{p}}{K_{A_{f}}}+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{I^{2}}\left(\frac{E \rho I_{p} I_{f}}{K_{A_{f}}{ }^{G}}+\frac{C_{p} \rho I_{f}}{K A_{f}}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right)\right\} \ddot{M_{n}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where dots denote differentiations with respect to time. Eqs.(6.18) and (6.19) contain an exciting torsional function $\tau_{n}(t)$ which oan be of any form.

### 6.4. RESPONSE TO A UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED TORSIONAL FORCING

 FUNCTION SINUSOIDAL IN TIME:For purposes of detailed numerical results, let $T_{e}(z, t)$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\theta}(z, t)=T_{0} \sin \omega_{t} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{0}$ is a constant and $\omega$ the torsional excitation frequency. Then, from Eq. (6.15) it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}(t)=\frac{4 T_{0}}{n \pi} \sin \omega t, \quad n=1 ; 3,5, \ldots \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming a solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(t)=A_{n} \sin \omega t+B_{n} \cos \omega t \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs.(6.21) and (6.22) into Eq. (6.18), and equating coefficients of sin $\omega t$ and $\cos \omega t$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{n}=\frac{4 \Psi_{0}\left\{K_{1 n} \beta_{W}^{\omega}-K_{2 n}\left[K^{\prime} A_{f} G+\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / I^{2}\right) E I_{f}-\rho I_{f}{ }^{\omega} 2\right]\right\}}{n \pi K^{\prime} A_{f} G\left(K_{1 n}^{2}+K_{2 n}^{2}\right)} \tag{6.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{l_{n}}=\left\{\left[\frac{n^{4} \pi^{4}}{L^{4}}\left(\frac{E I_{f} C_{s}}{K_{A_{f}}}+E C_{W}\right)+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} G C_{s}}{L^{2}}\right]\right. \\
& -\left[\rho I_{p}+\frac{\beta_{t} \beta_{W}}{K_{A_{f}}^{G}}+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}}\left(\frac{E \rho I_{p} I_{f}}{K A_{f}^{G}}+\frac{C_{8} \rho I_{f}}{K^{1} A_{f}}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \omega^{2} \\
& \left.+\frac{p^{2} I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G} \omega^{4}\right\}  \tag{6.25}\\
& K_{2 n}=\left\{\omega_{\beta_{t}}\left(1+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} E I_{f}}{K^{\top} A_{f} G L^{2}}\right)+\omega_{\beta_{W}} \frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}}\left(\frac{C_{s}}{K A_{f}}+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\omega^{3} \rho}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}\left(\beta_{t} I_{f}+\beta_{W} I_{p}\right)\right\} \tag{6.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, assuming a solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n}(t)=C_{n} \sin \omega t+D_{n} \cos \omega t \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting Eq. (6.21) and (6.27) into Eq. (6.19) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{2 T_{0} h K_{1 n}}{L\left(K_{1 n}^{2}+K_{2 n}^{2}\right)} ; \quad D_{n}=\frac{-2 T_{0} h K_{2 n}}{L\left(K_{1 n}^{2}+K_{2 n}^{2}\right)} \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $K_{1 n}$ and $K_{2 n}$ are defined by Eqs.(6.25) and (6.26).
Of course, Eqs.(6.22) and (6.27) may be replaced in a more convenient phase angle form as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{n}(t)=\sqrt{A_{n}^{2}+B_{n}^{2}} \sin \left(\omega t+\arctan B_{n} / A_{n}\right)  \tag{6.29}\\
& G_{n}(t)=\sqrt{C_{n}^{2}+D_{n}^{2}} \cos \left(\omega t+\arctan D_{n} / C_{n}\right) \tag{6.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Further we note that $D_{n} / C_{n}=-B_{n} / A_{n}$

## 6. . FREE AND FOROID YIBRATIONS OH $\triangle$ ULASSIO BEAM SIMPLY SUPPORTRD AT BOMH THNS:

For purposes of comparing with the preceding results, let us now summarize the classic solution. In the case of the classic beam based on Timoshenko torsion theory, the effects of longituainal inertia and shear deformation are neglected and by putting $1 / K^{\prime}=0$ and $P I_{f}=0$ in Eq. (6.16) we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E O_{\nabla} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}-G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z_{z}^{2}}+\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}+\beta_{t} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}=T_{0} \tag{6,31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering first, free $v i b r a t i o n s$ with no damping, the differential equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{EC}_{w} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}-G C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was treated in detail by Gere (32).
The solution to this equation in terms of circular and hyperbolic functions is well known (32). It can be seen that a function which satisfies the boundary conditions of a beam simply supported at both ends is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}(t) \sin \frac{n \pi_{z}}{L} \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Substituting Eq. (6.33) into Eq. (6.32) and recognizing that the resulting equation must be satisfied for all values of z within $0 \leq z \leq I$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho I_{p} \ddot{F}_{n}(t)+\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{I^{2}}\left(\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2} E C_{W}}{L^{2}}+G C_{s}\right) F_{n}(t)=0 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (6.34), the well known (32) frequency equation is found to be:

For the steady-state solution of the forced, damped $\nabla i b-$ ration problem as before, assune

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varnothing=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}(t) \sin \frac{n \pi_{z}}{L}  \tag{6.36}\\
& T_{e}(z, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_{n}(t) \sin \frac{n \pi_{z}}{I} \tag{6.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where, from Eq. (6.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}(t)=\frac{4 T_{0}}{n \pi} \sin \omega t,(n=1,3,5, \ldots) \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs.(6.36), (6.37) and (6.38) into Eq. (6.31) yields $\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}}\left[\frac{n^{2} \pi^{2}}{L^{2}} E C_{W}+G C_{g}\right] F_{n}(t)+\beta_{t} \dot{F}_{n}(t)+P I_{p_{n}} \ddot{F}_{n}(t)=\frac{4 T_{0}}{n \pi} \sin \omega t \quad$ (6.39) having a steady-state solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(t)=E_{n} \sin \omega t+H_{n} \cos \omega t \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq.(6.40) into Eq.(6.39), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n} & =\frac{\left(4 T_{0} / n \pi\right)\left\{\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / L^{2}\right)\left[\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / L^{2}\right) E C_{W}+G C_{B}\right]-\omega^{2} \rho I_{p}\right\}}{\left\{\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / L^{2}\right)\left[\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / L^{2}\right) E C_{W}+G C_{s}\right]-\omega^{2} \rho I_{p}\right\}^{2}+\left(\beta_{t} C 9\right)^{2}}  \tag{6.41}\\
H_{n}= & \frac{-\left(4 T_{0} \beta_{t} \omega / n \pi\right)}{\left.\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / L^{2}\right)\left[\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / I^{2}\right) E O_{W}+G C_{H}\right]-n^{2} \rho I_{p}\right\}^{2}+\left(\beta_{t}(Q)^{2}\right.}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}(t)=\frac{4 I_{0}}{n \pi}\left\{\rho^{2} I_{p}^{2}\left(p_{n}^{2}-\omega\right)^{2}+(\beta \varphi)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \sin (\omega t+\theta) \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \theta=\frac{-\beta_{t} \omega}{\rho I_{p}\left(p_{n}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)} \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.6. DISCUSSION OF NUMERICAL RESULTS:

The solutions obtained were programmed on IBM-1130 Computer at Andhra University, Waltair, to allow a numerical study of the effects of the parameters involved. Some of the interesting results obtained are shown in Figs.6.2 to 6.8. In Figs.6.2 to 6.8, only the response of the first mode shape is considered. Tho values of the oonstante used for these figures are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=1 ; \rho=0.00884332\left(1 b_{s} / i n^{3}\right) ; E=30 \times 10^{6}\left(I b_{s} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right) ; \\
& G=12 \times 10^{6}\left(1 \mathrm{~b}_{s} / \mathrm{in}^{2}\right) ; \Lambda_{f}=20.7584\left(1 \mathrm{n}^{2}\right) ; I_{\mathrm{f}}=469.532\left(\mathrm{in}^{4}\right) ; \\
& I_{p}=17245.7\left(\mathrm{in}^{4}\right) ; C_{s}=27.3252\left(\mathrm{in}^{4}\right) ; C_{W}=3,02,231\left(\mathrm{in}^{6}\right) ; \\
& I=760(\mathrm{in}) \text { and } T_{0}=1.0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which correspond to a wide-flanged steel I-beam, 36 WF 230, with
width of the flanges $b=16.475(\mathrm{in})$, height between the oenter lines of the flanges $h=35.88$ (in), thickness of the web $t=0.765$ (in) and thickness of the flanges $t_{f}=1.26$ (in):

Fig.6.2 is the plot of torsional amplitude against forccing function frequency with varying values of torsional damping for the classical beam based on Timoshenko torsion theory. 1
Figa.6.3, 6.4 and 6.5 are the plots of amplitude versus frequency including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation. For each set of the curves, the value of $\beta_{W}$, the damping associated with warping angle, is held constant while the values of torsional damping $\beta_{t}$ are varied.

It can be observed that the general shapes of the plots do not differ at all from that of Fig.6.2, i.e., shear deformation and longitudinal inertia effects do not radically alter the form of the amplitude-frequency ourves. As expected, increasing the damping associated with warping angle has the effect of iowering the amplitudes.

Figs.6.6, 6.7 and 6.8 are also amplitude frequency plots including longitudinal inertia and shear deformation effects, but for each set of, curves $\beta_{t}$ is held constant while $\beta_{W}$ is varied from zero to $10^{5}$. Again, the general form of the curves is not unlike that for the classical beam. However, comparing Figs.6.6, 6.7 and 6.8 with Figs.6.3, 6.4 and 6.5 , it will be readily seen that the variation of damping associated with angle of twist $\beta_{t}$, has a much stronger influence on the curves than the variation


Fig.6.2. Classic beam Timoshenko torsion theory.


Fig. 6.3. Present analysis.



Fig. G.5. Present analysis.



Fig.6.7. Present Analysis.

TABLE-6.1
Values of the natural frequencies and maximum total torsional amplitudes for various
modes of vibration of a simply supported beam.

| Mode | Natural Frequency |  | - Maximum Total Amplitude |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Classic Beam | Present Analysis | Classic Beam | Present Analysis |
| 1 | 245.211 | 235.791 | $1.38790 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.47853 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 3 | 2,171.970 | 1,662.560 | - $5.89665 \times 10^{-10}$ | $9.47434 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 5 | 6,025.440 | 3,558.770 | $4.59715 \times 10^{-11}$ | $12.36510 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 7 | 11,305.600 | 5,539.010 | $8.55382 \times 10^{-12}$ | $36.90330 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 9 | 19,512.500 | 7,515.080 | $2.43537 \times 10^{-12}$ | $15.78190 \times 10^{-12}$ |

of damping associated with warping angle $\beta_{W}$. Therefore, including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation, the torsional velocity damping is more significant than the warp-ing-velocity damping.

Further, to consider the effects on higher modes, light torsional damping, $\left(\beta_{t}=200, \beta_{W}=0\right)$ will be applied to a beam of large depth to length ratio. Keeping the same physical parameters as above, except letting $L=100$ (in) to emphasize the shear deformation effects, the 'maximum total torsional dmplitude' response may be computed, This is the maximum torsional amplitude obtained due to superposition of the responses of all modes when the separate natural frequencies are successively ex olted. Maximum total torsional amplitudes are given in Table 6.1, for the first nine symmetric mode shapes of the simply supported beam. From Table 6.1, it is observed that as the mode number $n$ increases the difference between the natural frequencies of the classical beam and, those obtained from the present analysis including the effects of langitudinal inertia and shear deformation, also increases. As shown in Chapters IV and $V$, the natural frequencies obtalned by including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation are lower than those for the classic beam. However, the amplitudes obtained including longitudinal inertia and shear doformation are larger than those for the classic beam.

TORSIONAL WAVE PROPAGATION IN ORTHOTROPIC THIN-WALLED BEAMS OF OPEN SECMION INCLUDING THE FFFECTS OF LONGITUDINAL INERTIA AND SHEAR DEFORMATION*:

### 7.1. INTRODUCTION:

In the previous Chapters, free and forced torsional vibrations of short thin-walled beams of open section including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation are analyzed both by exact and approximate methods. The present Chapter deals with the importent problem of torsional wave propagation in orthotropic thin-walled beams of open section including the second order effects.

Though there exists a good amount of worl on the analysis of flexural wave propagation, comparable torsional wave analysis was virtually neglected and very few papers on this topic have been published. The reason is the fact that Coulomb theory gives the same first-mode results as the exact theory. The available information is almost limited to the circular cylindrical bars. Thus, there exists a lack of satisfactory approximate and exact theories for torsional wave propagation in non-circular bars, especially those used in structural applications such as thinwalled beams of open section.

[^5]An inadequacy of St. Venant's classical torsion theory for short wave lengths was hinted at by Love ( 76 ), who suggested a correction for the longitudinal inertia associated with torsional deflection. Vlasov (/07) also introduced the effect of longitudinal inertia in his torsional analysis of thin-walled beams. However, both the elementary theory and Love's or Vlasov's approximation have the same defects as do their counterparts in longitudinal wave-propagation theory. The dynamic equation used by Gere (32) in his torsion analysis was essentially that previously derived by Timoshenko (98) and included the effect of warping of the cross section. These equations are found to lead to physically absurd results for short wavelengths. Aggarwal, and Cranch (4) presented a strength of materials theory including the effects of warping of the cross section, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation. This theory was found to lead to theoretically satisfactory results for the first mode of transmission over a wavelength spectrum which included moderately short wavelengths, and that it agreed with previous approximations for large wavelengths. The group velocity for the second mode was found to increase monotonically from zero for the longest waves to the bar velocity for very short wavelengths. This was in agreement in form with the higher modes of the exact theory for circular cylindrical bars $(88,25)$.

All the above work, and a number of other investigations involving torsional wave propagation phenomena in thin-walled beams, concerns isotropic materials. Anisotropic materials have
not been approached to the best of author's knowledge. As is well known, anisotropy of the material introducea considerable complications in the oomputational part of the solution.

The present Chapter therefore, aims at investigating the problem of torsional wave propagation in orthotropic thinwalled beams of open section including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation, from the strength of materials approach. This approach is attractive for its physical directness. More specifically, the interest is to find what values of the wave frequency result from the elementary theory established for the anisotropic analog of the isotropic thinwalled beams of open section including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation. To this end, the equation of motion for free torsional vibrations of thin-walled beams of open section of orthotropic material including the second order effects is established, analogous to that for isotropic material. It is shown herein that, for some anisotropic materials, the corrections due to longitudinal inertia and shear deformation may be of one order of magnitude greater than the correction in the isotropic case. Graphs are also given for the phase velocity versus inverse wavelength for various aspect ratios of beams of different materials.

### 7.2. ANALYSIS AND EXMMPLES:

For definiteness and simplicity, let us take the material, of the thin-walled open section beam to be orthotropic,
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with one axis of elastic symmetry, z-axis, directed along the axis of the beam.

As is well known the fundamental equation of elementary theory of flange-bending retains its validity for anisotropic materials of the most general type, provided the isotropicYoung's modulus is replaced by the modulus $E_{z z}$ for extentioncompression along the axis of the bar.

In symbols,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=E_{z z} I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

analagous to the Eq. (4.4) for the isotropic beams.

Now, in the derivation, in strength of materials, of the formula for the maximum shear stress in flenge=bending,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{z x}(\max )=-\frac{\mathrm{QS}_{0}}{I_{f} t_{W}} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

no specific elastic properties of the material besides certain, symmetric conditions, are postulated. This equation, therefore, is certainly valid (in the same sense of strength of materials) for the elastic symmetrices involved in the orthotropic thinwalled open section beam characterized earlier. For such a beam, with $G_{Z X}$ as the pertinent shear modulus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{z x}=G_{z x} \epsilon_{z h} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q=K^{\prime} A_{f} G_{Z X} \epsilon_{Z h} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{\text {sh }}$ is the shear strain at the center of the flange, $x=0$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{s h}=\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right) \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (7.2) all others being previously defined, $S_{0}$ stands for the statical moment with respect to neutral axis. In Eq. (7.4) $K^{\prime}$ is the shear coefficient which depende upon the shape of the cross section and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}=\frac{I_{f} t_{W}}{S_{0} A_{f}} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no difference between Eqs.(7.1) and (7.4) and the corresponding equations in the isotropic case i.e., Eqs. (4.4) and (4.7) of Chapter IV, except for the modulii $E_{z Z}$ and $G_{z X}$ standing for $E$ and $G$. One can therefore avoid all the transformation and proceed directly to derive the frequency equation.

Following the procedure in Chapter IV, the equations of motion can be now written for torsional vibrations of orthotropic thin-walled beams of open section as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{z x} C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{P} G_{z x^{h}} h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right)=\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\prime} A_{f} G_{z x}\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)+E_{z z} I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial z_{z}^{2}}=P I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\psi$ between Eqs. (7.7) and (7.8) a single equation in $\varnothing$ may be obtained as:
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$\left[\frac{E_{z z} I_{f} C_{s}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}{ }^{G}{ }_{z X}}+E_{z Z} C_{W}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial_{z}{ }^{4}}-\left[\frac{\rho E_{z z} I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}+\frac{\rho C_{s X} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial_{z}{ }^{2} \partial t^{2}}$
$-G_{z X} C_{s} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{\rho^{2} I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\top} A_{f} G_{z x}} \quad \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial t^{4}}=0$

For a wave-form solution in long beams, consider a sinusoldal wave,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\emptyset \sim e^{i \delta_{1}\left(z-C_{p} t\right)} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

propagating along the beam. In Eq. (7.10), $\delta_{1}$ is the wave number $=2 \pi / \Lambda, \Omega$ being the wavelength, $C_{p}$ the phase velocity for torsional waves, and $t$ is the time.

Substituting $\varnothing$ from Eq.(7.10) into Eq.(7.9), the frequency equation for torsional waves is obtained as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{P I_{f}}{K^{\prime}}\left(\frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)^{4}-\left[\frac{\rho I_{f}}{K^{\prime}}\left(\frac{E_{z Z}}{G_{z x}}\right)+\frac{P I_{f}}{I_{p}}\left(\frac{C_{S}}{K^{\prime}}+\frac{A_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{P A_{f}}{\delta_{1}^{2}}\right]\left(\frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)^{2} \\
+\left[\frac{P I_{f}}{I_{p}}\left(\frac{E_{z Z}}{G_{z x}}\right)\left(\frac{C}{K^{\top}}+\frac{A_{f} h^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{\rho A_{f} C_{s}}{I_{p} \delta_{1}^{2}}\right]=0 \tag{7.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C_{2}=\left(G_{z x} / P\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the shear wave velocity. Eq.(7.11) determines the phase velocities of the torsional wave propagation in an orthotropic thin-walled open section beam.

Two cases of interest can be deduced from Eq. (7.11) as follows:
(1) Neglecting shoar doformation, by letting $K^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, the frequency Eq. (7.11) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{o_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{o_{s}+2 \pi^{2}\left(D_{z Z} / G_{z X}\right) I_{f}(h / \Lambda)^{R}}{I_{p}+2 \pi^{2} I_{f}(h / \Omega)^{2}} \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (7.12) therefore is the frequency equation which includes the warping and longitudinal inertia effects of the cross section.
(2) Negleoting longitudinal inertia and shear deformation, by letting $P I_{f}=0, K^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, the frequency equation (7.11) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{C_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{I_{p}}\left[C_{s}+2 \pi^{2} I_{f}\left(E_{z Z} / G_{z X}\right)(h / \Lambda)^{2}\right] \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is the frequenoy equation including the effect of warping only and represents the Timoshenko tortion theory (32).

Returning now to the general Eq. (7.11) which includes both the second order effects, it may written in an alternative form as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{c_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)^{4}-\left[\bar{\alpha}_{3}+\bar{\beta}_{3}+\frac{\bar{\eta}_{5}}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\bar{h}}\right)^{2}\right]\left(\frac{c_{p}}{C_{2}}\right)^{2} \\
+\left[\bar{\alpha}_{3} \bar{\beta}_{3}+\frac{\bar{\eta}_{5} \bar{\xi}_{2}}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{\bar{h}}\right)^{2}\right]=0 \tag{7.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\alpha}_{3}=E_{z z} / G_{z x}  \tag{7.15}\\
& \bar{\beta}_{3}=\frac{1}{I_{p}}\left[C_{s}+(1 / 2) K^{\prime} A_{f} h^{2}\right] \tag{7.16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\eta}_{5}=K^{\prime} A_{f} h^{2} / I_{f} \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\xi}_{2}=C_{s} / I_{p} \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (7.14) gives rise to to two modes of wave transmission. The new mode can be explained to arise from the coupled interaction of the torsional deformation with the bending effects of shear deformation and longitudinal inertia. The phase velocities for the two modes are given by Eq. (7.14) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}\right)^{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left[\bar{\alpha}_{3}+\bar{\beta}_{3}+\frac{\left.\bar{\eta} \frac{5}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda}{h}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\,}{}\right.\right. \\
& \pm\left[\left[\bar{\alpha}_{3}+\bar{\beta}_{3}+\frac{\bar{n}_{3}}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{h}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}-4\left[\bar{\alpha}_{3} \bar{\beta}_{3}+\frac{\left.\left.\left.\eta \frac{\bar{\xi}}{4 \pi^{2}} 2\left(\frac{\pi_{1}}{h}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}}{}\right.\right. \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where the minus sign is taken for the first mode.
Eq. (\%.19) defines the phase velocity as a function of the shape of the cross section. At very large wave lengths the results for the lower mode obtained from Eq. (7.19) will agree with those from previous theories. This is obvious because the deformation associated with long wave lengths is primarily that of rotation of the cross section with essentially no warping, no shear deformation and hence no dispersion. The improved theory due to Aggarwal and Cranch (4) displays finite wave velocity $C_{2} \sqrt{\beta_{3}}$ for very short wavelengths as against the
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infinito wave velooities predicted by Timoshenko torsion theory and low wave velocities predicted by Saint-Venant torsion theory.

From Eq. (7.16) which defines $\beta_{3}$, it may be observed that for short wave lengths, the torsional stiffness effect is Very small and the shear distortion of the flanges contributes more. The present analysis gives satisfactory results for wave lengths $\lambda>t_{W}$ for the first mode and this coincides in the second mode with the form of the exact theory for citcular cylindrical bars. The range of applicability of the first mode, $爪 t_{W}$, gives a wave length spectrum which includes moderately short waves and high frequencies, and as such covers a range of practical interest. As an example, for the beam for which $b / h=0.75, t_{f} / h=0.050$ and $t_{W} / h=0.040$ the theory is valid for wave lengths $h / \lambda<25$.

Despite the fact that Eq. (7.19) has a form identical with that given by Aggarmal and Cranch (4) for isotropic beams, there is a basic difference between the two equations. It consists in that, for isotropic bodies, the value of poisson's ratio ranges (at least in principle) from 0 to 0.5 , so that the value of E/G in Eq. (7.19) falls between 2 and 3 . On the other hand for anisotropic materials the values of $E_{Z Z} / G_{Z X}$ may be one and possibly even two orders of magnitude higher. So much so, both the corrections due to shear deformation, and the corrections for longitudinal inertia and shear deformation together, may beoome several times greater for anisotropic beams than they are for isotropic beams.

## 207

Table 7.1. Values of $\bar{\alpha}_{3}$ for various materials.

| Material | $\bar{\alpha}_{3}=F_{z Z} / G_{z X}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Isotropy | 2.6 |
| Orthotropy II | 13.9 |
| Orthotropy I | 17.1 |
| Transverse Isotropy | 35.0 |

The values of $\alpha_{3}\left(=E_{z Z} / G_{z X}\right)$ for three types of anisotropic materials considered in this Chapter are given in Table 7.1 . For an isotropic material the value of $\alpha$ is taken as 2.6.

## ?.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Figs.7.1 to 7.8 show, the phase velocities for torsional waves in four wide-flanged I-beams which cover the practical range, having dimensions such as:
(1) $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{h}=0.25, t_{f} / \mathrm{h}=0.025, t_{w} / h=0.020$ (Figs.7.1 and 7.2)
(2) $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{h}=0.50, t_{f} / h=0.040, t_{W} / h=0.025$ (Figs.7.3 and 7.4)
(3) $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{h}=0.75, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{h}=0.050, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{h}=0.040$ (F1gs.7.5 and 7.6)
(4) $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{h}=1.00, t_{f} / \mathrm{h}=0.10, t_{W} / h=0.050$ (Figs.7.7 and 7.8)

Of isotropic and three types of anisotropic materials having valu of $\bar{\alpha}_{3}, 2.6$ (isotropic), 13.9 (orthotropy II), 17.1 (orthotropy I) and 35.0 (transverse isotropy). Figs.7.1, 7.3, 7.5 and 7.7 gives the results corresponding to the first mode for various values of


Fig.7.1. phase velocities for torsional Waves in I-beams

$$
\left[h / h=0.50 ; t_{f} / h=0.040 ; t_{w} / h=0.025\right]
$$
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Fig. 7.3. Phase velocities for torsional Waves in I -beams [ $\underline{n f}^{\prime} h=0.25 ; \pm f / h=0.025 ; t w / h=0.020$ ]

EQi(7.19) 2nd. mode.


Fig. 7.4-phase velocities for torsional Waves in I-beams. $\left[h_{f} / h=0.25 ; t_{f} / h=0.025 ; t_{w} / \frac{h=0.020}{h}\right]$
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$\alpha_{3}$ for the four beams.

In drawing the grapha, the value of $X^{\prime}$ was taken as $\pi^{2} / 12$. The phase velooities corresponding to the second mode for all values of $\alpha_{3}$ can be observed, from Figs.7.2, 7.4, 7.6 and 7.8 for the four beams considered here, to decrease from infinite values for the longest waves to the beam velocity for the shortest waves.

The results for phase velocities obtained from Timoshenko torsion theory (Eq.7.13), the theory including warping and longitudinal inertia (Eq.7.12), and the theory including warping, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation (Eq.7.19) are compared in Fig.7.1 for beam (1) defined above, for the four values of $\bar{\alpha}_{3}$ considered in this work. In all cases the values of the phase velocities increase with increasing values of $\bar{\alpha}_{3}$.

From Fig.7.1, it can be observed that, at lower values of $h / \AA$, the phase velocities from Eq.(7.19), increase cons1derably with increasing values of $\bar{\alpha}_{3}$, but differ only alightly for different values of $\alpha$ at higher values of $h / \lambda$. The values obtained from Eqs. (7.12) and (7.13) aiffer greatly at lower values of $\bar{\alpha}_{3}(=2.6)$ but differ slightly for higher values of $\bar{\alpha}_{3}$. Because of the above, it can be seen, that the percentage of influence of both longitudinal and shear deformation on the torsional wave propagation may increase drastically for increasing values of. $\bar{\alpha}_{3}$ i.e., $E_{z I Z} / G_{z X}$.

For example, for beam (1), for $h / \Lambda=0.4$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{3}=2.6$ (1sotropic) the percontage influence of both longitudinal inertis

4
xx


Fig. 7.6. Phase Velocities for torsional Waves in I -beams. [ $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{h}=0.75 ; \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{h}=0.050 ; \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}=0.0 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{O}$ ]


Fig.7.\%. Phase velocities for torsional waves in I-beams. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\underline{q} / \mathrm{h}=1.00 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{h}=0.10 ; \mathrm{t}\end{array} \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}=0.050\right]$





Eng, zee Phase velocities for torsional Waves 101 bases.
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and shear deformation $i_{8}, \delta_{18} \approx 18$ percent and, that of longitudinal inertia alone is, $\delta_{1} \approx 4$ percent. But these values change drastically for anisotropic member and, for instance, for $\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{\Lambda}=0.4$ and $\bar{\alpha}_{3}=35.0$ (transverse isotropy), the percentage influence of both longitudinal inertia and shear deformation for the first mode, is as high as $\delta_{l_{s}} \approx 61$ percent and that of longitudinal inertia alone is $\delta_{1} \approx 4.7$ percent. Hence, it can be concluded that for some anisotropic materials, the corrections due to longitudinal inertia and shear deformation may be of one order of magnitude greater than the corrections in the isotropic case.
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elastic foundation including the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformetion. The coupled differential equations in angle of twist and warping angle goverming the motion of the short thin-walled beam in torsion are derived utilizing Hamilton's principle. New frequency and normal mode equations which include the effects of time-invarient axial compressive load and elastic foundation are derived for various simple end conditions. The effects of axial load and elastic foundation, in combination with the second order influences, on the torslonal frequencies and buckling loads are discussed for the case of a simply supported beam.

### 8.2. DERIVATION OF COUPLED EQUATIONS OF MOTION INCLUDING AXIAL LOAD AND ELASTIC FOUNDATION:

The strain energy $U_{4}$ in the Winkler-type elastic foundation is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{4}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} K_{k}(\phi)^{2} d \ldots \tag{0,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utiliz!ng Eqs.(4.12) and (8.1), the total strain energy $U$ at any instant $t$, including the effect of Wincler-type elastic foundation can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & U_{1}+U_{2}+U_{3}+U_{4} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left[\operatorname{GC}_{s}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}\right)^{2}+2 E I_{f}\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+2 K^{\prime} \Lambda_{f} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right)^{2}+K_{t}(\phi)^{2}\right] d \varnothing \tag{8,8}
\end{align*}
$$

The potential energy, W, due to the time-invariant axial compressive load $P$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} \frac{P I_{p}}{A}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\right)^{2} d z \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total kinetic energy at time $t$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{K}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I}\left[P I_{p}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+2 P I_{f}\left(\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] \cdot d z \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is same as Eq. (4.13).
If $T_{k}, U$ and $W$ from Eqs. (8.4); (8.2) and (8.3) are substituted into Eq.(2.1), and variations taken, and after integrating the first two terms by parts with respect to $t$ and next five terms with respect to $z$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{0}^{1}}^{t_{0}^{1}} \int_{0}^{L^{\prime}}\left[\left\{\left(G_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \partial_{z}^{2}}-\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial z}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-K_{t} \phi-\rho I_{p} \frac{\theta^{2} \phi}{\partial_{z}}\right\} \overline{\bar{\delta} \phi}+\left\{2 E I_{f} \frac{\partial^{R}}{\partial z^{2}}-2 P I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+2 K^{\prime}{ }_{A_{f}} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}-\psi\right)\right\} \bar{\delta} \psi\right] d z d t \\
& +\left.\int_{0}^{I_{1}}\left(\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \delta \phi+2 \rho I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \delta \psi\right)\right|_{t_{0}} ^{t_{1}} d z \\
& -\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left[\left\{\left(G C_{a}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}+K^{\prime} A_{f}\left(\operatorname{Hh}\left(\frac{h}{\partial} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi}-\psi\right)\right\} \overline{\delta \phi}\right.\right. \\
& +\left.2 E I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}} \tilde{\delta} \psi\right|_{0} ^{L} d t=0 \tag{8.5}
\end{align*}
$$
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Assuming that the values of $\varnothing$ and $\psi$ are given at the two fixed instants, the second integral vanishes. If the boundary conditions are such that the third integral also vanishes, then we obtain the two coupled equations of motion as:

$$
\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z}\right)-K_{t} \phi-\rho I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{2}}=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial z^{2}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing}{\partial z}-\psi\right)-\rho I_{f} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.3. WATURAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS:

In deriving the coupled equations (8.6) and (8.7) from (8.5) It was assumed that the expression

$$
\left[\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right)\right] \overline{\delta \phi}+2 E I_{f} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}} \bar{\delta} \psi
$$

vanishes at the ends $z=0$ and $z=L$. This condition is satisfied If at the two ends,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right)\right] \overline{\delta \phi}=0 \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \cdot \bar{\delta} \psi=0 \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (8.8) and (8.9) give the natural boundary oonditions for the finite bar. Except for the case of a free end, the boundary conditions for simply supported and fixed ends remain the same as those given by Eqs. (4.19) and (4.20).

For the case of a ''free end'', the natural boundary conditions for the present problem become:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial_{z}}=0, \text { and }\left(G_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial_{z}}+K_{A_{f}}^{\prime G h}\left(\frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \varnothing}{\partial_{z}}-\psi\right)=0 \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be observed that the difference between Eqs.(8.10) and (4.21) for the oase of the free end is due to the presence of the axial compressive load, $P$, acting at the shear-center (or centroid) of the beam.

### 8.4.1. SINGIE TQUATION IN ANGLE OF TWIST:

Eliminating $\psi$ between the coupled Equations (8.6) and ( 8.7 ), a single equation of motion in angle of twist $\varnothing$ may be obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{E I_{f} C_{S}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}}+E C_{W}-\frac{P I_{p} E I_{f}}{K A_{f} G A} \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}} \\
& -\left|\frac{E \rho I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}+\frac{C_{g} \rho I_{f}}{K^{\top} A_{f}}+\frac{\rho I_{f} h^{2}}{2}-\frac{P I_{p} \rho I_{f}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G A}\right| \frac{\partial^{4} \varnothing}{\partial_{z}^{2} \partial t^{2}} \\
& -\left(G C_{s}+\frac{E I_{f} K_{t}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}-\frac{P I_{p}}{\Lambda}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\left(\rho I_{p}+\frac{\rho I_{f} K_{t}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}^{G}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& +\frac{P I_{p} \rho I_{f}}{K_{A_{f}} G} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial t^{4}}+K_{t} \varnothing=0 \tag{8,11}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (8.11) is the linear partial differential equation of fourth order governing the torsional vibrations and stability
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of a thin-walled beam resting on continuous elastic foundation.

### 8.4.1. ANALYSIS OF VARIOUS TERIS:

(1) Letting $C_{W}=P I_{f}=0$ and $K^{\prime}=\infty$, Eq. (8.11) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G C{ }_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{2}}-P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}-K_{t} \phi=0 \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(8.12) represents the governing differential equation of motion for the torsional vibrations and stability of a beam resting on continuous elastic foundation, based on Saint Venant torsion theory and does not included the effects of warping, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (i1) If } C_{W}=0 \text { and } K^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty \text {, then Eq. (8.11) becomes: } \\
& \left(G_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+\frac{P I_{f} h^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{2} \partial t^{2}}-P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}-K_{t} \phi=0 \tag{8.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (8.13) represents the equation of motion based on Love's torsion theory and includes the effect of longitudinal inertia.
(111) If $P I_{f}=0$ and $K^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$, Eq. (8.11) roduces to:
$E C_{W} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}-\left(\mathrm{GC}_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+K_{t} \phi+P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0$
Eq. (8.14) is the Govarning differentinl equation of motion based on Timoshenko torsion theory which includes the effect of warping and neglects longitudinal inertia and shear deformation. It must be recalled that this equation is same as
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Eq. (2.6) which is completely solved in Chapter II for various end conditions of the beam.

$$
\text { (iv) If } K^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty \text {, Eq. (8.11) becomes: }
$$

$E C_{w} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}-\frac{P I_{f} h^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z_{i}^{2} \partial t^{2}}-\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial z^{2}}+K_{t} \phi+P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}=0$

Eq.(8.15) represents the governing differential equation of motion including the effects of warping and longitudinal inertia but neglecting the effect of shear deformation.
(v) If $P I_{f}=0, E q \cdot(8.11)$ reduces to:
$\left[\frac{E I_{f}^{C}}{K_{g}^{\prime} \Lambda_{f}}+E C_{W}-\frac{P I_{p} E I_{f}}{K^{\top} \Lambda_{f} G \Lambda}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial z^{4}}-\frac{E P I_{p} I_{f}}{K^{\top} \Lambda_{f} G} \frac{\partial^{4} \phi}{\partial_{z}^{2} \partial t^{2}}$
$-\left(G C_{s}+\frac{E I_{f} K_{t}}{K^{\prime} A_{f} G}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \varnothing}{\partial_{z}^{2}}+P I_{p} \frac{\partial^{2} \varnothing}{\partial t^{2}}+K_{t} \varnothing=0$

Eq.(8.16) is the equation of motion including the effects of warping and shear deformation but neglecting the effect of longitudinal inertia.

### 8.5. NON-DIMENS IONALIZATION AND GENERAL SOLUTION:

Eliminating $\varnothing$ in Eqs. (8.6) and (8.7) we obtain the complete differential equation in warpine angle $\psi$ as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{\mathrm{EI}_{\rho} C_{s}}{K^{\prime} A_{f}}+E C_{w}-\frac{\mathrm{PI}_{p} E I_{f}}{K_{A_{f}}^{\prime} A_{f A}}\right] \frac{\partial^{4} \cdot \&}{\partial_{z}{ }^{4}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left(G C_{B}+\frac{M I_{f} K_{t}}{K_{A_{f}}}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial Z_{q}^{2}}+\left(\rho I_{p}+\frac{\rho I_{f} K_{t}}{K_{f}^{\prime} A_{f}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} q_{q}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
& +\frac{P I_{p} \rho I_{f}}{K_{A_{P}}} \frac{\partial^{4} \varphi}{\partial t^{4}}+K_{t} \psi=0 \tag{8.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (4.30) to (4.32) and omitting the factor $e^{\text {ipt }}$, Eqs. $(8.6),(8.7),(8.11)$ and $(8.17)$ are reduced to:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[s^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}+\mathrm{s}^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right) \bar{\phi}-(2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}) \bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0}  \tag{8.18}\\
s^{2} \bar{\psi}^{-1}-\left(1-\lambda^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right) \bar{\psi}+(\mathrm{h} / 2 L) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}=0  \tag{8.19}\\
{\left[\mathrm{~s}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\phi}^{-1 \nabla}+\left[\lambda^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \nu^{2}\right)\right] \bar{\phi}^{-1 \prime}} \\
-\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \nu^{2}\right)\left(1-\lambda^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right) \bar{\phi}=0  \tag{8.20}\\
{\left[\mathrm{~s}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\psi}^{-1 \nabla}+\left[\lambda^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-48^{2}\right)\right] \bar{\psi}^{-1 \prime}} \\
-\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \nu^{2}\right)\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right) \bar{\phi}=0 \tag{8.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

where primes denote differentiation with respect to $z$.
as:

$$
\text { The general solutions of Eqs. }(8.20) \text { and }(8.21) \text { can be found }
$$
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$\bar{\varnothing}=B_{1} \cosh \alpha_{3} Z+B_{2} \sinh \alpha_{3} Z+B_{3} \cos \beta_{3} Z+B_{4} \sin \beta_{3} Z$
$\bar{\psi}=B_{1}^{\prime} \sinh \alpha_{3} z+B_{2}^{\prime} \cosh \alpha_{3} z+B_{3}^{\prime} \sin \beta_{3} z+B_{4}^{\prime} \cos \beta_{3} z$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{3}= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\left[s^{2}\left(k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]^{1 / 2}}\left\{+\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} d^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\right)+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} d^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\right)-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+4\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}\right\}_{0}^{1 / \varepsilon} \tag{8.24}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} d^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} s^{2}\right)-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+4\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
>\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} d^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\right)+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \dot{\gamma}^{2}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## is assumed.

In case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} d^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\right)-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+4\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad<\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} d^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} d^{2}\right)+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{3} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\left[s^{2}\left(k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]^{1 / 2}}\left\{\left[\lambda^{2} a^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{s}^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\left[\left[\lambda^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}+\Delta^{2}\left(1-\lambda^{2} s^{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{s}^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+4\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right]_{1 / 2}^{1 / 2} \\
& =1 \alpha_{3}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then Eqs. (8.22) and (8.23) are replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}=B_{1} \cos \alpha_{7}^{\prime} z+1 B_{Z^{\prime}} \ln \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z+B_{3} \cos \beta_{7} Z+B_{4} \sin \beta_{3} Z  \tag{8,86}\\
& \bar{\psi}=1 B_{1}^{\prime} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z+B_{2}^{\prime} \cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z+B_{3}^{\prime} \sin \beta_{3} Z+B_{4}^{\prime} \cos \beta_{3} Z \tag{8.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Solutions of Eqs.(8.22) and (8.23) or (8.26) and (8.27) are naturally the solutions of the original coupled equations (8.6) and (8.7).

Only one half of the constants in Eqs.(8.22) and (8.23) are independent. They are related by Eqs.(8.6) and (8.7) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{1}=\frac{2 L}{h \alpha_{3}}\left[1-s^{2}\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+\lambda^{2} d^{2}\right)\right] B_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{8.28}\\
& B_{2}=\frac{2 L}{h \alpha_{3}}\left[1-s^{2}\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+\lambda^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)\right] B_{2}^{\prime}  \tag{8.29}\\
& B_{3}=-\frac{2 L}{h \beta_{3}}\left[1+s^{2}\left(\beta_{3}^{2}-\lambda^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)\right] B_{3}^{\prime}  \tag{8.30}\\
& B_{4}=\frac{2 L}{h \beta_{3}}\left[1+s^{2}\left(\beta_{3}^{2}-\lambda^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)\right] B_{4}^{\prime} \tag{8.31}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{2 I \alpha_{3}}\left\{\alpha_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\} B_{1} \\
& B_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{2 L \alpha_{3}}\left\{\alpha_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\} B_{2} \\
& B_{3}^{\prime}=-\frac{h}{2 L h_{3}}\left\{\beta_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\} B_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{4}^{\prime}=\frac{h}{2 I \beta_{3}} \quad \beta_{3}^{2}\left[\theta^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]-\theta^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right) B_{4} \tag{8.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.6. FREQUENTCY OR BUCKLIIGG LOAD EQUATIONS AND MODAL FUNCTIONS:

In section 8.3, natural boundary conditions for the pressent problem are discussed. Dy combining these conditions in pairs, many types of single-span beams can be analyzed. In terms of nondimensional parameters, the boundary conditions for a 'free end" can be written as:
$\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0,\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\varnothing}^{\prime}-(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}=0$
The application of appropriate boundary conditions (4.56), (4.57) and (8.36) and, relations of integration constants (8.28) to (8.35) to Eqs.(8.22) and (8.23) yields for each type of beam a set of four constants $B_{1}$ to $B_{4}$ with or without primes. In order that solutions other than zero may exist the determinant of the ooeffiolents of $B_{s}^{\prime}$ must be equal to zero. This leads to the froquency equations in each case and the roots of these frequency or buckling load equations, $\lambda_{1}, 1=1,2,3, \ldots n$, or $\Delta_{\text {or }}^{2}$, give the eigen values of the problem. The oorresponiling modal functions, $\bar{\varnothing}_{1}$ and $\bar{\psi}_{i}$ can be obtained accordingly.

### 8.6.1. STMPLY SUPPORTED BEAM:

The boundary conditions for a beam simply supported at both ends are:

$$
\bar{\varnothing}=\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=0
$$

and

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=1
$$

For the boundary conditions at $z=0$, Eqs.(8.22) and (8.23) give:

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}+B_{3}=0  \tag{8.37}\\
\left\{\alpha_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\} B_{1} \\
-\left\{\beta_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(x^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\} B_{3}=0 \tag{8.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the secular determinant, ie.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{3}^{2}\right) \notin \ddot{0} \tag{8.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore it follows that $B_{1}=B_{3}=0$.
For the second pair of conditions at $z=1$, Eqs.(8.22)
and (8.23) give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2} \sinh \alpha_{3}+B_{4} \sin \beta_{3}=0 \tag{8.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\alpha_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\} B_{2} \sinh \alpha_{3} \\
&  \tag{8.41}\\
& \left.-\beta_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\} B_{4} \sin \beta_{3}=0
\end{align*}
$$

For a nontrivial solution, the secular determinant must vanish'. This gives the characterestic equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{3}^{2}\right) \sinh \alpha_{3} \text { sin } \beta_{3}=0 \tag{8.42}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Since

$$
\left[s^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}+\beta_{3}^{2}\right) \neq 0
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{z} \nLeftarrow 0,
$$

From Eq. (8.48) wo have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{3}=n \pi, n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{8.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the main solution of the problem.
Letting $\beta_{3}^{2}=n^{2} \pi^{2}$ in Eq.(8.24), the frequency equation in $\lambda^{2}$ is obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.s^{2} d^{2} \lambda^{4}-\lambda^{2} 1+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right]+4 s^{2} d^{2} \gamma^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad+\left\{n^{4} \pi^{4}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+4 \gamma^{2}\left(1+n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)\right\}=0
\end{align*}
$$

This equation gives two real positive roots:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{m n}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2 s^{2} d^{2}} \cdot\left[\left[1+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left\{s^{2}+a^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right\}+4 s^{2} d^{2} \gamma^{2}\right]\right. \\
& +(-1)^{m}\left\{\left[1+n^{2} \pi^{2}\left\{s^{2}-d^{2}-s^{2} d^{2}\left(k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right\}-4 s^{2} a^{2} \gamma^{2}\right]^{2}+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

This frequency equation (8.45) in $\lambda^{2}$, has an infinite bet of roots which in general represent two coupled frequency aspspetra.

Using Mas. (8.43), (8.40) and (8.41), one gets:

$$
B_{2}=0
$$
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The modal functions are obtained from Eqs.(4.22) and (4.23) with $B^{\prime}$ givon by Dqu. (8.39) and (8.46). Thowe are givan as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\phi}_{m n}=\sin n \pi z  \tag{8.47}\\
& \left.\bar{\psi}_{m n}=\frac{h}{2 n \pi I} O_{0} n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]-s^{2}\left(\lambda_{\operatorname{mn}}^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)\right\}_{c o s} n \pi z \tag{8.48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{m n}^{2}$ being given by (8.45).
The second spectrum appears at higher frequencies, greater than the oritioal frequancy $\lambda_{c}$ given by

$$
\lambda{ }_{c}^{2}=1 / s^{2} d^{2}
$$

and is due to interaction between shear deformation and longitudinal inertia. It should be mentioned here that for the range of values of the dimensionless parameters covered in this chapter, $\lambda$ is less than $\lambda_{e}$.

For the case, $\lambda>\lambda_{c}$, it is convenient to use $\alpha_{3}=i \alpha_{3}^{\prime}$ and, the characterestic frequency equation (8.42) transforms to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \sin \beta_{3}=0 \tag{8.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in case there is any extension from there on for $\lambda$ beyond $\lambda_{0}$ ie., $\lambda^{2}{ }_{s}^{2} \alpha^{2}>1$, oare should be taken to account for the frequencies of the second spectrum which can be obtained from Eq. (8.49) .

By putting $s^{2}=\alpha^{2}=0$, in Eq. (8.44), the equation for the the frequency parameter $\lambda$, neglecting the effects of shear defor-
mation and longitudinal inertia, can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}=n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(n^{2} \pi^{2}+k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+4 \gamma^{2} \tag{8.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as Eq. (2.47) derived in Chapter-II utilizing Timoshenko torsion theory.

### 8.6.2. FIXMD-FIXRD BIEAM:

For a beam clamped at both ends, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\varnothing}=\bar{\varphi}=0 \text { at } z=0
$$

and

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } z=1
$$

Applying the above boundary conditions to the general solutions, Eqs.(8.22) and (8.23), the frequency equation, for the first set $\left(\lambda<\lambda_{c}\right)$ can be obtained as:
$2-2 \cosh \alpha_{3} \cos \beta_{3}+\frac{\left(1-\delta_{1}^{2} \theta_{1}^{2}\right)}{\delta_{1} \theta_{1}} \sinh \alpha_{3} \sin \beta_{3}=0$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\alpha_{3} / \beta_{3} \tag{8.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}=\frac{\beta_{3}^{2}\left|s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Lambda^{2}\right)+1\right|-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\left|s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Lambda^{2}\right)+1\right|+s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)} \tag{8.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequency equation for the second set $\left(\lambda>\lambda_{c}\right)$ is:
$2-2 \cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \cos \beta_{3}+\frac{\left(1+\delta_{2}^{2} \theta_{2}^{2}\right)}{\delta_{2} \theta_{2}} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \sin \beta_{3}=0$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}=\alpha_{3}^{\prime} / \beta_{3} \tag{8.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}^{\prime}=-\frac{\beta_{3}^{2}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-48^{2}\right)}{\alpha_{3}^{2}\left|s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right|-s^{2}\left(\lambda^{2}-4 \gamma^{2}\right)} \tag{8.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal functions for the first set are given by:
$\bar{\phi}=D\left(\cosh \alpha_{3} z+\delta_{1} \eta_{1}^{*} \theta_{1} \sinh \alpha_{3} z-\cos \beta_{3} Z+\eta_{1}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} z\right)$
$\bar{\psi}=H\left(\cosh \alpha_{3} Z+\frac{\rho_{1}^{*}}{\delta_{1} \theta_{1}} \sinh \alpha_{3} Z-\cos \beta_{3} Z+\mu_{1}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} Z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{1}^{*}=\frac{-\cosh \alpha_{3}+\cos \beta_{3}}{\delta_{1} \theta_{1} \sinh \alpha_{3}-\sin \beta_{3}}  \tag{8.59}\\
& \mu_{1}^{*}=\frac{-\cosh \alpha_{3}+\cos \beta_{3}}{\left(1 / \delta_{1} \theta_{1}\right) \sinh \alpha_{3}+\sin \beta_{3}} \tag{8.60}
\end{align*}
$$

The modal functions for the second set are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=D\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\delta_{2} \eta_{2}^{*} \theta_{2} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\cos \beta_{3} z+\eta_{2}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} z\right) \tag{8.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi}=H\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z+\frac{\mu_{2}^{*}}{\delta_{2} \theta_{z}} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\cos \beta_{3} z+\mu_{2}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} z\right) \tag{8.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{2}^{*}=\frac{\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime}-\cos \beta_{3}}{\delta_{2} \theta_{2} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime}+\sin \beta_{3}} \tag{8.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2}^{*}=\frac{-\cosh \alpha_{3}^{\prime}+\cos \beta_{3}}{\left(1 / \delta_{2} \theta_{2}\right) \sin \beta_{3}^{\prime}+\sin \beta_{3}} \tag{8.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the coefficients in $\bar{\varnothing}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ of Eqs.(8.22) and (8.23) are related, the coefficients $D$ and $H$, that appear in the modalfunctions given above, are oonnected through any one of the Bqu. (8.28) to (8.31) or (8.32) to (8.35).
8.6.3. BEAM FIXHD AT ONE END AND SIMPLY SUPPORTED AT THE OTHER:

With the end $Z=0$, taken as clamped end, and with the end $z=1$ as the simply supported end, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } z=0
$$

and

$$
\bar{\varnothing}=\bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } z=1
$$

The frequency equation obtained from applying the above boundary condition to the general solutions, Eqs.(8.22) and (8.23 for the first set $\left(\lambda<\lambda_{o}\right)$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1} \theta_{1} \tanh \alpha_{3}-\tan \beta_{3}=0 \tag{8.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The frequency equation for the seoond set $\left(\lambda>\lambda_{c}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2} \theta_{2} \tan \alpha_{3}^{\prime}+\tan \beta_{3}=0 \tag{8.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal functions for the first set are given by:
$\bar{\emptyset}=D\left(\cosh \alpha_{3} Z-\operatorname{coth} \alpha_{3} \sinh \alpha_{3} Z-\cos \beta_{3} Z+\cot \beta_{3} \sin \beta_{3} Z\right)$
$\ddot{\psi}=H\left(\cosh \alpha_{3} Z+\frac{\mu_{3}^{*}}{\delta_{1} \theta_{1}} \sinh \alpha_{3} Z-\cos \beta_{3} Z+\mu_{3}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} Z\right)$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{3}^{m}=\frac{-\left(\delta_{1} \sinh \alpha_{3}+\sin \beta_{y}\right)}{\left(1 / \theta_{1}\right) \cosh \alpha_{3}+\cos \beta_{3}} \tag{8.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal functions for the second set are:
$\bar{\varnothing}=D\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\cot \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\cos \beta_{3} z+\cot \beta_{3} \sin \beta_{3} z\right)(8.70)$
$\bar{\psi}=H\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\frac{\eta_{3}}{\delta_{2} \theta_{2}} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\cos \beta_{3} z+\eta_{3} \sin \beta_{3} z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{3}^{*}=\frac{\delta_{2} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime}-\sin \beta_{3}}{\left(1 / \theta_{2}\right) \cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime}+\cos \beta_{3}} \tag{8.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.6.4. CANTILEVER BEAM WITH ONE END FIXED AND FREE AT THE OTHER:

For a cantilever beam built in rigidly at the end $Z=0$ so that warping is completely prevented, and with a free end at $Z=1$, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\psi}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad z=0
$$

and

$$
\psi^{-\prime}=0,\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\triangle^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\varnothing}^{\prime}-(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } z=1 \text {. }
$$

The frequency equation for the first set, in this case, can be obtained as:
$2+\frac{\left(1+\theta_{1}^{2}\right)}{\theta_{1}} \cosh \alpha_{3} \cos \beta_{3}-\frac{\left(1-\delta_{1}^{2}\right)}{\delta_{1}} \sinh \alpha_{3} \sin \beta_{3}=0$
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The frequency equation for the second set is given by:
$2+\frac{\left(1+\theta_{2}^{2}\right)}{\theta_{2}} \operatorname{\infty s} \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \cos \beta_{3}-\frac{\left(1+\delta_{R}^{2}\right)}{\delta_{2}} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \sin \beta_{3}=0$

The modal functions for the first set are:
$\bar{\phi}=D\left(\operatorname{Cosh} \alpha_{3} Z-\delta_{1} \theta_{1} \eta_{4}^{*} \sinh \alpha_{3} Z-\cos \beta_{3} Z+\eta_{4}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} z\right) \quad$ (8.75)
$\bar{\psi}=H\left(\cosh \alpha_{3} z+\frac{\mu_{4}^{*}}{\delta_{1} \theta_{1}} \sinh \alpha_{3} Z-\cos \beta_{3} z+\mu_{4}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{4}^{*} & =\frac{\left(1 / \delta_{1}\right) \sinh \alpha_{3}-\sin \beta_{3}}{\theta_{1} \cosh \alpha_{3}+\cos \beta_{3}}  \tag{8.77}\\
\mu_{4}^{*} & =-\frac{\left(\delta_{1} \sinh \alpha_{3}+\sin \beta_{3}\right)}{\left(1 / \theta_{1}\right) \cosh \alpha_{3}+\cos \beta_{3}} \tag{8.78}
\end{align*}
$$

The modal functions for the second set are:
$\bar{\phi}=D\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} Z+\delta_{2} \theta_{2} \eta_{5}^{*} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\cos \beta_{3} z+\eta_{5}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} z\right)$
$\bar{\psi}=H\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} Z-\frac{\mu_{5}}{\delta_{2} \theta_{2}} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} Z-\cos \beta_{3} Z+\mu_{5}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} Z\right)$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{5}^{*} & =\frac{\left(1 / \delta_{2}\right) \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime}-\sin \beta_{3}}{\theta_{2} \cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime}+\cos \beta_{3}}  \tag{8.81}\\
\mu_{5}^{*} & =\frac{\delta_{2} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime}-\sin \beta_{3}}{\left(1 / \theta_{2}\right) \cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime}+\cos \beta_{3}} \tag{8.82}
\end{align*}
$$

### 8.6.5. CANTILEVER BEAM WITH ONE END STMPLY SUPPORTED AND FREE AT

 THE OTHER:For a cantilever beam simply supported at the end $Z=0$ and free at $Z=1$, the boundary conditions are:

$$
\bar{\phi}=\bar{\phi}^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { at } z=0
$$

and

$$
\bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0,\left[\mathrm{~s}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-(2 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}) \bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } z=1 .
$$

The frequency equation for the first set, in this case becomes:
$\delta_{1} \tanh \alpha_{3}^{\prime}-\theta_{1} \tan \beta_{3}=0$
The frequency equation for the second set is given by:
$\delta_{2} \tan \alpha_{3}^{\prime}+\theta_{2} \tan \beta_{3}=0$
The modal functions for the first set are:
$\bar{\phi}=\frac{\delta_{1} \cos \beta_{3}}{\cosh \alpha_{3}} \sinh \alpha_{3} z+\sin \beta_{3} z$
$\bar{\psi}=\frac{\sin \beta_{3}}{\delta_{1} \sinh \alpha_{3}} \cosh \alpha_{3} z+\cos \beta_{3} z$
The modal functions for the second set can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=-\frac{\delta_{2} \cos \beta_{3}}{\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime}} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z+\sin \beta_{3} z \tag{8.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{4}=-\frac{\sin \beta_{3}}{\delta_{2} \sin \alpha_{3}^{i}} \cos \alpha_{3}^{i} z+\cos \beta_{3} z \tag{8.88}
\end{equation*}
$$
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### 8.6.6. BEAM WIMF FREE TNDS:

In the case of a beam which is free at both ends, the boundary conditions are:
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0, \quad\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\phi}^{\prime}-(2 L / h) \bar{\phi}=0 \text { at } z=0, \\
& \bar{\psi}^{\prime}=0,\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right] \bar{\varnothing}^{\prime}-(2 L / h) \bar{\psi}=0 \text { at } z=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The frequency equation for the first set, in this case car be obtained as:
$8-2 \cosh \alpha_{3} \cos \beta_{3}+\frac{\left(\theta_{1}^{2}-\delta_{1}^{2}\right)}{\delta_{1} \theta_{1}} \sinh \alpha_{3} \sin \beta_{3}=0$
The frequency equation for the second set is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-2 \cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \cos \beta_{3}+\frac{\left(\theta_{2}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}\right)}{\delta_{2}^{2} \theta_{2}} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} \sin \beta_{3}=0 \tag{8.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modal functions for the first get can be obtained as: $\bar{\varnothing}=D\left(\cosh \alpha_{3} z+\eta_{6}^{*} \delta_{1} \sinh \alpha_{3} z+\left(1 / \theta_{1}\right) \cos \beta_{3} Z+\eta_{6}^{*}\right.$ sin $\left.\beta_{3} z\right) \quad$ (8.91) $\ddot{\psi}=H\left(\cosh \alpha_{3} Z-\frac{\eta_{6}^{*}}{\delta_{1}} \sinh \alpha_{3} Z+\theta_{1} \cos \beta_{3} Z+\left(1 / \eta_{6}^{*}\right)_{\sin } \beta_{3} z\right)(8.92)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{6}^{*}=\frac{\cosh \alpha_{3}-\cos \beta_{3}}{\delta_{1} \sinh \alpha_{3}-\theta_{1} \sin \beta_{3}} \tag{8.93}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The model functions for the second set are given by: $\bar{\varnothing}=D\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z-\delta_{2} \mu_{6}^{*} \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} z+\left(1 / \theta_{2}\right) \cos \beta_{3} z+\mu_{6}^{*} \sin \beta_{3} z\right)$
$\bar{\psi}=H\left(\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime} Z+\left(\mu_{61}^{*} \delta_{2}\right) \sin \alpha_{3}^{\prime} Z+\theta_{2} \cos \beta_{3} Z+\left(1 / \mu_{6}^{*}\right) \sin \beta_{3} Z\right)(8.95)$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu u_{6}^{*}=-\frac{\cos \alpha_{3}^{\prime}-\cos \beta_{3}}{\delta_{2} \sin \alpha_{3}+\theta_{2} \sin \beta_{3}} \tag{8,96}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.7. APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS BY GALERKII'S TECHNIOUE:

Except for the almply supported beam, the frequenoy equations for other boundary conditions derived in the section (8.6) can be observed to be highly transcendental and are solved on a digital computer only by lengthy trial-and-error method. An attempt has been made in this section to derive approximate expresslons for the torsional frequencies and buckling loads of fixedfixed beam and of a beam fixed at one end and simply supported at the other, utilizing Galerkin's technique.

### 8.7.1. FIXED-FIXED BEAM:

To satisfy the boundary conditions in this case, the normal function of angle of twist $\bar{\varnothing}$ can be assumed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n}(1-\infty 0 \leqslant 2 n \pi Z) \tag{8.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq.(8.97) in the differential Equation (8.20) and using Galerkin's technique, expression for the

## 240

frequency parameter $\lambda^{2}$, in this can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 \lambda^{4} s^{2} d^{2}-\lambda^{2}\left\{3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right]+12 s^{2} d^{2} \chi^{2}\right\} \\
& \quad+\left\{16 n^{4} \pi^{4}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+4 \gamma^{2}\left(3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Hq. (8.98) gives two real positive roots given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{m n}^{2}= & \frac{1}{3 s^{2} d^{2}}\left[3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}+\alpha^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right]+12 s^{2} \alpha^{2} \gamma^{2}\right] \\
& +(-1)^{m}\left[\left\{3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}+\alpha^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right]+12 s^{2} d^{2} \nu^{2}\right\}^{2}\right. \\
& -12 s^{2} d^{2}\left\{16 n^{4} \pi^{4}\left|s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right|+4 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+4 \dot{\gamma}^{2}\left(3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)\right\}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

For a beam not vibrating, ie., $\lambda=0$, the expression fer the buckling load can be obtained from Eq.(8.98) as

$$
\Delta_{\text {or }}^{2}=K^{2}+\left[\frac{4 \pi^{4}+\gamma^{2}\left(3+4 \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)}{\pi^{2}\left(1+4 \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)}\right]
$$

If the effect of shear deformation is neglected, ie., $s^{2}=0$, Eq. (8.100) reduces to:

$$
\Delta_{c r}^{2}=4 \pi^{2}+K^{2}+\left(3 / \pi^{2}\right) \gamma^{2}
$$

which is same as Fq. (2.74) obtained by utilizing Timoshenko to=sion theory.
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If the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation are negiected, ie., $s^{2}=\alpha^{2}=0$, Eq.(8.98) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=2\left[\left(n^{2} \pi^{2} / 3\right)\left(4 n^{2} \pi^{2}+k^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+\gamma^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{8.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is same as Eq. (2.73).

### 8.7.2. BEAM FIXED AT ONE END AND SIMPLY SUPPORTED AT THE OTHER:

To satisfy the boundary conditions in this case, the normal function of angle of twist $\bar{\varnothing}$ can be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\phi}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n}\left(\cos \frac{n \pi}{2} z-\cos \frac{3 n \pi}{2} z\right) \tag{8.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq.(8.103) in the differential Equation (8.20) and using the Galerkin's teohnique, the expression for the orequency parameter $\lambda^{2}$, in this case can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{align*}
16 & \lambda^{4} s^{2} d^{2}-\lambda^{2}\left\{16+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right]+64 s^{2} d^{2} \gamma^{2}\right\} \\
& +\left\{41 n^{4} \pi^{4}\left[s^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+16 \gamma^{2}\left(4+5 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)\right\}= \tag{8.104}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. (8.104) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{m n}^{2}= & \frac{1}{16 s^{2} d^{2}}\left\{\left[16+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right]+64 s^{2} d^{2} \cdot \gamma^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +(-1)^{m}\left[\left\{16+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left[s^{2}+d^{2}+s^{2} d^{2}\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right]+64 s^{2} d^{2} \gamma^{2}\right\}^{2}\right. \\
& -64 s^{2} d^{2}\left\{41 n^{4} \pi^{4}\left[s^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+1\right]+20 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.+16 \gamma^{2}\left(4+5 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)\right\}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{8.105}
\end{align*}
$$
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For a beam not vibrating, ie., $\lambda=0$, and the expression for the bucking load can be obtained from Eq.(8.104) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\text {or }}^{2}=K^{2}+\left[\frac{2.08 \pi^{4}+0.8 r^{2}\left(4+5 \pi^{2} \beta^{2}\right)}{\pi^{2}\left(1+8.0 B \pi^{2} s^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{8.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the effeot of shear deformetion ls negleoted, io., $\mathrm{s}^{2}=0$, Eq. (8.106) reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta_{0 x^{2}}^{2}=2.05 \pi^{8}+k^{8}+\left(3.8 / \pi^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{8.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is same as Eq. (2.7y) derived by utilizing Timoshenko torsion theory.

If the effeots of longitudinel inertia and shonr deform mation are neglected, ie., $s^{2}=\alpha^{2}=0$, Eq.(8.104) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left[1.25 n^{2} \pi^{2}\left(2.05 n^{2} \pi^{2}+\kappa^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right)+4 \gamma^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{8.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is same as Eq. (2.76).

### 8.8. IMMITING CONDITIDISS:

The Iimiting conditions at which the combined influence of the axial compressive load and elastic foundation on the torsional frequency becomes zero, for some cases are as follows:
(1) Simplý-Supportod Beam:

From Eq. (B.41) wo get two limiting ooncitiong in thin
orso. Thoy aro:
(a) sd $\gamma=0.5 \mathrm{n} \pi-2 \mathrm{~A}$
(b) $\gamma=0.5 \mathrm{n} \pi \triangle$
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(2) Fixed-Fixed Beam: From Eq. (8.98) the Iimiting conditions in thes case are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (a) } \sqrt{3} \text { sत } \nu=n \pi \Delta  \tag{8.111}\\
& \text { (b) } \nu=n \pi \Delta\left[\frac{1+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}}{3+4 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{8.112}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) Beam fixed at one end and Simply supported at the other:

From Eq. (8.104) the Eimiting conditions in this case are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (a) } 4 \text { sd } \nu=\sqrt{5} n \pi \Delta  \tag{8.113}\\
& \text { (b) } \nu=0.559 n \pi \Delta\left[\frac{1+2.05 n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}}{1+1.25 \cdot n^{2} \pi^{2} s^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{8.114}
\end{align*}
$$

If ithe effect of shear deformation is neglected, ie., $s^{2}=0$, Eqs. (8.112) and (8.114) reduces to Eqs. (2.79) and (2.80) derived previously.

For the above relations in various cases between $\nu$ and $\Delta$ there will be no influence of axial load and elastic foundation on the torsional frequency of vibration. This cen be observed to be due to the opposite nature of their individual effects and these individual effects get mullified at these limiting conditions for various cases.
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### 8.9. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS:

In this section, the results obtained on IBM 1130 Computer are presented in Tables 8.1 to 8.16 tozshow the effects of various non-dimensional parameters on the buckling loads and torsional frequencies of simply supported, clamped-clamped and clampedsimply supported beams resting on elastic foundation. Extensive design data ${ }^{\text {are }}$ made available in these tables. The main interest is to find the influenoes of mhoar deformation and longitum annel laertia on the irequenoles of vibration of a short thinwalled beam resting on oontinuous olastio foundation and subjooted to en exiel oompressive lond.

The values of the torsional buchling load $\Delta_{c a}$ for the three boundary conditions are given in Table 8.1 for various values of the warping parameter $K$ and shear parameter s. It is well known that the effect of increase in the value of $K$ is to increase the buckling load considerably. From Table 8.1, we observe that for any constant value of K , the effect of increase in the value of s is to decrease the torsional buckling load, and that this rem duction becomes significent for values of $K \leq 1$. Also, the effect of shear deformation in reducing the bucking load is comparitively considerable in clamped-clamped beams than in other саsев.

The results showing the combined effects of axial compressive load, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies (first set) are given in Tables 8.2, 8.6 and 8.10 , for values of $K=0.01$ and $s=2 d$. The percentage

## TABLE-8.1

Effects of shear deformation and elastic foundation on the torsional bucking loads of simply supported, clamped-clamped and clamped-simply supported thin-walled beams of ojen section.

| $\hat{\gamma}$ | s | Simpl | suppor | ted beam | Clamped-clamped beam |  |  | Clamped-simpIJ supported beam |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K=0.01 | $\mathrm{K}=1.00$ | $\mathrm{K}=10.00$ | $\mathrm{K}=0.01$ | 'K=1.00 | $K=10.00$ | $\mathrm{K}=0.01$ | $\mathrm{K}=1.00^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{K}=10.00$ |  |
| 0 | 0.04 | 3.117 | 3.274 | 10.474 | 6.094 | 6.175 | 11.710 | 4.427 | 4.539 | 10.936 |  |
|  | - 0.08 | 3.047 | 3.207 | 10.454 | 5.614 | 5.702 | 11.468 | 4.232 | 4.349 | 10.859 |  |
|  | 0.10 | 2.997. | 3.160 | 10.140 | 5.320 | 5.413 | 11.327 | 4.102 | 4.222 | 10.809 |  |
| 4 | 0.04 | 4.025 | 4.147 | 10.780 | 6.466 | 6.542 | 11.908 | 4.972 | 5.072 | 11.168 |  |
|  | 0.08 | 3.971 | 4.095 | 10.760 | 5.977 | 6.060 | 11.650 | 4.782 | 4.886 | 11.085 |  |
|  | 0.10 | 3.933 | 4.058 | 10.746 | 5.679 | 5.766 | 11.500 | 4.656 | 4.762 | 11.031 |  |
| 8 | 0.04 | 5.971 | 6.054 | $11.6 \triangle 7$ | 7.471 | 7.538 | 12.483 | 6.332 |  |  |  |
|  | 0.08 | 5.935 | 6.018 | 11.628 | 6.954 | 7.025 | 12.180 | 6.143 | $6.22{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 11.736 |  |
|  | 0.10 | 5.909 | 5.993 | 11.616 | $6.6 \leq 0$ | 6.715 | 12.004 | 6.018 | 6.100 | 11.671 | 心 |
| 12 | 0.04 | 8.251 | 8.311 | 12.964 | 8.898 | 8.954 | 13.385 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.08 | 8.225 | 8.285 | 12.948 | 8.331 | 8.391 | 13.015 | 7.907 | 7.970 | 12.748 |  |
|  | 0.10 | 8.206 | 8.267 | 12.936 | 7.988 | 8.051 | 12.799 | 7.775 | 7.839 | 12.667 |  |
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TABLE－8．2
Effects of axial compressive load longitudiral inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies（first set）of simply supported thin－walled beams（ $P=0, K=0.01$ and $s=2 d$ ）．
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TABLE－8．3
Bffects of elastic foundation，lonoitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies（first set）of simply supported thin－walled beams（ $\lambda=0, \mathrm{~K}=0.01, s=2 d$.
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| 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 113.411 | 1.000 | 1574.563 | 1.000 | 7906.216 | 1.000 | 24952．965 | 1．000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 111.327 | 0.991 | 1461.376 | 0.963 | 6740.149 | 0.923 | 19144.809 | 0.376 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 106.146 | 0.967 | 1210.974 | 0.877 | 4762.502 | 0.776 | 11644.762 | 0.183 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 102.556 | 0.951 | 1077.675 | 0.827 | 3935.933 | 0.706 | 9092．903 | $0 . \leq 0 \leq$ |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 161.411 | 1.000 | 1622.563 | 1.000 | 7954.216 | 1.000 | 25000．965 | 1．：00 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 159.197 | 0.993 | 1508.751 | 0.964 | 6786.883 | 0.924 | 19190.977 | $0 . ⿰ 习 习$ |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 153.472 | 0.975 | 1257.070 | 0.880 | 4807.706 | 0.777 | 11689.590 |  |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 149.588 | 0.963 | 1123.266 | 0.832 | 3980.823 | 0.707 | 9137．707 | 0.505 |
| 6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 241.411 | 1.000 | 1702.563 | 1.000 | 8034.216 | 1.000 | 25080．965 | 1.000 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 238.979 | 0.995 | 1587.796 | 0.966 | 6864.851 | 0.924 | 19267.883 | 0.876 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 232.365 | 0.981 | 1333.911 | 0.885 | 4883.068 | 0.780 | 11764．303 | 0.535 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 227.977 | 0.972 | 1199.249 | 0.839 | 4055.624 | 0.710 | 9212．363 | 0.506 |
| 8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 353.411 | 1.000 | 1814．563 | 1.000 | 8146.216 | 1.000 |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | $350.67 \%$ | 0.996 | 1698.340 | 0.967 | 6973.980 | 0.925 | 19375.590 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & 0.8 ? 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 342.828 | 0.985 | 1441.493 | 0.891 | 4988.573 | 0.782 | 11868.906 | $0 . \leqslant 36$ |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 337.704 | 0.978 | 1305.604 | 0.848 | 4160.333 | 0.715 | 9316.879 | 0.608 |
| 10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 497.411 | 1.000 | 1958.563 | 1.000 | 8290.217 | 1.000 | 25336.965 | 1．000 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 493.929 | 0.996 | 1840.202 | 0.969 | 7113.926 | 0.926 | 19513.777 | 0.878 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 484.814 | 0.987 | 1579.773 | 0.898 | 5124.179 | 0.786 | 12003.3 こ7 | $0.638$ |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 478.771 | 0.981 | 1442.318 | 0.858 | 4294.930 | 0.720 | 9451.234 | 0.61 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 673.411 | 1.000 | 2134.563 | 1.000 | 8466.217 | 1.000 |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 669.471 | 0.997 | 2013.930 | 0.971 | 7285.458 | 0.928 | 19683.035 | 0.278 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 658.378 | 0.988 | 1748.797 | 0.905 | 5289.933 | 0.791 | 12167.723 | 0.691 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 651.147 | 0.983 | 1609.352 | 0．868＊ | 4459.380 | 0.726 | 12615．397 | 0．E14 |

## TABLE-8.f

Combined effects of axial compressive load and elastic foundation in combination with longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first and second mode torsional frequencies (first set) of simply supported siort thin-walled beams ( $K=0.01, s=2 d)$.

$1558.5631622 .5631814 .563 \quad 2134.563$
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TABIE-8.5
Combined effects of axial compressive load and elastie foundation in combination with longitudinal
inertia and shear deformation on the third and fourth mode torsional frequencies (first set) of simply supported short thin-walled beams ( $K=0.01, s=2 d$ ).

| $\Delta$ |  |  |  | Values of $\lambda^{2}$, III Mode |  |  | Values of $\lambda^{2}$, IV Mode |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 4 | 8 | 12 | 180 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7890.216 | 7954.216 | 8146.216 | 8466.217 | 24.936 .965 | 25000.965 | 25192.965 | 25512.965 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 6724.678 | 6786.883 | 6973.980 | 7285.458 | 19129.629 | 19190.977 | 19375.590 | 19683.035 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 4747.425 | 4807.706 | 4988.573 | 5289.933 | 11629.818 | 11689.590 | 11868.906 | 12167.723 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 3920.978 | 3980.823 | 4160.333 | 4459.380 | 9077.973 | 9137.707 | 9316.979 | 9615.397 |
| 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7801.389 | 7865.389 | 8057.389 | 8377.389 | 24779.051 | 24843.051 | 25035.051 | 25355.051 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 6638.099 | $6700.22: 1$ | 6887.314 | 7198.785 | 18977.887 | 19039.234 | 19223.910 | 19531.270 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 4663.736 | 4724.018 | 4904.900 | 5206.283 | 11482.309 | 11542.084 | 11721.424 | 12020.270 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 3837.896 | 3897.752 | 4077.286 | 4376.382 | 8390.559 | 8990.305 | 9169.510 | 9468.094 C |
| 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7534.908 | 7598.908 | 7790.908 | 8110.908 | 24305.309 | 24369.309 | 24561.309 | 24881.309 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 6378.824 | 6441.023 | 6628.103 | 6939.553 | 18522.688 | 18584.102 | 18768.758 | 19076.086 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 4412.663 | 4472.961. | 4653.874 | 4955.313 | 11039.711 | 11099.510 | 11278.904 | 11577.842 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 3588.589 | 3648.473 | 3828.089 | 4127.317 | 8488.14 I | 8547.924 | 8727.244 | 9026.012 |
| 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $7090.774$ | 7154.774 | 7346.774 | 7666.774 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 5946.624 | 6008.814 | 6195.874 | 6507.368 | 17764.387 | 17825.793 | 18010.414 | $\begin{aligned} & 24021.734 \\ & 18317.836 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 3994.094 | 4054.407 | 4235.383 | 4536.924 | 10301.721 | 10361.549 | 10541.033 | 108 20.133 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | - | 3232.762 | 3412.513 | 3711.965 | - | 7810.000 | 7989.504 | $108 \leq 5.1378$ 8283.578 |

TABLE-8.6
Effects of axial compressive load, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four tor-
sional frequencies (first set) of clamped-simply suoported thin-walled beams $(8=0, K=0.01, s=2 d$ ).
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| $\Delta$ | s | d | Values of $\lambda^{2}$ and $q=\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I Mode | ${ }_{-\mathrm{q}_{1}}$ | II Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | III Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | IV Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{4}$ |
| 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 249.614 | 1.000 | 3993.813 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 243.820 | 0.988 | 3642.962 | 1.955 | 16690.797 | 1.000 | 63900.938 46820.211 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & 0.856 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 227.685 | 0.955 | 2962.263 | 0.856 | 11414.037 | 0.751 | 27857.102 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.856 \\ & 0.660 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 217.290 | 0.933 | 2572.443 | 0.803 | 9390.227 | 0.681 | 21881.023 | 0.585 |
| 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 200.266 | 1.000 | 3796.419 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 194.088 | 0.984 | 3439.561 | 0.952 | 16216.939 | 0.906 | 63111.367 | 1.000 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 176.347 | 0.940 | 2706.261 | 0.844 | 10864.486 | 0.741 | 45940.109 | 0.853 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 165.634 | 0.909 | 2341.124 | 0.785 | 8792.682 | 0.667 | 20658.918 | 0.651 0.572 |
| 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 52.221 | 1.000 | 3204.241 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 44.890 | 0.927 | 2829.545 | 0.940 | 18796.113 | 1.000 0.896 | 60742.649 43300.180 | 1.000 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 24.331 | 0.683 | 2046.722 | 0.799 | 9220.086 | 0.707 | 43302.168 | 0.844 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 10.695 | 0.453 | 1648.723 | 0.717 | 7013.864 | 0.617 | 17055.133 | 0.530 |

$\mathrm{K}=0.01$, $s=2$ ) ) $0.01, s=2 d)$.
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## T ABIE－8．8

Combined effects of axial compressive load and elastic foundation in combination fith longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first and second mode torsional frequencies（first set）of clamped－simply supoorted short thin－walled beams（ $K=0.01, s=2 d$ ）

|  | S | d |  | Values of $\lambda^{2}$ ，I Mode |  |  |  | alues of $\lambda^{2}$ ，II Mode |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\triangle$ |  |  | 170 | 4 | 8 | 12 | $\sqrt{7} 0$ | 4 | 18 | 12 |  |
| 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 249.614 | 313.614 | 505.614 | 825.614 | 3993.813 | 4057.813 | 4249．8ころ |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | $2 \leq 3.820$ | 307.523 | 498.630 | 817.143 | 3642.962 | 3705.920 | 3894．979 | $4209.766$ |  |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 227.685 | 290.666 | 479.608 | 794.477 | 2926.263 | 2987.917 | 3172.854 | 3481． 0 ¢1 |  |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 217.290 | 279.870 | 467.568 | 780.330 | 2572.443 | 2633.897 | 2318.238 | 3125.369 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 200.266 | 264.266 | 456.266 | 776.266 | 3796.419 | 3860.419 | 4052.49 | 4372.420 |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 194.088 | 257.790 | 448.898 | 767.410 | 3439.562 | 3502.519 | 3691．${ }^{\text {5 }} 8$ | 4006.365 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 176.847 | 239.827 | 428.758 | 743.626 | 2706.261 | 2767.915 | 2952．8－7 | 3260.980 | － |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 165.634 | 228.210 | 415.907 | 728.660 | 2341.124 | 2042.555 | 2586．824 | 2893.826 |  |
| 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 52.221 | 116.221 | 308.221 | 628.221 | 3204.241 | 3268.241 | 3460．2 21 | 3780.241 |  |
|  | 0.64 | 0.62 | 44.890 | 108.592 | 299.700 | 618.212 | 2829.545 | 2892.503 | 3081.375 | $3396.3 \leqslant 8$ |  |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 24.331 | 87.300 | 276.242 | 591.099 | 2046．722 | 2108.340 | 2293．1き6 | $2601.2 \leq 3$ |  |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 10.695 | 73.266 | 260.949 | 573.678 | 1648.723 | 1610.082 | 1894．137 | 2200.772 |  |

## T $\triangle$ B $\mathrm{IE}-8.9$

Combined effects of axial compressive load and elastic foundation in combination with longitudinal clamped-simply supported shor on the third and fourth mode torsional frequencies (first set) of ( $\mathrm{K}=0.01, s=2 \mathrm{~d}$ ).

TABLE-8.10
Effects of axial compressive load, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies (first set) of clamped-clamped short thin-walled beams $(\gamma=0, K=0.01, s=2 d)$

| Effects of axial compressive load, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on th torsional frequencies (first set) of clamped-clamped short thin-walled beams $(\gamma)=0$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta$ | $s$ |  | Values of $\lambda^{2}$ and $q=\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | d | I Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | II Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | III Liode | $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | IV Mode | $q_{4}$ |
| 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 519.521 | 1.000 | 8312.322 | 1.000 | 42081.117 | 1.000 | 132997. 094 | 1.000 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 506.516 | 0.987 | 7553.774 | 0.953 | 34643.352 | 0.907 | 97904.031 | 0.858 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 472.111 | 0.953 | 6119.002 | 0.858 | 24856.652 | 0.769 | 66324.172 | 0.706 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 450.494 | 0.931 | 5463.667 | 0.811 | 21719.863 | 0.718 | 66035.985 | 0.705 |
| 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 466.883 | 1.000 | 8101.770 | 1.000 | 41607.375 | 1.000 | 132154.875 | 1.000 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 452.002 | 0.984 | 7313.990 | 0.950 | 34029.055 | 0.904 | 96638.172 | 0.855 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 412.165 | 0.940 | 5802.740 | 0.846 | 23865.852 | 0.757 | 63592.719 | 0.694 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 386.737 | 0.910 | 5093.349 | 0.793 | 20378.367 | 0.700 | 60305.024 | 0.676 |
| 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 308.769 | 1.000 | 7470.111 | 1.000 | 40186.141 | 1.000 | 129628.250 |  |
|  | 0.04 | -0.02 | 288.338 | 0.966 | 6594.636 | 0.940 | 32187.020 | 0.895 | 92843.719 | 0.846 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 232.373 | 0.867 | 4857.074 | 0.806 | 20935.410 | 0.722 | 55818.211 | 0.656 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 195.653 | 0.796 | 3994.760 | 0.731 | 16570.820 | 0.642 | 44487.195 | 0.586 |

$\begin{array}{rr}132997.094 & 1.000 \\ 97904.031 & 0.858 \\ 66324.172 & 0.706 \\ 66035.985 & 0.705\end{array}$
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TABIE-8.11
Effects of elastic foundation, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies (first set) of clamped-clamped short thin-walled beams ( $\Delta=0$, $\mathrm{K}=0.01, \mathrm{~s}=2 \mathrm{~d}$ ).

| $\gamma$ | 8 | d | Values of $\lambda^{2}$ and $q=\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I iliode | $q_{1}$ | II Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | III Mode | $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | IV Mode | $q_{4}$ |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 519.521 | 1.000 | 8312.322 | 1.000 | 42081.117 | 1.000 | 132997.094 | 1.000 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 506.516 | 0.987 | 7553.774 | 0.953 | 34643.352 | 0.907 | 97904.031 | 0.858 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 472.111 | 0.953 | 6119.002 | 0.858 | 24856,652 | 0.769 | 66324.172 | 0.706 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 450.494 | 0.931 | 5463.663 | 0.811 | 21719.863 | 0.718 | 66035.985 | 0.705 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 583.521 | 1.000 | 8376.322 | 1.000 | 42145.117 | 1.000 | 133061.094 |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 570.218 | 0.989 | 7616.856 | 0.954 | 34706.063 | 0.907 | 137966.960 | 0.858 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 535.162 | 0.958 | 6181.943 | 0.859 | 24923.539 | 0.769 | 66404.719 | 0.706 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 513.281 | 0.938 | 5527.894 | 0.812 | 21795.211 | 0.719 | 65822.531 | $0.703$ |
| 8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 775.521 | 1.000 | 8568.322 | 1.000 | 42337.117 | 1.000 | 133253.094 | . $200{ }^{\text {N }}$ |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 761.326 | 0.991 | 7805.977 | 0.954 | 34893.945 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 93253.094 \\ 98155.735 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.300 \\ & 0.358 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $0.08$ | 0.04 | 724.305 | 0.966 | 6370.738 | 0.362 | 25124.254 | $0.770$ | 66646.406 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.358 \mathrm{ei} \\ & 0.707 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 701.615 | 0.951 | 5720.594 | 0.817 | 22021.434 | 0.721 | 65231.055 | $0.700$ |
| 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1095.521 | 1.000 | 8888.322 | 1.000 | 42657.117 | 1.000 |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1079.714 | 0.993 | 8121.261 | 0.956 | 35207.117 | 0.908 | 133573.094 98470.391 | 1.000 0.359 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 1039.543 | 0.974 | 6685.378 | 0.867 | 25458.801 | 0.773 | 67049.672 | 0.708 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 1015.444 | 0.963 | 6041.766 | 0.824 | 22399.109 | 0.725 | 64369.406 | 0.694 |

## TABIE-8.12

Combined effects of axial compressive load and elastic foundation in combination with longitudinal inertia and shear defomation on the first and second mode torsional frequencies (first set) of clamped-clamped short thin-walled beams ( $K=0.01, s=2 d)$.

| $\triangle$ | 1 |  |  | Values of $\lambda^{2}$, I Mode |  |  |  | Values of $\lambda^{2}$, II Mode |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | s | d | $8 / 0$ | 4 | 8 | 12 | 80 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 519.521 | 583.521 | 775.521 | 1095. 521 | 8312.322 | 8376.322 | 8568.322 | 8388.322 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 506.516 | 570.218 | 761.326 | 1073.714 | 7553.774 | 7616.856 | 7805.977 | 8121.261 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 472.111 | 535.162 | 724.305 | 1039.543 | 6119.002 | 6181.943 | 6370.738 | 6685.378 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | \$50.494 | 513.281 | 701.615 | 1015. 414 | 5463.663 | 5527.894 | 5720.594 | 6041.766 |
| 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 466.883 | 530.883 | 722.883 | 1042.333 | 8101.770 | 8165.770 | 8357.770 | 8677.770 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 452.002 | 515.580 | 706.688 | 1025.076 | 7313.990 | 7376.947. | 7566.192 | $7881.476$ |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 412.165 | 475.208 | 664.344 | 973.558 | 5802.740 | 5865.620 | 6054.274 | 6368.666 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 386.757 | 449.511 | 637.808 | 951.564 | 5093.349 | 5157.397 | 5349.538 | 5669.769 |
| 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 308.969 | 372.969 | 564.969 | $88 \leq .969$ | 7470.111 | 7534.111 | 7726.111 | 8046.111 |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 288.335 | 351.916 | 545.148 | 861.536 | 6594.636 | 6657.594 | $6846.839$ | $7161.998$ |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 232.373 | 295.400 | 484.505 | 799.657 | 4857.c74 | 4919.814 | 5108.020 | 5421.674 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 195.65 | 258.385 | 446.558 | 760.114 | 3994.760 | 4058.287 | 4248.851 | 4566.443 |


| 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42081.117 | 42145.117 | 42337.117 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 34643.352 | 34706.063 | 34893.945 | 35207.117 | 97904.031 | 133061.094 97966.860 | 133253.094 | 133573.09 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 24856.652 | 24923.539 | 25124.254 | 25458.801 | 66324.172 | 664046.860 | 98155.735 | 98470.39 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 21719.863 | 21795.211 | 22021.434 | 22399.109 | 66035.985 | 65424.519 | $\begin{aligned} & 666 \leq 6.406 \\ & 652 z 1 . C 55 \end{aligned}$ | $67049.67$ |
| 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 41607.375 | 41671.37 | 41863.375 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 34029.055 | 34091.766 | 34279.641 | 3459 | 132154.8 | 132218.875 | 132410.875 | 3273 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 23865.852 | 23932.473 | 24132.395 | 24465.621 | 63592.719 | 25 | 96889.750 | 97204.54 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 20378.367 | 20452.328 | 20674.352 | 21044.898 | 60305.021 | $60477.586$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63909.094 \\ & 6100 \pm .914 \end{aligned}$ | $64304.89$ |
| 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 40186.141 | 40250.141 | 40442.141 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 32187.020 | 32249.606 | 32437.484 | 32750.656 |  | 129692.250 | $12988 \pm .250$ | 1259 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.04 | 20935.410 | 21001.320 | 21198.992 | 21528.492 | 55818.211 | 92906.672 | 93095.297 | 87095. |
|  | 0.10 | 0.05 | 16570.820 | 16641.430 | 16853.352 | 17206.852 | 44487.195 | 44583.672 | 56127. 781 | 56497.5 |

T ABLE -8.14
Effects of axial compressive load, elastic foundation and warping in combination with longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies (first set) of simply supported short thin-walled beams ( $s=0.10$ and $d=0.05$ ).

| $\triangle$ |  | Values of $q=\lambda / \lambda$ o for $K=1.0$ |  |  |  | Values of $q=\lambda / \lambda$ o for $K=10.0$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I Mode | II Mode | III ifode | IV ilode | I Mode | II Mode | III Mode | IV Mode |  |
| 0.0 | 0 | 0.9487 | 0.8297 | 0.7084 | 0.6063 | 0.9973 | 0.9847 | 0.9572 | 0.9176 |  |
|  | 4 | 0.9643 | 0.8357 | 0.7108 | 0.6075 | 0.9973 | 0.9848 | $0.9573$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9176 \\ & 0.9177 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 8 | 0.9779 | 0.8511 | 0.7178 | 0.6110 | 0.9976 | 0.9851 | 0.9577 | $0.9180$ |  |
|  | 12 | 0.9834 | 0.8703 | 0.7287 | 0.6167 | 0.9976 | 0.9855 | 0.9582 | $0.9185$ |  |
| 1.5 | 0 | 0.9377 | 0.8203 | 0.7005 | 0.5996 | 0.9974 | 0.9845 | 0.9569 |  |  |
|  | 4 | $0.960 \leqslant$ | 0.8272 | 0.7031 | 0.6008 | 0.9974 | 0.9846 | 0.9570 | $0.9171$ |  |
|  | 8 | 0.9771 | 0.8444 | 0.7105 | 0.6045 | 0.9976 | 0.9849 | 0.9573 | $0.9175$ | N |
|  | 12 | 0.3832 | 0.8656 | 0.7220 | 0.6104 | 0.9977 | 0.9854 | 0.9579 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9175 \\ & 0.9180 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ |
| 3.0 | 0 | 0.7180 | 0.7832 | 0.6734 | 0.4776 | 0.9974 | 0.9841 | 0.9557 |  |  |
|  | 4 | $0.9359$ | 0.7937 | 0.6766 | 0.5790 | 0.9974 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9841 \\ & 0.9842 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9557 \\ & 0.9559 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9152 \\ & 0.9154 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 8 | 0.9740 | 0.8191 | 0.6857 | 0.5831 | 0.9976 | 0.9845 | 0.9562 | 0.9157 |  |
|  | 12 | 0.9825 | 0.8496 | 0.6995 | 0.5898 | 0.9977 | 0.9850 | 0.9568 | 0.9162 |  |

T A B LE - 8.15
Effects of axial compressive load, elastic foundation and warping in combination with longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies (first set) of clamped-simply supported short thin-ralled beams ( $s=0.10$ and $d=0.05$ ).

| $\triangle$ | $\gamma$ | Values of $q=\lambda / \lambda$ ofor $k=1.0$ |  |  |  | Values of $\mathrm{q}=\lambda / \lambda_{0}$ for $\mathrm{K}=10.0$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I Mode | II Mode | III Mode | IV Mode | I Mode | II Mode | III Made | IV Mode |
| 0 | 0 | 0.9330 | 0.8026 | 0.6815 | 0.5852 | 1.0091 | 0.9729 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.9447 | 0.8057 | 0.6827 | 0.5857 | 1.0083 | 0.9730 | $0.900 \leq$ | 0.8461 |
|  | 8 | 0.9616 | 0.8143 | 0.6862 | 0.5875 | 1.0062 | 0.9733 | 0.9015 | 0.8476 |
|  | 12 | 0.9722 | 0.8270 | 0.6918 | 0.5903 | 1.0035 | 0.9738 | 0.902 E | 0.8495 |
| 2 | 0 | 0.9094 | 0.7853 | 0.6668 | 0.5721 | 1.0086 | 0.9699 | 0.894 |  |
|  | 4 | 0.9293 | 0.7889 | 0.6681 | 0.5727 | 1.0077 | 0.9700 | $0.894 \leq$ | $0.8350$ |
|  | 8 | 0.9547 | 0.7990 | 0.6719 | 0.5746 | 1.0056 | 0.9703 | 0.895 | 0.8361 |
|  | 12 | 0.9689 | 0.8135 | 0.5780 | 0.5776 | 1.0030 | 0.9709 | 0.8967 | 0.8378 |
| 4 | 0 | 0.4526 | 0.7173 | 0.6167 | 0.5299 | 1.0067 | 0.9598 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.7940 | 0.7234 | 0.61815 | 0.5306 | 1.0059 | 0.9600 | 0.8757 | 0.8032 |
|  | 8 | 0.9201 | 0.7399 | 0.6232 | 0.5327 | 1.0038 | 0.9606 | $0.876{ }^{7}$ | 0.8045 |
|  | 12 | 0.9556 | 0.7630 | 0.6310 | 0.5363 | 1.0013 | 0.9615 | $0.878 \leq$ | 0.8061 |

## T A B LE - 8.16

Effects of axial compressive load, elastic foundation and maroing in combination with longitudinal inertia and shear de?ormation on the first four torsioral frequencies ofirst set) of clamped-clamped short thin-walled Beams ( $s=0.10$ and $d=0.05$ ).

| $\triangle$ | $\gamma^{\prime}$ | Values of $q=\lambda / \lambda$ o for $\mathrm{K}=1.0$ |  |  |  | Values oì $q=\lambda / \lambda$ o for $K=10.0$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I Mode | II inode | III Mode | IV Mode | I Mrode | İ Mode | III ilode | IV Hode |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0.9358 | 0.8150 | 0.7230 | 0.6891 | 1.0094 | 0.9991 | 0.9632 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.9418 | 0.8166 | 0.7237 | 0.6882 | 1.0090 | 0.7991 | 0.9632 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9177 \\ & 0.9177 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 8 | 0.9539 | 0.8212 | 0.7258 | 0.6855 | 1.0080 | 0.7990 | 0.9633 | 0.9178 |  |
|  | 12 | 0.9645 | 0.8284 | 0.7292 | 0.6813 | 1.0066 | 0.3988 | 0.9635 | 0.9130 |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0.9159 | 0.7975 | 0.7045 | 0.6874 | 1.0091 | 0.3983 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.9250 | 0.7992 | 0.7052 | 0.6884 | 1.0088 | 0.3979 | 0.9615 0.9615 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9156 \\ & 0.9157 \end{aligned}$ | N |
|  | 8 | 0.9424 | $0.80 \leqslant 4$ | 0.7074 | 0.6913 | 1.0077 | 0.3979 | 0.9616 | $0.9158$ | $\sigma$ |
|  | 12 | 0.9572 | 0.8124 | 0.7109 | 0.6966 | 1.0064 | 0.7973 | 0.9618 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9158 \\ & 0.9159 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0.8104 | 0.7370 | 0.6471 | 0.5919 | 1.0083 | 0.7945 | 0.9561 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 0.8428 | 0.7395 | 0.6479 | 0.5924 | 1.0079 | 0.794 | 0.9562 | 0.9094 |  |
|  | 8 | 0.8944 | 0.7469 | 0.6505 | 0.5939 | 1.0068 | 0.7944 | 0.9563 | 0.9095 |  |
|  | 12 | 0.9296 | 0.7584 | 0.6546 | 0.5964 | 1.0055 | $0.79 \leq 3$ | 0.9565 | 0.9097 |  |
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reductions in the torsional frequencies due to increase in the axial compressive load can be observed from these tables to be slightly higher than those when the effects are neglected.

The combined effect of elastic foundation, longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first four torsional frequencies (first set) are shown in Tables 8.3, 8.7 and 8.11 for values of $K=0.01$ and $s=2 d$. From these results it can be noted that the percentage increase in the torsional frequencies due to elastic foundation is slightly more than those when the second order effects are neglected. The results presented in Tables $8.4,8.5,8.8,8.9,8.12$ and 8.13 show the combined effects of axial ompressive load and elastic foundation in combination with the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation on the first and second, third and fourth torsional frequencies (first set) of simply supported, olamped-clamped and clamped-simply supported beams respectively. It can be observed from these tables that the combined effects are almost the algebroic sum of the individual influences of various effects on the torsional frequencies of vibration. The results for the modifying quotients for the first four modes of vibration for simply-supported, clamped-clamped, and clamped-simply supported beams are respectively presented in Tables $8.14,8.15$ and 8.16 for values of $s=0.10, d=0.05$ and for various values of $\Delta$, $\gamma$ and $K$. From these results we observe that for any set of values of $K$ and $\gamma$, the influence of increase in the values of $\Delta$ in the range 0.0 to 3.0 is to decrease the modifying quotients
(1.e., to inorease the second order effects on the frequencies of vibration) for various modes by about 25 percent. For any oongtant set of values of $\Delta$ and $K$, the offeot of inorease, in the values of $\gamma$ in the range 0 to 12 is to inorease the modifying quotients (i.e., to decrease the second order effeots on the frequencies of vibration) for various modes at the most by 15 percent. For constant values of $\Delta$ and $\gamma$, the effect of increasing the value of $K$ from 1.0 to 10.0 is to increase the modifying quotients for various modes by about 10 percent.

It is also observed that, for constant values of $K$ and $\gamma$, the reduction in the frequency of vibration at the first mode is quite considerable for values of $\Delta$ nearing $\Delta$ or. From the various results presented in this section, we can conclude that the effects of shear deformation and longitudinal inertia on the torsional frequencies at higher modes become increasingly important for a beam with smaller values of warping parameter $K$ and foundation parameter $\gamma$ and for larger values of $\triangle \leq \Delta$ or.
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## OIIMPYER - IX

## FINITE ELIMENT $\triangle N \triangle I Y S I S ~ O F ~ T O R S I O N A I ~ V I B R A T I O N S ~ A N D ~ S M A B I L I T Y ~$ OF SHORT THIN-WALLED BEAMS RESTING ON CONTINUOUS ELASTIC FOUNDATION*.

### 9.1. INTRODUCTION:

The problem of torsional vibrations and stability of lengthy thin-walled beams of open section resting on Winklertype elastic foundation is solved in Chapter III utilizing finiteelement method. The stiffness, stability and mass matrices derived therein, does not include the second order effects such as longitudinal inertia and shear deformation. These second order effects cannot be neglected in the case of short and deep thin-walled beams and, as is shown in Chapter IV, they drastically change the torsional frequencies at higher modes of vibration.

The present chapter, therefore, aims at extending the finite element method presented in Chapter III to include the effocts of loneitudinal. Inertia and shear deformation. New stiffness, stability coefficient and mass matrices for a short or deep thin-walled beam are developed in this Chapter, which include the effects of longitudinal inertia and shear deformation in addition to the effects of axial time-inveriant compressive load and elastic foundation. The method developed herein

A paper by the author based on the results from this Chapter is communicated to Journal of Applied Mechanics, Transactions of ASME, for publication. Sec Ref.(56)
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is useful in analyzing both uniform and non-uniform beams with any complex boundery oonditions. The new stiffness and stability coefficient matrices are made use of in conjunction with the conststant mass matrix for finding the torsional frequencies, buckling loads and mode shapes of short uniform thin-walled beams with various end conditions. Results obtained for the case of a simply supported beam by the finite element method are compared with the exact ones obtained in Chapter VIII and an excellent agreement is observed even for a coarse sub-division of the beam.
9.2. MODIFIED STRAIN ENERGY EXPRESSION INCLUDING THE EFFECTS OF AXIAL LOAD AND ELAST IC FOUNDATION:

Substituting Eq. (5.1) into Eq.(8.1), the strain energy $\mathrm{U}_{4}$, due to the Winkler-type elastic foundation can be written in a modified form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{4} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\phi_{t}+\phi_{s}\right)^{2} d z \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilizing Eqs.(5.14) and (9.1), the total strain energy $U$ at any instant $t$ incluaing the effect of Winkler-type elastic foundation can be written in a modified form as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=U_{1}+U_{2}+U_{3}+U_{4} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I}\left[\operatorname{GC}_{s}\left(\frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial_{z}}+\frac{\partial \varnothing_{s}}{\partial_{z}}\right)^{2}+\operatorname{EC}_{W}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{t}}{\partial_{z}{ }^{2}}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+K^{\prime} A_{f} G \frac{h}{}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \varnothing_{s}}{\partial z}\right)^{2}+K_{t}\left(\varnothing_{t}+\varnothing_{s}\right)^{2}\right] d z \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq.(5.1) into Eq.(8.3) the potential energy, W, due to the time-invariant axial compressive load $P$ can be written in a modified form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I} \frac{P I_{p}}{\partial \frac{\partial \emptyset_{t}}{}}\left(\frac{\partial \varnothing_{S}}{\partial_{z}}+\frac{2}{\partial z}\right)^{2} d z \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total kinetic energy, Th, at any time $t$ in the modified form is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{I_{1}}\left[\rho I_{p}\left(\frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \varnothing_{S}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\rho_{C_{W}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varnothing_{t}}{\partial_{z} \partial_{t}}\right)^{2}\right] d z \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is same as Eq. (5.15).

### 9.3. MODIFIED NATURAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS:

Except for the case of a free end, the boundary conditions for simply supported and fixed ends remain the same as those given by Eqs. (5.16) and (5.17).

For the case of a 'free end'', the modified natural boundary conditions for the present problem become:
$\frac{\partial^{2} \varnothing_{t}}{\partial_{z}^{2}}=0 ;\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{\partial \varnothing_{t}}{\partial z}+\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G \frac{h^{2}}{2}\right) \frac{\partial \varnothing_{Q}}{\partial z}=0$

### 9.4. DERIVATION OF ELTMENT MATRICES IVCIUDING AXIAL IOAD, EIASTIC

 FOUNDATION AND SECOID ORDER EFFECTS:The expressions for the strain energy $U$, potential energy W and, Kinetic energy $T_{k, g i v e n ~ b y ~ E q s .(9.2), ~(9.3) ~ a n d ~(9.4) ~ r e s-~}^{\text {, }}$ pectively, for an element of length, $l$, can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \int_{0}^{1}\left[G C_{B}\left(\phi_{t}^{\prime}+\phi_{B}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+E C_{W}\left(\phi_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& +K^{\prime} A_{f}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{R}\left(\phi_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+K_{t}\left(\phi_{t}+\phi_{s}\right)^{2}\right] d z \\
W & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{P I_{p}}{A}\left(\phi_{t}^{\prime}+\phi_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d z \tag{9.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\rho_{I_{p}}\left(\dot{\phi}_{t}+\dot{\phi}_{s}\right)^{2}+\rho c_{w}\left(\dot{\phi}_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d z \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Direct substitution of Eq. (5.24) to (5.36) into Eqs.(9.6), ( 9.7 ) and (9.8) and the resulting expressions into Hamilton's pronciple, Eq.(3.34), yields (for the Nth element):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\int_{0}^{1} \dot{\dot{R}}_{t N}^{T}-\bar{A}^{T} \bar{A} \dot{\bar{R}}_{s N}{ }^{d z}+\int_{0}^{1} \dot{\bar{R}}_{s N}^{T} \bar{\Lambda}^{T} \bar{A} \dot{\bar{R}}_{t N} d z\right] \\
& +\frac{\rho_{2} o_{W}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \dot{\bar{R}}_{t N}^{T} \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \dot{\bar{R}}_{t N} d z \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{t N}^{T}\left[E C_{W} \bar{A}_{2}^{T} \bar{A}_{2}+G C_{s} \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1}+K_{t} \bar{A}^{T} \bar{A}\right] \bar{R}_{t N} d z \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} R_{\operatorname{sN}}^{T}\left[\left(G C_{s}+K^{\prime} A_{f} G h^{2} / 2\right) \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1}+K_{t} \bar{A}^{T} \bar{A}\right] \bar{R}_{s N V} d z \\
& -\frac{G C}{2}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{t N}^{T} \mathbb{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{\Lambda}_{1} \bar{R}_{s N} d z+\int_{0}^{1} R_{s N}^{T} \bar{\Lambda}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{t N} d z\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{K_{t}}{2}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{t N}^{T} \bar{A}^{T} \bar{A}_{s N} \bar{R}_{s N}+\int_{0}^{I} \bar{R}_{s N}^{T} \bar{A}^{T} \bar{A}^{\prime} \bar{R}_{t N} d z\right] \\
& +\frac{P I_{p}}{2 A}\left[\int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{t N}^{T} \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{t N} d z+\int_{0}^{I} \bar{R}_{s N}^{T} \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{s N} d z\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{I} \bar{R}_{t N}^{T} \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{s N} d z+\int_{0}^{1} \bar{R}_{s N}^{T} \bar{A}_{1}^{T} \bar{A}_{1} \bar{R}_{t N} d z\right] p d t \\
& =0 \tag{9.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (9.9) can be written more concisely as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
&{\bar{\delta} I_{N}=\bar{\delta} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{1}{2}}^{t}\left[P I_{p} L\right) \dot{\bar{q}}_{N}^{\prime \prime} \bar{m}_{N} \dot{\bar{q}}_{N}-\left(E C_{w} / L^{3}\right) \bar{q}_{N}^{T} \pi_{N} \bar{q}_{N} \\
&\left.+\left(P I_{p} / A L\right) \bar{q}_{N}^{T} \bar{s}_{N} \bar{q}_{N}\right] d t=0 \tag{9.10}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. (9.10) the terms $\left(P I_{p} L\right) \bar{m}_{N},\left(E C_{W} / L^{3}\right) \bar{I}_{N}$ and $\left(P I_{p} / A L\right) \bar{s}_{N}$ denote respectively the mass matrix $\bar{M}_{N}$, the stiffness matrix $\bar{K}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and stability coefficient matrix $\overline{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ of the N th element. The matrices $\bar{m}_{\mathrm{NV}}$ and $\bar{q}_{N}$ obtained herein are the same ${ }_{\wedge}^{\text {as }}{ }^{\text {Es. (5.41) and }}$ (5.43) respectively. The matrices $\bar{y}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\bar{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ are as follows:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\prime \prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\mathrm{K}}_{11} & \overline{\mathrm{~K}}_{21}^{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{9.11}\\
\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{21} & \overline{\mathrm{~K}}_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\bar{K}_{11}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
12 N^{2} & & & \\
6 N^{2} & 4 & \text { sym } & \\
-12 N^{2} & -6 N & 12 N^{2} & \\
6 N & 2 & -6 N & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

268

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{K^{R}}{30 N^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
36 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } & \\
3 N & 4 & & \\
-36 N^{2} & -3 N & 36 N^{2} & \\
3 N & -1 & -3 N & 4
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\frac{4 \gamma^{2}}{480 N^{4}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
156 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } & \\
22 N & 4 & & \\
54 N^{2} & 13 N & 156 N^{2} & \\
-13 N & -3 & -22 N & 4
\end{array}\right] \\
& \overline{\mathrm{K}}_{21}=\frac{\mathrm{K}^{2}}{30 \mathrm{~N}^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
36 \mathrm{~N}^{2} & & \text { sym. } \\
3 \mathrm{~N} & 4 & \\
-36 \mathrm{~N}^{2} & -3 \mathrm{~N} & 36 \mathrm{~N}^{2} \\
3 \mathrm{~N} & -1 & -3 \mathrm{~N}
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\frac{4 \nu^{2}}{420 N^{4}} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc}
156 N^{2} & & \text { Sym. } \\
22 N & 4 & . \\
54 N^{2} & 13 N & 156 N^{2} \\
-13 N & -3 & -22 N
\end{array}\right. \tag{9.13}
\end{align*}
$$

$K_{2 R}=\frac{\left(s^{2} K^{2}+1\right)}{30 s^{2} N^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}36 N^{2} & & 3 y m \\ 3 N & 4 & \\ -36 N^{2} & -3 N & 36 N^{2} \\ 3 N & -1 & -3 N\end{array}\right]$

$$
+\frac{4 \gamma^{2}}{420 N^{4}}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
156 N^{2} & & \\
22 N & 4 & \text { Sym. } \\
54 N^{2} & 13 N & 156 N^{2} & \\
-13 N & -3 & -22 N & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$
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and

$$
\bar{s}_{\mathrm{N}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\bar{s}}_{11} & \bar{s}_{21}^{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{9.15}\\
\overline{\bar{s}}_{21} & \overline{\mathrm{~s}}_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\bar{s}_{11}=\bar{s}_{21}=\bar{s}_{22}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
36 N^{2} & & \text { sym }  \tag{9.16}\\
3 N & 4 & 36 N^{2} \\
-36 N^{2} & -3 N & -3 N
\end{array}\right]
$$

Following the procedure outlined in Chapters III and $V$, the equations of motion for the discretized system can now bo obtained from Eq. (9.10) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\bar{k}_{\mathrm{N}}-\Delta^{2} \overline{\mathrm{~s}}_{\mathrm{N}}\right]\left[\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}\right]=\lambda^{2}\left[\overline{\mathrm{~m}}_{\mathrm{N}}\right]\left[\bar{Q}_{\mathrm{N}}\right] \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the non-dimensional parameters $\Delta^{2}$ and $\phi \lambda^{2}$ are given by Eqs. (3.47) and (3.48).

In a similar way the equations of equilibrium for the totally assembled beam can be obtained as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\overline{\mathrm{k}}-\Delta^{2} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}\right][\overline{\mathrm{Q}}]=\lambda^{2}[\overline{\mathrm{~m}}][\overline{\mathrm{a}}] \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

whire $\overline{\mathrm{k}}, \overline{\mathrm{s}}, \overline{\mathrm{m}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Q}}$ denote the totally assembled matrices corresponding to the element matrices $\overline{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \overline{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \overline{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{Q}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ defined previously.

### 9.5. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS:

Results for the first and second sets of values of $\lambda^{2}$ for varlous of the axial load parameter 4 and foundation parameter $\gamma$ for simply supported beams for values of $K=1.541, s=0.046$ and $\alpha=0.023$, are obtained on IBM 1130 Computer at Andhra University, Waltair and are presented in Tables 9.1 and 9.2.

In the case of the first set of frequenoies, the values of $\lambda$ obtained for the first four modes of vibration, for various values of $\gamma$ and $\Delta$, for a division of the beam into $N=2$ and 3 segments are shown in Table 9.1 and are compared with the exact results obtained using the analysis presented in Chapter VIII. For, the second set, the values of $\lambda$ obtained for the first four modes of vibration for $N=2$ and 3 are shown in Table 9.2 and are compared with exact results. The exact results for the first and second sets were obtained using Eq. (8.45).

From Tables 9.1 and 9.2 , it can be observed that, for all cases, the results obtained by finite element method even for very coarse subdivisions of the beam, are in excellent agreement with the exact ones. As stiffness and mass matrices including shear deformation and longitudinal inertia in addition to axial load and elastic foundation, involve double the number of degrees of freedom than those that exist if the secondary effects are negleated, twice as many natural frequencies result. In tables 9.1 and 9.2 the lower and higher spectrum of frequencies of simply supported beam are respectively listed. The second set of frequencles can also be observed to be in excellent agreement with the
TABLE-9.1

 beam ( $K=1.541, s=0.046, d=0.023$ ).

| Value of | Value $\triangle$ | Mode N | No. of Elements |  | Eract Resuits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| 0.0 | 3.0 | I | 12.3586 |  |  |  |
|  |  | II | 33.9722 | 5.1254 29.9049 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7989 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  |  | III | 101.0481 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.9049 \\ & 89.0871 \end{aligned}$ | $219.7652$ |  |
|  |  | IV | 153.1285 | 89.0871 142.7591 | $\begin{array}{r} 6 i 5.9710 \\ -13.5342 \end{array}$ |  |
| 2.0 | 3.0 | I | 11.3084 |  |  |  |
|  |  | II | 11.3318 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9253 \\ 30.3129 \end{array}$ | $3.2886$ |  |
|  |  | III | 101.1685 | 30.3129 89.2232 | $23.3118$ |  |
|  |  | IV | 153.2073 | 89.2232 142.8436 | $5: 5.4434$ +1 |  |
| 2.0 | 0.0 | I |  |  |  | $N$ |
|  |  | II | 23.2132 42.5088 | 11.1546 39.2334 | 10.2442 | $\sim$ |
|  |  | III | $108.1488$ | 39.2334 97.0513 | $3: 5.7593$ |  |
|  |  | IV | $161.4194$ | 97.0513 151.3481 | $73.8721$ |  |
| 4.0 | 3.0 |  | 151.3401 |  | -3:3.3192 |  |
|  |  | II | 8.4977 35.1243 | 4.8672 | \&.3795 |  |
|  |  | III | 35.1243 | 31.2071 | 25.9475 |  |
|  |  | IV | 153.3832 | 89.5272 | 6.3.8066 |  |
|  |  |  | 153.3832 | 143.0309 | $=10.1274$ |  |

TABIE-9.2
Comparison of Second set of values of Afor various values of $\Delta$ and $\mathcal{V}$ from the Finite
Element Method and those from exact analysis given in Chapter - VIII for a Simoly Supported
beam ( $K=1.541, s=0.046, d=0.023$ ).

|  |  |  | No. of Elements |  | Bract Results. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma$ |  | mode no. | 2 | 3 |  |  |

## 


962.7403
1006.2539
1093.2937
1191.2887
960.9861
999.3401
1071.8298
1164.5545
 960.9861
999.3402
1071.8309
1164.5545 960.9861
999.3402
1071.8309
1164.5545

842.969
874.078
922.431
984.411
84.069
822.969
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exact ones. In Chapters IV and VIII these second set of frequenoles are discussed in detail.

As was mentioned previously, results for other boundary conditions can be easily obtained using the above stiffness and mass matrices with suiftrblo ohangos in the Computier program and the data. The advantage of using the finite element method is that a beam with non-uniform section can also be analyzed by deviding the beam into a number of segments and assuming each segment has a constant cross section. This method provides us with an upper bound to the exact frequencies of the system and is quite general, satisfactorily encompassing all boundary conditions.

## CHAPTER - X

NON-LTNEAR TORSIONAL STABTITTY OF LENGHYY THIT-WALLED BENAS OF OPEN SECTION RESTING ON COITIINUOUS ELASTIC FOUNDATION**

### 10.1. INTRODUCTION:

It is not uncomon, in structural desien, to regard the elastic buckling load of a slender structur al member as its failure load, and to pay little attention to its post-buckling behaviour. However, some structural mombers, such as simply supported thin plates loaded in compression, can support loads significantly greater than their elastic critical loads without deflecting excessively. This reserve of strength after bucking is due mainly to a redistribution of stress from the more flexible central area of the plate to the unloaded-edge regions (13.). On the other hand, the load carrying capacity of some thin shell structures reduces rapidy after buckling. Such a structure is extrenely sensitive to imperfeotions and disturbances, and may defomil excessively at loads much less than its elastic critical load (45). Clearly, the post buolcing bolaviour of a structural member may have a decisive influence on the relation between its buckling and ultimate strengtins.

The classical linear buckline theories ( 9 q) for elastic beams and columns necessarily predict buckling at loads that
remain constant as the buckling amplitudes increase. Euler (99) first investigated the elastic flexural post-bucleling behaviour of colums in 1744, by using the exact expression for curvature instead of the familiar small deflection approximation. This resulted in a post-buckling curve that rises so slowly that there is no significant increase in the load-carrying capacity until the deformations become gross.

The non-linear behaviour of members in uniform torsion was first investigated by Young ( 102 ) who considered circular cross sections. A related problem, the torsional stiffness of narrow rectangular sections under uniform axial tension, was examined by Buckley ( 14 ) and Weber ( 102 ) investigated the non-linear behaviour of narrow rectangular strips in pure torsion. Later, Cullimore (21) studied the behaviour of thin-walled $I$ and $Z$ sections. Weber and Cullimore showed that the torsional stiffness increases with the twist, and that this is due to a system of stresses acting along the helical fibres of the twisted member. The stress system is self equilibrating so that the outer fibres are in tension and the fibres ologor to tho twint axis aro in comprosision.

Although Cullimore comrectly derived the result for narrow rectangular members his expression for the non-linear torque component for $I$ and $Z$ sections is in doubt, because he used a constant lever arm, to obtain the torque contributed by the flange, instead of a variable lever arm, which is the distance from the twist axis to any point on the flange. Furthermore, his assumption of very thin walls leads to some inaccuracies when applied
to the $I$ and $Z$ sections in common use. A more accurate theory of non-linear non-uniform torsion of thin-walled beans of open section is presented by $T_{s o}$ and Ghobarah ( $10 S$ ) using the principle of minimum potential energy. Their theory takes into account the effect of large torsional deformation and allows very general loading and boundary conditions.

It can be seen that there is a surprising pauoity of work
 trio bonus, in oomparison with the extenglve work on other structures (45). In particular, the behaviour of simply-supported and clamped beams and of I-section members resting on continuous elastic foundation has not been investigated. The purpose of the present Chapter, then, is to study theoretically the elastical torsional post-buckling behaviour of statically determinate beams of I-section resting on continuous Winkler type elastic foundation.
10.2. DEVELOPMTINT OF GOVERNTING DIFFERTINTAL EQUATION AID BOUNDARY CONDITIOISS :

Consider a thin-walled beam of doubly-symmetric open cross section subject to axial compressive load. The relationship between the total torque $T_{t}$ and the corresponding angle of twist $\varnothing$ in pure elastic torsion of a uniform thin-walled beam is given by Saint-Venant as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}=G C_{s} \frac{d \phi}{d z} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of non-uniform torsion, Eq. (10.1) is extended to allow for the warping of the cross-sections of the beam; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}=G C_{s} \frac{d \phi}{d z}-E C_{w} \frac{d^{3} \phi}{d z^{3}} \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above Eq. (10.2) gives reasonable results for angles of twist - approximately no greater than $5^{\circ}$.

Experimental results obtained by Goodier ( 38 ) from tests have shown good qualitative, but poor quantitative, agreement with the theoretical conclusions from Eq.(10.2). If one examines the work of Weber (l02), Gregory ( 42 ), Terrington ( 97 ) and Tso and Ghobarah ( 105 ), it can be seen that Eq. (10.2) is not complete insofar as there is a further torque component term to be considered. This term is due to the 'shortenine effect' arising from torsion, described by Weber (102) and allowed for by Gregory (42) and, Tso and Ghobarah ( 105 ). Allowing for this component of torque, Eq.(10.2), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t}=G C_{s} \frac{\partial \phi}{d z}-E C_{w} \frac{d^{3} \phi}{d z^{3}}+2 E F\left(\frac{d \phi}{d z}\right)^{3} \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a constant dependent on cross sectional properties and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \equiv I_{R}\left(I_{p c} / A\right)^{2} \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $I_{p o}$ is half the polar moment of inertia about the shear center and $I_{R}$ the fourth moment of inertia about the shear center.

In the case of a thin-walled doubly symatric I-beam of flange and web thicknesses $t_{f}$ and $t_{w}$ respectively; height between the centerlines of the flanges $h$, flange width $b_{f}$, and flange and web thicknesses being assumed as small compared with height $h$, i.e.
$t_{f} \ll h$, and $t_{w} \ll h$, the geometric properties in Eq. (10.4) can be evaluated as follows (105):

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{R}=\frac{h^{5} t_{W}}{320}+\frac{\mathrm{hh}^{4} t_{f}}{32}+\frac{b_{f}^{5} t_{f}}{160}+\frac{b_{f}^{3} h^{2} t_{f}}{48} \tag{10.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p c}=(1 / 24)\left(h^{3} t_{W}+2 b_{f}^{3} t_{f}+6 h_{f}^{2} t_{f}\right) \tag{10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a beam resting $\wedge^{\text {continuous }}$ Winkler type elastic foundation and subjected to an axial compressive load $P$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T_{t}}{d z}=\frac{P I_{p}}{A} \frac{d^{2} \varnothing}{d z^{2}}+K_{t} \varnothing \tag{10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (10.3) in Eq. (10.7) the governing non-linear differential equation can be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E C_{W} \frac{d^{4} \phi}{d z^{4}}-6 E P\left(\frac{d \phi}{d z}\right)^{2} \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d z^{2}}-\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{A}\right) \frac{d^{2} \dot{\phi}}{d z^{2}}+K_{t} \phi=0 \tag{10.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions associate with this problem are as follows:
(a) Simply supported end:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d z^{2}}=0 \tag{10.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Clamped end:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d \phi}{d z}=0 \tag{10.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Free end:

$$
\frac{d^{2} \phi}{d z^{2}}=0
$$

and
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$$
\begin{equation*}
E C_{w} \frac{d^{3} \phi}{d z^{3}}-2 E F\left(\frac{d \phi}{d z}\right)^{3}-\left(G C_{s}-\frac{P I_{p}}{\Lambda}\right) \frac{d \phi}{d z}=0 \tag{10.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of Eq. (10.8) can be obtained by numerical methods using computer techniques. However, for the purpose of this thesis, approximate solutions are obtained for simply supported and clamped beaws using Galerkin's method.

### 10.3. SIMPLY SUPPORTED BEAM:

For a beam simply supported at both ends, the bogindary conditions are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=0 \text { and } \phi^{\prime \prime}=0 \text { at } z=0 \tag{10.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=0 \text { and } \phi^{\prime}=0 \text { at } z=1 \tag{10.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where primes denote differentiation with respect to the dimensionless length $Z=z / L$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Eq. (10.8) can be written in non-dimensional form as: } \\
& \phi^{i v}-6 \delta\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}-\left(K^{2}-\Delta^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}+4 \gamma^{2} \phi=0 \tag{10.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{*}{\delta}=F / C_{W} \tag{10.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve Eq. (10.14) by Galerkin's method, the angle of twist $\phi(z)$ is assumed to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\beta^{*} X(z) \tag{10.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta^{*}$ is the torsional amplitude and $X$ is a function of $Z$. Since $X$ will be an approxinate function assumed to satisfy the boundary
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conditions, by substituting Eq.(10.16) in Eq. (10.14), an error $\epsilon^{*}$ will be obtained as:
$\varepsilon^{4}=\beta^{*}\left[x^{1 v}-6 \beta^{2} \delta\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2} x^{\prime \prime}-\left(k^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) x^{\prime \prime}+4 \gamma^{2} x\right]$
For minimizing the error $e^{*}$, the Galerkin's Integral (79) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} e^{*} x d z=0 \tag{10.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy the boundary conditions, Eqs. (10.12) and (10.13), we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(z)=\sin \pi z \tag{10.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eqs. (10.17) and (10.19) into Eq. (10.18), we obtain the expression for the torsional post-buckline load for a simply supported beam as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{cr}}^{*^{2}}=\mathrm{K}^{2}+\pi^{2}+4 \gamma^{2} / \pi^{2}+(3 / 2) \pi^{2}{ }^{*} \beta^{2} \tag{10.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding linear torsional buckling load is given by (See (Eq.2.88)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{cr}}^{2}=K^{2}+\pi^{2}+4 \gamma^{2} / \pi^{2} \tag{10.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the ratio of the non-linear buckling load to linear buckling load is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{*}}{P_{c r}}=\frac{\Delta_{c r}^{* 2}}{\Delta_{c r}^{2}}=1+\frac{(3 / 2) \pi^{4} \delta^{*} \beta^{2}}{\left[\pi^{2}\left(K^{2}+\pi^{2}\right)+4 \gamma^{2}\right]} \tag{10.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the absence of elastic foundation, i.e., $\gamma=0$, Eq.(10.22)
reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P^{*}}{P_{c r}}=\frac{\Delta_{c r}^{* 2}}{\Delta_{c r}^{2}}=\left[1+\frac{3 \pi^{2} \dot{\beta}^{2}}{2\left(K^{2}+\pi^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{10.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.4. CLAMPED BEAM:

The boundary conditions for a bean clamped at both the ends are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=0 \text { and } \phi^{\prime}=0 \text { at } z=0 \tag{10.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varnothing=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \varnothing^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { at } z=1 \tag{10.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To satisfy the above conditions, the function $\mathcal{X}(2)$ can be assumed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(z)=\beta^{*}(1-\cos 2 \pi z) \tag{10.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitutine Eqs. (10.17) and (10.26) into Eq. (10.18) we obtain the expression for the torsional post-buckling load for a clapped beam as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{cr}}^{* 2}=\mathrm{K}^{2}+4 \pi^{2}+3 \gamma^{2} / \pi^{2}+6 \pi^{2}{ }^{*} \beta^{2} \tag{10.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correspondine lineur torsional buckline load for a clamped beam is (See Eq.2.74)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{cr}}^{2}=\mathrm{K}^{2}+4 \pi^{2}+3 \gamma^{2} / \pi^{2} \tag{10.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the ratio of the non-linear buckling load to linear buckling load is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{*}}{P_{\text {or }}}=\frac{\Delta_{o r}^{*^{2}}}{\Delta_{\text {or }}^{2}}=\left\{1+\frac{6 \pi^{4} \delta^{*} \beta^{* 2}}{\left[\pi^{2}\left(K^{2}+4 \pi^{2}\right)+3 \nu^{2}\right]}\right\} \tag{10.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the absence of elastic foundation, ie., $\nu=0$, Eq. (10.29)
reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P^{*}}{P_{\text {or }}}=\frac{\Delta_{\text {or }}^{*^{2}}}{\Delta_{\text {cr }}^{2}}=\left[1+\frac{6 \pi^{2}{ }_{\delta \beta^{*}}^{2}}{K^{2}+4 \pi^{2}}\right] \tag{10.30}
\end{equation*}
$$


[^0]:    * Results from this Chapter were published by the author, K.V.Apparao and P.K.Sarma in May, 1974 issue of the Journal of the Aeronautical Society of India, see Ref. (49).

[^1]:    * Results from this part of the Chapter were presented by the author and K.V.Apparao at the 16 th Congress of ISTAM held at M.N.R.Engineering College, Allahabad, during 29th March to 1st April, 1972. See Ref. (gl).

[^2]:    * Results from this part of the chapter were presented at the 17 th Congress of Indian Society of Theoretical and Applied Mechanice, held at Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi, during December 22-55 1972. Ref(51)

[^3]:    * A paper by the author based on the results from this Chapter is accepted for publication in AIAA Journal, See Ref. (52).

[^4]:    * A paper by the author, abstracted from this Chapter, is accepted for publication in the August 1976 issue of the Journal of the Aeronautical Society of India. Sea Raf. (53)

[^5]:    * A paper by the author based on the results of this Chapter is accepted for publication in the Joumal of the Aeronautical Society of India. See Ref. ( 54 ).

